

HISTORY: FICTION OR SCIENCE?

THE ISSUE WITH BRITISH HISTORY



ANATOLY FOMENKO
GLEB NOSOVSKIY

HISTORY: FICTION OR
SCIENCE? ● BOOK 15

**THE ISSUE
WITH BRITISH
HISTORY**

ANATOLY

FOMENKO
GLEB NOSOVSKIY

THE ISSUE WITH BRITISH HISTORY

By Anatoly Fomenko and Gleb
Nosovski

Book 15 of *History: Fiction or
Science?* series.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of the publisher. Critics are welcome, of course, to quote brief passages by

way of criticism and review.

Anatoly Fomenko and Gleb Nosovski
assert the moral right to be identified as
the authors of this work.

Translated from Russian by Mikhail
Yagupov


Design & layout: Paul Bondarovski
Project management: Franck Tamdhu

Copyright © 2003-2017 Delamere
Resources LLC


Published by Delamere Resources LLC

[Publisher's website](#)


2017 print edition



Volume 1:
**Dating methods as offered
by mathematical statistics,
eclipses and zodiacs**




Volume 2:
**The dynastic parallelism
method. Rome. Troy.
Greece. The Bible.
Chronological shifts**



Volume 3:

**Astronomical methods as
applied to chronology.
Ptolemy's Almagest. Tycho
Brahe. Copernicus. The
Egyptian zodiacs**




Volume 4:
**Russia. Britain.
Byzantium. Rome**

Video from Wikipedia


Overview of the e-Series

History: Fiction or Science?


*by Anatoly Fomenko and Gleb
Nosovskiy*




Book 1:
The Issue with Chronology




Book 2:
Astronomy vs. History



Book 3:
The Apocalypse Seen by
Astronomy




Book 4:
The Issue with Dark Ages




Book 5:


The Issue with Antiquity



Book 6:
The Issue with Troy




Book 7:
**The Issue with Russian
History**




Book 8:


**The Horde From Pacific to
Atlantic**




Book 9:
The Issue with Mongols



Book 10:
**The Issue with Ivan the
Terrible**




Book 11:
The Issue with Tamerlane




Book 12:


**USA Has Issues with Maps
of 18th Century**




Book 13:
**The Issue with Czar's
Helmet**




Book 14:
**The Issue with Russian
Tartary**



Book 15:
**The Issue with British
History**




Book 16:
Crusades and Exoduses




Book 17:

Maps and Coins vs. History




Book 18:
**Swords and Mantles Tell
History**




Book 19:


**The Testament of Peter the
Great**



Book 20:
**The Issue with Baptism of
Russia**



Book 21:
**The Issue with Chinese
Astronomy**



Book 22:
**The Great Wall Of China
Hoax**

Contents

About the authors

From the publisher

Introduction

**PART ONE: A brief scheme
of the English history in its
Scaligerian version**

**1. The Oldest English
chronicles**

1.1. *The Anglo-Saxon*

Chronicle

1.2. *History of the Brits*

by Nennius

1.3. *Historia Britonum*

by Galfridus

Monmutensis

1.4. Several other

“ancient” English

chronicles

1.5. The names of the

cities, ethnic groups and

countries known to us

today as reflected in

mediaeval English
chronicles

2. The Scaligerian chronology of British history

2.1. Scotland and
England: two parallel
dynastic currents

2.2. English history of the
alleged years 1-445 A.D.
England as a Roman
colony

2.3. The epoch between
the alleged years 445 and

830 A.D. Six kingdoms
and their unification

2.4. The epoch of the
alleged years 830-1040
A.D. ends with the
Danish conquest and the
decline of the Danish
Empire

2.5. The epoch of the
alleged years 1040-1066
A.D. The rule of the old
Anglo-Saxon dynasty and
its end

2.6. The epoch between the alleged years 1066 and 1327 A.D. The Norman dynasty followed by the dynasty of Anjou. The two Edwards

2.7. The epoch between 1327 and 1602

**PART TWO: Parallels
between the history of
England and Byzantium,
Rome and the Horde**

3. A rough comparison of the dynastic currents of England and Rome (Byzantium)

4. The dynastic parallelism between the history of England and Byzantium. A general superimposition scheme of the two

5. The dynastic parallelism table

5.1. The English history of the alleged years 640-830 A.D. and the

Byzantine history of the
alleged years 378-553
A.D. as reflections of the
same late mediaeval
original. A shift of 275
years

5.2. English history of the
alleged years 830-1040
A.D. and the Byzantine
history of the alleged
years 553-830 A.D. as
two reflections of the
same late mediaeval

original. A shift of 275
years

5.3. English history of
1040-1327 A.D. and
Byzantine history of
1143-1453 A.D. A shift
of 120 years

5.4. The end of the
parallelism. The conquest
of Constantinople by the
Ottomans in 1453. The
fall of Byzantium

**PART THREE: The
abbreviation and saturation
of English history**

**6. Our conception of the
English history**

**7. How Byzantine and
“Mongolian” chronicles
became part of the English
history**

**PART FOUR: Despite the
attempts of the XVII-XVIII
century hoaxers, English**

chronicles retain a great deal of information concerning the real events of the XI-XVI century.
England and Russia, or the Horde

8. The “ancient” Roman consul Brutus as the first Roman conqueror of Britain and simultaneously the first “ancient” Trojan king of the Brits

9. The “ancient” Brutus the

Trojan from the English chronicles, the patriarch of the Brits, turns out to be a contemporary of Julius Caesar and Genghis-Khan, Conqueror of the World

10. Biblical events on the pages of the English chronicles

11. The location of the “ancient” Troy

12. The reason why Russia and Britain are both presumed to be insular states according

to the English chronicles

**13. The location of Britain
conquered by Brutus. The
itinerary of his fleet**

**14. Brutus has to fight against
Gog and Magog during the
conquest of Britain (aka the
Tartars and Mongols or the
Ten Tribes of Israel)**

**15. Julius Caesar found
himself close to the Russian
lands during the conquest of
Britain, or Albania**

16. The location of London in the X-XII century. The foundation of London in the British Isles as registered chronologically

17. The old coat of arms of London and the English Kingdom of East Saxons depicts the Ottoman scimitars (or crescents)

18. The identity of the Scots and Scotland in the XIII-XIV century. The names of Russia

and Scotland appear in the
mediaeval English maps
around the XV-XVI century

**19. The five primordial
languages of the ancient
Britain. The nations that spoke
them and the territories they
inhabited in the XI-XIV
century**

**20. The location of the six
initial British kingdoms: East
Anglia, Kent, Sussex, Wessex,
Essex and Mercia**

21. The famous King Arthur as a legendary reflection of the Horde that had invaded the British Isles in the XIV-XVI century

22. William I the Conqueror and the Battle of Hastings dated to the alleged year 1066. The Fourth Crusade of 1204

22.1. A mutual superimposition of two famous wars in England and in Byzantium

22.2. The English version
of William's biography

22.3. The Conquest of
Constantinople:

Byzantine version

22.4. The parallelism
between the events
related in the English and
the Byzantine chronicles

23. Mediaeval Russia, or the
Horde, as reflected in later
English chronicles. The
identity of the Galatians, who

had received an epistle of Paul the Apostle, and the dating of this event

24. The dating of the maps compiled by Matthew of Paris. The epoch when Scythia, or the Horde, became known as “the mother of dragons, the cradle of scorpions, the nest of snakes and the hotbed of demons,” and the reasons behind this reputation

Comments

*What mainstream historians
say about the New
Chronology?*

Overview of the e-Series

*Overview of the seven-volume
print edition*

Also by Anatoly T. Fomenko

Also by Gleb V. Nosovskiy

Bibliography

About the authors

- *Fomenko, Anatoly Timofeevich* (b. 1945).
Full Member
(Academician) of the
Russian Academy of
Sciences, Full Member of
the Russian Academy of
Natural Sciences, Full
Member of the
International Higher

Education Academy of Sciences, Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor, Head of the Moscow State University Section of Mathematics of the Department of Mathematics and Mechanics. Solved Plateau's Problem from the theory of minimal spectral surfaces. Author of the theory of invariants

and topological classification of integrable Hamiltonian dynamic systems. Laureate of the 1996 National Premium of the Russian Federation (in Mathematics) for a cycle of works on the Hamiltonian dynamical systems and manifolds' invariants theory. Author of 200 scientific publications, 28

monographs and textbooks on mathematics, a specialist in geometry and topology, calculus of variations, symplectic topology, Hamiltonian geometry and mechanics, computer geometry.

Author of a number of books on the development of new empirico-statistical methods and their application to the analysis

of historical chronicles as well as the chronology of antiquity and the Middle Ages.

- *Nosovskiy, Gleb Vladimirovich* (b. 1958). Candidate of Physics and Mathematics (MSU, Moscow, 1988), specialist in theory of probability, mathematical statistics, theory of probabilistic processes,

theory of optimization, stochastic differential equations, computer modelling of stochastic processes, computer simulation. Worked as researcher of computer geometry in Moscow Space Research Institute, in Moscow Machine Tools and Instruments Institute, in Aizu University in Japan. Faculty member of

the Department of
Mathematics and
Mechanics MSU.

From the publisher

High time to repair History

NASA research of earth-moon mechanics by astrophysicist Robert Newton leads mathematicians of MSU Dr. Fomenko *et al.* to a breakthrough in the world chronology in general and history of Great Britain in

particular.

The Issue with British History shows, on one hand, how much shorter than generally presumed it really is, but, on the other hand, it underscores the major role Great Britain played in the world history.

Indeed, England, a small non-significant and underpopulated province of the Great Evil Empire of

Eurasia, stepped in XVI century in his own way to greatness, becomes within barely 300 years the World Empire that ruled the waves where the sun never set down!

Indeed again, the Isle of England was the first country where old nobility was replaced with new gentry loyal to its King, the first to confiscate most of the Catholic church riches, free itself from

the clutches of Roman Curia, and to introduce literacy and basic sciences.

After the rule of the King Henry VIII that deeply transformed England in 1509–1547 and the rule in 1553–1558 of Queen Maria I (Bloody Mary) who tried to return England to the Catholic fold, the re-establishment of Roman Catholicism was definitely reversed by in

Elizabeth I that ruled in 1558–1603.

During the 45 years of the Elizabethan Era, instead of dancing and dueling *à la française*, the Gentry was put to learning sciences, building the Royal Navy to ensure the British maritime supremacy, colonization, licensed piracy, and trade.

Regretfully, British scholars of XVI–XVII centuries based

their historical writings on the consensual chronology of the French Kabbalist Scaliger and Jesuit Petavious. Professor Fomenko *et al.* analyzed the English sources they used and put under scrutiny the consensual, i.e., Scaligerian, version of the “ancient” and mediaeval chronology of Britain. His verdict: *the British history is most likely to have been extended arbitrarily, and*

quite substantially so. The real documented history of England is a great deal shorter.

To express the deep gratitude of the world scientific community to the British historians of Oxbridge for warping of the timescale, the cover of this book presents Jesus Christ by Tintoretto showing the true time of Big Ben.

The British learned scholars

have the chance of the five years of scheduled repair of the clock to review in an adequate manner the History of Great Britain in line with the data presented by Dr. Fomenko.

Who and why invented Antiquity and Dark Ages?

The consensual world history was manufactured in Europe in XVI-XIX centuries with

political agenda of powers of that period on the basis of erroneous clerical chronology elaborated by Jesuits Joseph Justus Scaliger and Dionysius Petavius.

- By the middle of XVI century the prime political agenda of Europe that reached superiority in Sciences and Technologies, but was still

inferior militarily to the Evil Empire of Eurasia, was to free Europe.

- The concerted effort of European aristocracy, black and white Catholic clergy, Protestants, humanists and scientists in XV-XVII centuries in creation and dissemination of fictional Ancient World served this agenda.
- The fictional Ancient

World was created by representing events of XI-XVI centuries as ones that happened thousands of years before according to the ancient sources they wrote by authorities they invented.

- The European aristocracy, a considerable part of which were fugitives from Byzantine and/or the inheritors of Eurasian

warlords, supported the myth of Ancient World to justify its claims to countries they ruled.

- The black and white Catholic clergy, Protestants developed and supported the myth of Ancient World to justify their claims of being more ancient and to separate themselves from Eurasian orthodoxy in the countries

ruled by European aristocracy.

- The scientists supported the myth of Ancient World as safe cover for their heretic research that produced results contrarian to the tenets of Christianity. They justified their discoveries by authorities of ancient scientists they themselves invented and used as

pseudonyms.

- The humanists developed and supported the myth of Ancient World as a cozy safe haven for their ideas that conflicted with Christianity and aristocracy. They disguised and justified their ideas on authorities of ancient authors of their own making and wrote under their glorious

aliases.

Prescient Saint Augustine
warned:

*“Be wary of mathematicians,
particularly when they speak
the truth!”*

Dr. Anatoly Fomenko is a
Full Member (Academician)
of the Russian Academy of
Sciences, Full Member of the

Russian Academy of Natural Sciences, Full Member of the International Higher Education Academy of Sciences, Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor, Head of the Moscow State University Department of Mathematics and Mechanics. Solved the classical Plateau's Problem from the theory of minimal spectral surfaces. Author of 210 scientific publications, 26

monographs, and textbooks on mathematics, a specialist in geometry and topology, variational calculus, symplectic topology, Hamiltonian geometry and mechanics, computational geometry.

Author of a number of books on the development of new empirical-statistical methods and their application to the analysis of historical chronicles as well as the

chronology of Antiquity and the Middle Ages.

*History is a pack of lies about
events that never happened
told by people who weren't
there.*

George Santayana,
American philosopher
(1863-1952)

*Be wary of
mathematiciens, particularly*

when they speak the truth.

St. Augustine

*History repeats itself; that's
one of the things that's wrong
with history.*

Clarence Darrow

*Who controls the past controls
the future. Who controls the
present controls the past.*

George Orwell, *1984*

Introduction

This book is concerned with analysing the Scaligerian version of the “ancient” and mediaeval chronology of Britain. The results of our research demonstrate that British history is most likely to have been extended arbitrarily by the mediaeval chronologists of the XVI-XVII century, and

quite substantially so. The real documented history of England is a great deal shorter; the same applies to the real history of all the other countries.

“Ancient” and mediaeval British events described in the historical sources that have reached our day need to be transposed from the “antiquity” to the epoch that begins with the X-XI century

A.D. Many of said events appear to be real, but pertain to the history of Byzantium or the Great = “Mongolian” Empire in the epoch of the XI-XVI century.

Furthermore, the new conception of history that we propose makes the position of England among the Western European countries of the XVI century a great deal more important than it is usually

assumed.

We are beginning to realise why the mediaeval English kings listed a number of continental European countries as part of their title apart from England – France, for instance, which is common knowledge, as well as Spain, according to a number of sources: “Queen of England, France and Iberia = Spain (?)” ([639], page 122).

The reconstruction of the English history that we suggest concurs well with a similar “shortening of history” of a number of other countries – Italy, Greece, Egypt , etc., q.v. in our previous publications on the topic. Further research can naturally introduce a number of alterations in the history of England, but they should not affect the main idea, as related below.

PART ONE

A brief scheme of
the English history
in its Scaligerian
version

1.

The Oldest English chronicles

1.1. The Anglo-Saxon chronicle

We believe the readers to be more or less familiar with the Scaligerian version of Roman and Byzantine history – within the confines of the average

university course at least. On the other hand, we are aware of the fact that the Scaligerian version of the “ancient” English history might not be known quite as well to some of the readers. Therefore, in the present paragraph we shall provide a brief structural description of the Scaligerian textbook on the “ancient” history of England.

We could naturally refer to

some XX century textbook; however, all of them are in fact texts of a secondary nature, namely, renditions of earlier books on English history – often of poor quality. Therefore, we are more interested in the mediaeval documents of the XVI-XVII century, which these textbooks are based upon. These chronicles are chronologically closer to the

period when the Scaligerian version of global chronology was created and solidified – the XVI-XVII century. This makes them a lot more valuable insofar as the reconstruction of real history is concerned, notwithstanding the fact that the texts in question were heavily edited by the Scaligerite historians.

The primary chronicles that we have chosen as basis of our

analysis are as follows: the famous *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* ([1442]), as well as the *History of the Brits* by Nennius ([577]) and the book under the same title written by Galfridus Monmutensis ([155]). In fig. 15.1 we reproduce a photograph of a page from the manuscript of Nennius' book. We believe this manuscript to date from the XVII century A.D. the

earliest.

in illo tempore saxones inuallescerebant in
multitudine & crescebant in britanniā.
Moruo autē hengoſto octidū fili' ei' tranſi
uit de ſuſtali parte britanniæ ad reg
nū cantonū. & de ipſo ortis reges cantōe.
une archur pugna bae eodēn illo s.
millis dieb; cū regib; brieconi. s. ipse dux erat
bellonū. Prīmū bellū fuit in ostiū flumi
nis quod dicitur Glen. sedm & tēu & gr
tū & quincū. sup aliud flumen quod
dicitur dubglas. s. in regione linnuis.
Sextū bellū sup flumen quod uocā
t' bassas. Septimū fuit bellū
in silua celidonis. idē; eae corē celidon.
Octauū fuit bellū in castello quinn
on. In quo archur portauit imaginē
sēe marie p'pocue uirginis sup hume
ros suos. & pagani uelū t' in fugā in
illo die. & eodem magna fuit sup illos.
p' uirtutē dñi in ihu xpi & p' uirtutē
sēe marie uirginis genitricis ei'. Nonū
bellū gētū; in urbe legionis. Decimū
genitū; bellū in licoe fluminis. quod
uocatur t' abruic. Undecimū factū;
bellū in monte qui dicitur agned. Duo
decimū fuit bellū in monte badonis
in quo corruer' in uno die n' genti sexa
ginta unū de uno impetū archur.

Fig. 15.1. Photograph of a page from the *Historia Brittonum* by Nennius. Taken from [155], page 220.

The abovementioned works de facto serve as the foundation that supports the entire modern conception of the “ancient” and mediaeval English history. Let us reiterate that this conception is strongly dependent on the Scaligerian chronology. An altered chronology shall

radically alter our perception of the chronicles.

Finally, we have also used the famous *Chronological Tables* of J. Blair ([76]), which were compiled in the late XVIII – early XIX century, and comprise all the primary historical epochs as perceived by the European chronologists at the end of the XVIII century.

It is presumed that the so-

called legendary history of England begins with the Trojan war, or the alleged XII-XIII century B.C. However, the millennium that is presumed to have passed between the Trojan War and the epoch of Julius Caesar, or the alleged I century B.C., is usually regarded as a “dark age.” In the chronological version of Scaliger and Petavius, which was created in

the XVI-XVII century and serves as the basis of every modern textbook on the “ancient” and mediaeval history, the documented history of England begins around 60 B.C., which is presumed to be the year when the British Isles were conquered by Julius Caesar. Historians themselves recognise the fact that the first written evidence dates to circa

1 A.D., or the reign of Octavian Augustus. The *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* begins its narration with this very year – the alleged 1st year of the new era ([1442], page 4). The *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* is in fact a collation of several separate manuscripts, namely:

- *Manuscript A* – The Parker Chronicle, which spans the epoch between

the alleged years 60 B.C.
and 1070 A.D.

- *Manuscript B* – The Abigdon Chronicle I, which covers the epoch of the alleged years 1-977 A.D.
- *Manuscript C* – The Abigdon Chronicle II, which covers the epoch between the alleged years 60 B.C. and 1066 A.D.
- *Manuscript D* – The

Worcester Chronicle,
which spans the epoch of
the alleged years 1-1079
A.D. It is followed by an
addendum that is
presumed to date from the
XII century; it covers the
alleged years 1080-1130
A.D.

- *Manuscript E* – The Laud (Peterborough) Chronicle, spanning the alleged years of 1-1153 A.D.

- *Manuscript F* – The Bilingual Canterbury Epitome, which spans the alleged years 1-1058 A.D.

Historians believe all of these chronicles to be duplicates of a single original. In other words, they are all presumed to cover the same sequence of events, differing only in the amount of detail they contain. This is why they were arranged

parallel to each other in [1442], which is very convenient, and gives us the opportunity to compare different reports of events that date from the same year. It is also possible that all the abovementioned manuscripts are merely different versions of the same chronicles – different copies, as it were.

Thus, the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* spans the epoch

between the alleged year 60 B.C. and the XII century A.D. Manuscript E ends abruptly with the description of events that took place in the alleged year 1153 A.D. Scaligerian history assures us that all of these chronicles were written around the XI-XII century A.D. However, a critical study demonstrates it to be a mere hypothesis, which is based on the Scaligerian chronology,

presumably known a priori. For instance, Manuscript A only exists in two “copies,” both of which were made in the XVI century A.D. ([1442], page xxxiii). An earlier copy of the manuscript (the original of both) is said to have perished in a blaze. The history of all the other manuscripts that comprise the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* is related in [1442] – and rather

vaguely, at that. For instance, we learn of no reasons why they were dated in this particular manner.

One gets the impression that historians employed the following method of dating the chronicles in question: if the chronicles end their narration with the events of the alleged XI-XII century, the existing copies of these chronicles must date from the same

epoch. However, this “simple consideration” implies all the events described in the chronicles to be dated correctly. If this fails to be the case, the dating of the chronicles shall change automatically.

We must point out that the problems with reconstructing the true origins of said Old English chronicles are known quite well, and British

historians speak of them openly. For instance, the historian Dom David Knowles was forced to make the following statement: “The issue of the origins and respective dependencies between the different versions [of the Chronicle] is so complex that any sort of discussion on the topic implies the use of advanced mathematics” ([1442], page

xxxi; see also Comment 1 at the end of Part 2). We must add that the historian has voiced a perfectly valid consideration – involuntarily, perhaps. Modern scientific research in the field of chronology is impossible without the use of mathematics.

G. N. Garmonsway reports further that every modern analysis of the *Anglo-Saxon*

Chronicle is invariably based on the revision of its initial publication (John Earle, 1865) made by Charles Plummer in 1892-1899. According to Garmonsway's cautious remark, the manuscripts A and E are "associated" with the names of XVI century figures, namely, Archbishop Parker (1504-1575) and Archbishop Laud (1573-1645). It turns out that other manuscripts of the

Chronicle “had once belonged to Sir Robert Cotton (1571-1631), and are nowadays part of Cotton’s manuscript collection kept in the British Museum” ([1442], page xxxi; see Comment 2).

Thus, we arrive at the hypothesis that the manuscripts of the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle that we have at our disposal today were actually written in the XV-

XVI century the earliest. Why are they dated to the XI-XII century nowadays? As we mentioned it earlier, the answer must be quite simple. The Chronicle ends its narration with the events of the XI-XII century in Scaligerian dating, hence the presumption that the authors of the Chronicle had lived in the XI-XII century. However, firstly, the events of the XI-XII

century may well have been described by a much later author, who had lived in the XV, XVI or even the XVII century. Secondly, the Scaligerian dating of the Chronicle's text depends on the dating of the events it relates. If it turns out that said events really took place in a different epoch, the dating of the text that we have today shall also need to be altered.

The fact that these chronicles use B.C./A.D. datings speaks volumes of their rather late origin. It is common knowledge, even among the Scaligerites, that the chronology was only introduced in the late Middle Ages ([76]). Below we shall be citing a number of facts proving that the authors of the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* had already been familiar with the

Scaligerian version of the global chronology of the antiquity. This version was created in the XV-XVII century A.D., which is yet another piece of evidence telling us that the version of the Chronicle known to us today is of a rather late origin.

Why do researchers pay so much attention to the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* in their reconstruction of the English

history? The explanation is very simple – the chronicle in question is presumed to be the first historical text written in English and using the “Years of Grace” chronology (see [1442], page xxiv; also Comment 3). We must make the following comment in re the transcription of dates used in the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*. It is presumed that the Anno Domini dates were known as

“Years from the Incarnation of Our Lord” in mediaeval England; another presumption is for the above to be equivalent to the “Years of Grace.” This alleged equivalence of the two ancient eras requires a special analysis, and we shall revert to this below. For the meantime, let us point out the phonetic similarity between the words “grace” and Greece.

It is possible that “Years of Grace” really translates as “Greek years,” implying a chronology that is somehow related to Greece or the Greek faith. It is also possible that the words “grace,” “Greece” and “Christ” are all related in some way – the association may be lost today. Should the above prove veracious, the Greek faith shall be another alias of the Christian religion. Let us

remind the reader that, according to our reconstruction, Christ had lived in Czar-Grad on the Bosphorus, or the Byzantine capital; this is also where he was crucified, q.v. in the table below ([517]).

Let us instantly make a disclaimer: we do not consider phonetic and linguistic parallels to be independent proof of anything at all. They

can only serve as auxiliary considerations, becoming meaningful inside a parallelism, or superimposition, that covers a period of several centuries.

When similar names manifest in both currents under comparison simultaneously inside a rigid superimposition, it lends some credulity to linguistic parallels as well.

The *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*

is written in a rather arid language. It is separated into chapters that correspond to individual years. It goes without saying that there are gaps and omissions. It is presumed that the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* describes the events that took place between the I century A.D. and the XI-XII century A.D. (see figs. 15.2 and 15.3). The dryness of the text and the lack of literary

embellishments is likely to indicate that the document in question is indeed an important one – possibly edited in the XVII century, but based on real ancient evidence nevertheless. The correctness of the datings ascribed to the events related in the Chronicle by later chronologists of the XVII-XVIII century is an altogether different issue.




Fig. 15.2. Scaligerian dating of the

events described by the famous
 mediaeval English chroniclers –
 Galfridus Monemutensis and Nennius.
 See [577] and [155].




Fig. 15.3. Parallelism between the
 mediaeval history of England and

Byzantium discovered in the course of our research with the application of formal mathematical and statistical methods.

1.2. *History of the Brits* by Nennius

This chronicle is relatively brief, comprising 24 pages of [577]. More than 30 manuscripts of this work are known to us today [577].

Modern commentators report: “The earliest manuscripts date

from the IX or the X century A.D., and the latest ones – from the XIII or even the XIV century. The authorship of certain manuscripts is attributed to Gildas. Nennius is seldom mentioned as the author of the oeuvre. What we have at our disposal is most likely to be a compilation... The original text has not survived, but we have an Irish translation of the XI century”

([577], page 269). The text is given according to the publication entitled *Nennius et l'Historia brittonum* (Paris, 1934). Some of the manuscripts are concluded with pages from the “Annales Cambriae,” a manuscript that is presumed to date from around 954 A.D. The work of Nennius does not have any annual separation or indeed any chronological indication

whatsoever, with the exception of the following two fragments. At the beginning of the chronicle there is a brief table entitled “On the Six Ages of the World,” which indicates intervals between a number of Biblical events in years – in accordance with the version of Scaliger and Petavius, which is highly remarkable. Chapter 16 contains a “chronological validation” with approximate

intervals between certain events of English history, characterised by extreme brevity.

Thus, the authorship of the text is dubious, and no original had survived. The translation dates from the alleged XI century. The text itself contains no independent chronological scale, which makes the issue of whether or not the manuscripts of the

Anglo-Saxon Chronicle are dated correctly all the more poignant. A propos, the text of Nennius is written in an unconstrained literary manner, with many rhetorical embellishments. This fact alone betrays the text to belong to a well-developed literary tradition, which had required time and literary experience. It is a possible indication of the chronicle's

late origin – the XVI-XVII or even the XVIII century.

It is presumed that Nennius describes events distributed across the historical interval beginning with the Trojan War (the alleged XII or XIII century B.C.) and ending with the IX or the X century A.D. Scaligerian historians have stretched the rather compendious text of Nennius over the gigantic interval of

two thousand years. This has led to great lacunae in his narration as regarded from the Scaligerian point of view. In figs. 15.2 and 15.3 we provide a schematic representation of the epoch allegedly described by Nennius as a dotted line. If we are to believe the Scaligerian chronology, Nennius offhandedly omits entire centuries, making gigantic leaps, without even

being aware and carrying on with his narration quite unperturbed.

1.3. *Historia Britonum* by Galfridus Monmutensis

The chronicle in question is presumed to date from the 1130s or the 1140s ([155], page 196). Galfridus is said to have based his work on the text of Nennius, to the extent of repeating the “mistakes” of

the latter ([155], page 231, comments to Chapter 17; also page 244). The book of Galfridus is a voluminous oeuvre that comprises some 130 pages of [155]. Unlike the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, the text contains no annual chronological division. The language of Galfridus is a highly evolved acrolect with a great number of rhetorical embellishments and much

moralising. It is even presumed that Galfridus had not only been a historian, but also a poet. His book indeed appears to supersede the work of Nennius, which is precisely what the English tradition claims. Galfridus is also said to have based his work on the “Ecclesiastical History of the Angles” by St. Bede the Venerable ([155], page 244).

It is noteworthy that modern

historians point out “the distinctly manifest orientation of Galfridus towards the ancient tradition” ([155], page 207). He doesn’t merely refer to the “ancient” themes, but also emulates the style of the “ancient” authors ([155], page 207). It is as though Galfridus was completely immersed in the atmosphere of the “antiquity” as he was writing his book. Modern specialists

presume Galfridus to have borrowed some of his stories from the “ancient” authors – Stacius, for instance, without mentioning it openly ([155], page 236).

Modern commentators write that the work of Galfridus had been extremely popular in the Middle Ages: “There are about two hundred [sic! – Auth.] copies of the *Historia* in existence ... made

in scriptoria between the XII and the XV century, which is when the first printed edition came out” ([155], page 228). The first printed edition came out in Paris in the alleged year 1508 – the XVI century the earliest, that is.

In figs. 15.2 and 15.3 we provide a schematic representation of the historical epoch allegedly described by Nennius in Scaligerian datings.

It virtually covers the same historical interval as the work of Nennius, between the Trojan War of the alleged XII or XIII century B.C. and the alleged VIII century A.D.

Although the book of Galfridus is much more detailed than that of Nennius, it cannot cover this long a period completely, and contains huge lacunae. However, Galfridus doesn't appear to notice this,

either – he carries on with his narration smoothly and without haste, without being aware that he skips over entire historical epochs, according to the Scaligerites.

1.4. Several other “ancient” English chronicles

We have used other English chronicles of the alleged IX-XIII century in our research, including the ones collected by

V. I. Matouzova in her compilation entitled *The Mediaeval English Sources* ([517]). We shall refrain from giving a detailed characteristic of these chronicles. Instead, we shall present to the reader a most remarkable table that we have compiled in accordance with the materials collected in Matouzova's book, which are based on her analysis of the English

chronicles (see the next section).

1.5. The names of the cities, ethnic groups and countries known to us today as reflected in mediaeval English chronicles

Some of the readers might think that mediaeval chronicles refer to London as London, Kiev as Kiev, Russia as Russia and so on. This is occasionally

the case in relatively recent texts dating from the XVIII-XIX century. However, this is an exception rather than a rule for the early and primordial chronicles of the XV-XVI century. Ancient chronicle often use completely different names; in this case, one requires a special research, which is often far from easy, in order to understand the real identity of the names in

question. Mediaeval texts often use thoroughly different names for referring to the same countries and nations, which usually have nothing in common with the names used today. In other words, the names of the ancient cities and nations known to us today are the ones that became immortalised by the Scaligerian history in the XVII-XVIII century.

However, it turns out that other opinions on these matters were rather common in the Middle Ages, and they often differ from the consensual ones drastically. It would be very interesting to see how the mediaeval English sources referred to the cities and nations that we believe to be familiar nowadays.

Apparently, mediaeval authors had oftentimes adhered to

completely different conceptions of the ancient and mediaeval history. It is for this very reason that the modern historians are forever accusing mediaeval chroniclers of ignorance, confusing different historical epochs, collating the “antiquity” with the Middle Ages and so on. We provide several typical examples of how the mediaeval artists saw the “antiquity” in figs. 15.4-

15.7. It is perfectly obvious that the “antiquity” in their rendition is the mediaeval epoch of the XIV-XVI century.



Fig. 15.4. Painting from the Museum of Vatican. Approximately dates from 1425 A. D. We see the Annunciation, which is consensually dated to the alleged I century A.D. However, the setting and the clothes are obviously mediaeval.

Taken from [713], page 96.



Fig. 15.5-6. Painting by Piero della Francesca, a mediaeval Italian artist (allegedly dating from 1420-1492 A.D.). The title is as follows: “Battle of

Emperor Constantine and Maxentius.” Famous “ancient” theme from the history of the “ancient” Roman Empire (the alleged IV century A.D.). The characters and the setting look typically mediaeval – and hailing from the late Middle Ages to boot. The “ancient” Roman rider looks like a typical mediaeval knight of the XV-XVI century wearing heavy plate armour that covers his entire body.

Taken from [\[16\]](#), page 39.



Fig. 15.7. Fragment of Piero della Francesca's painting entitled "Battle of Emperor Heraclius and Chosroes"

(allegedly dating from 1420-1492). The theme is said to date from the VII century A.D. What we really see is a group of late mediaeval knights wearing heavy plate armour; there are helmets with visors on their heads. Taken from [16], page 43.

The table that we have compiled demonstrates the geographical names used by the ancient English chronicles in lieu of their alleged modern equivalents. The identification of these mediaeval names has

been made by V. I.
Matouzova ([517]).

**THE TABLE OF NAMES
AND THEIR MEDIAEVAL
EQUIVALENCE**

*(In accordance with the
ancient English chronicles)*

- *The Azov Sea* = Maeotian
Lakes, Meotedisc fen,
Maeotidi lacus, Maeotidi
paludes, palus Maeotis,

paludes Maeotis, paludes
Maeotidae and Paluz
Meotidienes.

- *Alania* = Valana, Alania, Valves, Polovtsy [*sic!* – see below] and Albania.
- *Albanians* = Liubene, Albani, Alania, Albion = Britain and Albania on the shores of the Caspian Sea (modern Iran?); also Albania as a province of the Great Asia, washed by

the Caspian Sea in the East [*sic!*] and the Arctic Ocean in the North.

- *Amazonia* = Maegda Land, Maegda londe and Amazonia.
- *Bulgarians* = Wlgari, Bulgari, Bougreis and the Volga Bulgars.
- *River Bug* = Armilla.
- *The Vandals* = Wandali, Baltic Slavs.
- *Hungary* = Hungaria,

Hunia, Ungaria and Minor
Ungaria.

- *Byzantium* = Greece or Graecia; Constantinople = Constantinopolis.
- *The Valachians* = Coralli, Blachi, Ilac, Blac, and the Turks [sic! – see below].
- *Valachia* = Balchia.
- *Volga* = Ethilia, or Ithil.
- *The Gauls* = Galichi.
- *The Galitsk and Volynsk Russia* = Galacia, Gallacia

and Galicia.

- *Germany* = Gothia, Mesia, Theutonia, Germania, Allemania and Jermaine.
- *The Hibernian Ocean* = The English Channel and Hibernicum oceanum.
- *Hibernia* = Ireland [sic!]
- *Gothia* = Germany, Gotland Isle, Scandinavia and Tauris.
- *The Dacians* = Danes, Dani, Daneis, Dacians,

Deni [denizens of the Danube region?].

- *Denmark* = Denemearc, Dacia, Dania and Desemone.
- *The Danish* = Daci, Dani, Norddene and Denen.
- *The Dardanelles Strait* = Strait of St. George (branchium Sancti Georgii).
- *The Derbent Strait* = Alexander's Gate,

Alexandres herga, Porta
ferrea Alexandri and
claustra Alexandri.

- *Dnepr* = Aper.
- *The Dogi* = the Russians,
q.v. below.
- *Don* = Danai, Thanais and
Tanais.
- *The ancient Russia* =
Susie, Russie, Ruissie,
Rusia, Russia, Ruthenia,
Rutenia, Ruthia, Ruthena,
Ruscia, Russcia, Russya

and Rosie.

- *Danube* = Danubius, Hister, Danuvius, Damaius, Deinphirus, Don, Danai and Thanais.
- *The Iron Gate* (see *Derbent*).
- *Ireland* = Hibernia or Hybernia.
- *Iceland* = Ysolandia.
- *Caucasus* = Tauris, beorg Taurus and Caucasus.
- *Caspian Sea* = Caspia

garsecge and mare

Caspium.

- *Cassaria* = Khazaria [sic!
– see below].
- *Kiev* = Chyo [*sic!*], Cleva [*sic!*] and Riona [*sic!*].
- *The Chinese* = Cathaii.
- *The Coralli* = Valachians, q.v. above, and Turks, q.v. below.
- *Red Sea* = mare Rubrum.
- *The English Channel* = Hibernicum oceanum.

- *Marburg* = Merseburg.
- *Moesia* = Germany, q.v. above.
- *Narva* = Armilla.
- *The Germans* = Germanici, Germani, Teutonici, Theutonici and Allemanni.
- *The Netherlands* = Friesland, Frisia and Frise.
- *The Normans* = Nordmenn.
- *Ocean* = garsecg, Oceano,

Oceanus, Occeanus and Ocean.

- *The Pechenegi* = Getae.
- *The Polovtsy* = Planeti, Captac, Cumani, Comanii, Alani, Values and Valani.
- *Prussia* = Prutenia [*sic!* – P-Ruthenia = P-Russia].
- *The Prussians* = Prateni, Pruteni, Pructeni, Prusceni, Praceni and Pruceni.
- *Riona* = Kiev, q.v. above.

- *The Rugi* = Russians and Baltic Slavs, q.v. below.
- *The Ruhr Mountains* = Rithean, or Ural (Hyperborean) Mountains.
- *The Russians* = Russii, Dogi [*sic!*], Rugi [*sic!*], Rutheni [*sic!*] and Rusцени.
- *The Ruteni* = Russians, q.v. above.
- *Arctic Ocean* = Scythian Ocean, Sciffia garsecg,

Oceanus Septentrionalis and mare Scythium.

- *Sithia* = Scythia, q.v.
below.
- *The Scandinavians* = the
Goths (Gothi).
- *Scythia* = Sithia.
- *The Scythians* = Scithes,
Scythae, Cit [sic!], Scithia,
Scythia, Sice [sic!] and
Barbaria (barbarians).
- *The Baltic Slavs*, or *Sclavi*
= Winedas, Wandali and

Roge.

- *Taurus* = Caucasus, q.v. above.
- *Tauris* = Gothia [sic!].
- *Tanais* = Don, q.v. above.
- *The Tartars* (and the *Mongols*) = Tartareori, gens Tartarins, Tartari, Tartariti, Tartarii, Tattari, Tatari, Tartarei and Thartarei.
- *Tyrrenian Sea* = mare Tyrene.

- *The Turks* = Coralli, Thurki, Turci, Blachi, Ilac and Blac [*sic!*].
- *The Ural Mountains* = Riffeng beorgum, Hyberborei montes, montes Riph(a)eis, Hyperborei montes.
- *France* = Gallia and Francia.
- *Friesland* = The Netherlands, q.v. above.
- *Khazaria* = Cassaria and

Cessaria [sic!].

- *The Khazars* = Chazari.
- *Chyo* = Kiev, q.v. above.
- *The Black Sea* = Euxinus, Pontius, mare Ponticum, the Great Sea, or mare, and Majus.
- *Scotland* = Scotia and Gutlonde.
- *Genghis-Khan* = Cingis, Churchitan, Zingiton, Chirkam, Cliyram, Gurgatan, Cekarcarus,

Inghischam, Tharsis [*sic!*],
David [*sic!*] and Presbyter
Johannes [*sic!*].

- *Yaroslav Vladimirovich
the Wise, Great Prince of
Kiev* = Malesclodus,
Malescoldus, Julius
Clodius and Jurius
Georgius.

We have the following to say
in re the identity of Yaroslav
the Wise. As we can see,

mediaeval English chronicles refer to him as to Malescoldus. However, M. P. Alexeyev quotes other names of this monarch used in the historiographical tradition of the Western Europe in [14]. One of these names is Juriscloht; it obviously contains the name Youri (Juris, or Jurius). Another name of Yaroslav is Julius Claudius, or Juliusclodius, no

less. This is the name that Guillom of Jumiege, a chronicler from Normandy of the alleged XII century, uses for referring to Yaroslav the Wise. The English author Orderic Vitalius uses the same name for Yaroslav – Julius Claudius ([14]).

This is what we find written in some of the Old English texts: “He fled to the Kingdom of the Dogi, which we prefer

to call Russia. When Malescoldus, the king of this land, had found out who he was, he received him with honour” ([1068] and [1010]). The Latin original is as follows: “Aufugit ad regnum Dogorum, quod nos melius vocamus Russiam. Quem rex terrae Malescoldus nomine, ut cognovit quis esset, honeste retinuit” ([1068]).

Now let us imagine the

same text without the comment of the chronicler that the Kingdom of the Dogi was in fact Russia. It would read as follows: “He fled to the Kingdom of the Dogi. When Malescoldus, the king of this land, had found out who he was, he received him with honour.”

Since we are accustomed to the Scaligerian version of history, we would probably

interpret this passage as a description of British events, the Dogi being some nation in England and Scotland, and Malescoldus – the king of either Scotland or England.

This interpretation would initially strike us as perfectly logical. In reality, the English chronicle uses the name Dogi for referring to the Russians.

One is confronted with another issue of great interest.

Who were the famous Scottish kings bearing the name of Malcolm? We have Malcolm I (the alleged years 943-958), Malcolm II (the alleged years 1004-1034), and Malcolm III (the alleged years 1057-1093). Could these names hide the identities of the Scythian Czars (Khans) or their European representatives from the epoch of the “Mongolian” Empire?

The glossary of synonyms,

or duplicates, as presented above, shall prove extremely useful in our analysis of the English history.

2.

The Scaligerian chronology of British history

2.1. Scotland and England:
two parallel dynastic currents

In figs. 15.2 and 15.3 we see a rough scheme of the British history in its consensual version. It begins with the

alleged I century A.D., or the conquest of Britain by Julius Caesar. The English chronicles proceed with what is de facto a rendition of the Scaligerian history of Rome, occasionally mentioning this or the other Roman emperor visiting England. According to these chronicles, no independent English monarchs had yet existed in the epoch of the alleged years 1-400 A.D. (the

British Isles appear to have been part of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire for the first four centuries, or in the XIII-XVI century A.D.). For the sake of simplicity, we shall now consider the Scaligerian chronology of Britain as rendered in the work of J. Blair dating from the end of the XVIII century ([76]). The “amendments” made by the historians of the XIX-XX

century do not affect the general picture, and are thus of little importance to us. We use quotation marks around the word “amendments” to point out that minor alterations of a blatantly incorrect picture make no sense whatsoever.

In the alleged V century A.D. Rome loses power over Britain, and the first independent monarchs emerge there. From this moment on,

British history becomes divided in two – the history of England and the history of Scotland.

In other words, the alleged V century A.D. marks the naissance of two dynastic currents – the English and the Scottish. Both currents appear to be moving in parallel along the time axis, merging in 1603 and becoming the single dynastic current of Great

Britain.

In the alleged year 404 A.D. Fergus I, King of Scotland, founds a long dynasty of Scottish rulers, which continues uninterrupted until 1603 A.D. In 1603, under Jacob I (1603-1625), the United Kingdom of Great Britain comes to existence. One must note that the sequence of the Scottish rulers is well ordered and has

virtually no co-rulers. The royal dynasty of Scotland covers the entire interval of 1200 years between the alleged years 404 and 1603 evenly and without superimpositions. This is an example of a “well-written history,” where each king occupies a separate place on the time axis (see the dotted line in figs. 15.2 and 15.3).

Actual English history looks

completely different.

2.2. English history of the alleged years 1-445 A.D.

England as a Roman colony

The period between the alleged year 60 B.C. and the first years of the new era is considered to be the epoch of the conquest of Britain, started by the Roman troops of Julius Caesar (see fig. 15.3).

The period between the

alleged I century A.D. and 445 A.D. is considered to be the epoch of the Roman rule in England, which is ruled by the Roman emperors “remotely.” There are no independent English monarchs or local governors. This period of English history in the rendition of the “Anglo-Saxon Chronicles” is basically a rendition of the Roman imperial history between the

alleged I century A.D. and the middle of the V century A.D. in the Scaligerian version.

In the section covering the events of the alleged year 409 A.D., the “Chronicle” reports that the Romans were defeated by the Goths, fleeing from England and never ruling over it again ([1442, page 11]). See Comment 4.

2.3. The epoch between the

alleged years 445 and 830 A.D. Six kingdoms and their unification

Starting with the alleged year 445, several kingdoms emerge in England, each of them possessing a dynastic current of its own. We are referring to the following six kingdoms (heptarchies):

- Brittany = Britain,
- Saxons = Kent,

- Sussex = South Saxons,
- Wessex = West Saxons,
- Essex = East Saxons,
- Mercia = Mercia.

These six kingdoms coexist until the alleged year 828 A.D., which is when they merge into a single kingdom of England in the course of a war. This takes place under Egbert, who becomes the first ruler of the united England.

According to [76] and [64], the period of circa 830 A.D. can be called the end of the heptarchy: “Under Egbert, King of Wessex, all the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms united into a single state of the early feudal period” ([334], page 172).

2.4. The epoch of the alleged years 830-1040 A.D. ends with the Danish conquest and the decline of the Danish

Empire

Starting with the alleged year 830, the English chronicles only refer to a single dynastic current of rulers in the united kingdom of England. The alleged years 1016-1040 mark a watershed in the history of England. In 1016, Knut (Canute the Great, King of the Danes) conquers England and becomes the monarch of

England, Denmark and Norway. An old portrait of Canute the Great and his spouse Emma can be seen in fig. 15.8.

This reign is reported to have been rather unstable. After the death of Canute in the alleged year 1035, the Danish Empire falls apart. In the alleged year 1042, the English throne is re-captured by Edward the Confessor, a

representative of the old Anglo-Saxon dynasty (1042-1066). An old portrait of his can be seen in fig. 15.9. In fig. 15.3 we mark 1040 as one of the most important breakpoints in the Scaligerian history of England.



Fig. 15.8. Canute the Great, king of Denmark (and, later, England – allegedly regnant in 1016-1035). He and

his spouse Emma are laying a cross upon an altar: “Canute accepting the Greek title of Basileus after being baptised a Christian” ([328], page 119). Taken from [328], page 119.



Fig. 15.9. “The seal of Edward the Confessor. We see the same legend on both sides: *Sigillum Edwardi Anglorum*”

Basilei. This title was also borne by his predecessors, Ethelstan (946-955) and Edgar (925-940)” ([328], page 119).

Taken from [328], page 119.

2.5. The epoch of the alleged years 1040-1066 A.D. The rule of the old Anglo-Saxon dynasty and its end

The reign of Edward the Confessor ends in 1066, which is another famous breakpoint. According to the Scaligerian chronology, the following

important events happened that year – the death of Edward the Confessor, the Norman conquest of England by William I the Conqueror (the Bastard), and the famous Battle of Hastings, wherein William defeats the Anglo-Saxon king Harold and becomes William I, King of England (1066-1087). This important date (1066) is also marked in fig. 15.3.

2.6. The epoch between the alleged years 1066 and 1327 A.D. The Norman dynasty followed by the dynasty of Anjou. The two Edwards

This epoch begins with the Norman reign. The entire first part of the historical period between the alleged years 1066 and 1327 is comprised by the reign of the Norman dynasty ([64], page 357) – the alleged years 1066-1153 (or

1154). The dynasty of Anjou comes to power right after that and reigns between the alleged years 1154 and 1272 ([64], page 357). In 1263-1267 a civil war breaks out in England ([334], page 260). In the late XIII – early XIV century, an oligarchic monarchy emerges in England under the two kings of the new dynasty – Edward I (1272-1307) and Edward II (1307-1327). The

end of this epoch is marked by the expansion wars with Wales, Scotland and Ireland. The war ended in 1314, the Scots being the victorious party. As we have estimated, this epoch (the early XIV century) was the epoch of the Great = “Mongolian” conquest. In *Chron5* we demonstrate that this conquest also reached England.

Therefore, the fact that a

new dynasty came to rule over England around this time is perfectly natural. One must also note that the first three kings of this dynasty all bore the name Edward; the name sounds similar to the word “Horde.”

2.7. The epoch between 1327 and 1602

This period begins with the reign of Edward III (1327-

1377), and ends with the formation of Great Britain as a result of the unification of England and Scotland. The following period (1600 and on) shall not be considered in the present analysis, since it is of no relevance to our analysis of the “ancient” English history.

Summary: We have therefore discovered that the

Scaligerian history of England contains a number of remarkable breakpoints, which provide for a natural division of this history into several historical epochs. We shall soon witness this division to be anything but random, and explained by the existence of phantom duplicates and chronological shifts inside the history of England.

NB: It has to be pointed out that Ruthenia or Ruthia as aliases of Russia are perfectly understandable – they derive from the Russian words for “army” (“*orda*” or “*rat*”), as well as “*rada,*” or “council.”

PART TWO

Parallels between
the history of
England and
Byzantium, Rome
and the Horde

3.

A rough comparison of the dynastic currents of England and Rome (Byzantium)

As we already know, the “ancient” English chronicles claim that England had remained a Roman colony for approximately the first four

hundred years. Moreover, chronicles that relate the English history of this period refer to Rome and Byzantium more often than to England. One therefore comes up with the obvious idea of comparing the respective dynastic currents of England and Rome (Byzantium). This comparison was made somewhat easier to us, since the global chronological map as compiled

by A. T. Fomenko and presented in *Chron1* and *Chron2* already depicts all the primary dynastic currents of Europe and the Mediterranean region as distributed along the time axis, including the emperors of Rome, Byzantium and England. A cursory glance thrown at these two currents of rulers reveals an amazing fact – the reign densities are distributed across both

currents with exceptional similarity. Moreover, the dynastic currents of England and Rome (Byzantium) are unique in this respect. There are no other dynastic currents with similar characteristics. Let us explain just what we mean.

Let us divide the period of English history that is of interest to us (the alleged years 1-1700 A.D.) into

decades and then count the kings regnant within each decade. For instance, if there was just one monarch within a given decade, the decade in question shall be marked as 1. If there were two kings – either in succession, or as co-rulers, the decade shall be marked as 2, and so on. We shall thus come up with a certain graph that demonstrates the density of a

given dynastic current, or the quantity of kings per decade.

Since there were no independent rulers in England between the alleged years of 1 and 400 A.D., q.v. above, the graph corresponding to the English rulers of this period shall have zero density.

Starting with the alleged year 440 A.D. we see six independent dynastic currents in England, q.v. above,

existing up until the alleged year of 830, marking the unification of the country. After that we have a single dynastic current that continues until the present day ([1442]).

We have performed the same operation for the dynastic current of Rome, or Byzantium, of the period between the alleged years 1 and 1500 A.D. Here we have collected all the data

concerning the emperors of Rome and Byzantium regnant between the alleged I and XV century A.D. In the Scaligerian version, this dynastic current is concentrated around Rome and its colonies on the interval of the alleged I-IV century A.D. After the alleged year 330, it is adjoined by the independent dynastic current of Byzantium with the capital

in New Rome, or Constantinople. Both currents coexist and are intertwined to a great extent up until the middle of the alleged VI century A.D. It is presumed that in the VI century Western Rome had lost its imperial dynasty after the famous Gothic War, erroneously dated to the VI century A.D. by Scaliger. From this moment on we only have a single Roman

dynastic current – the Byzantine. It ends in 1453 with the fall of Constantinople and the entire Byzantine Empire.

The results of density calculation are presented in figs. 16.1 and 16.2. The bottom graph corresponds to the density of the Roman and Byzantine dynastic current, and the top one – to the English. We have shifted the

Scaligerian dates pertaining to the history of England backwards by some 275 years in this comparison.

Density graphs of dynastic currents
(amount of kings regnant in every decade)





Fig. 16.1. Comparative density distribution on the time axis (representing the quantity of kings regnant in every decade) in the dynastic currents of England and Rome, or Byzantium. The two graphs concur with each other very well. First part of the graphs.

Density graphs of dynastic currents





Fig. 16.2. Comparative dynastic current densities for England and Rome, or Byzantium. Continued.

One doesn't need to study the two graphs (figs. 16.1 and 16.2) for too long in order to notice the extreme similarity of the rough characteristics of both dynastic currents under comparison. Indeed, the initial reign densities of both currents are rather low; then we observe the numeric

characteristics of both currents soaring simultaneously. Then we see similar density amplitudes of both currents – the English and the Roman, or Byzantine.

Next we see both density characteristics plummet – once again, almost simultaneously, without any substantial changes to follow. They oscillate around the values of 1 and 2 for the next

couple of centuries.

The zone of high dynastic frequency for England covers the period between the alleged years 445-830 A.D., whereas for Rome and Byzantium it falls over the alleged years 170-550 A.D. The length of these dense dynastic intervals is equal for both currents and amounts to circa 380 years. The general duration of the historical intervals under

comparison (English and Roman, or Byzantine) equals some 1500 years in both cases.

As we have already mentioned, this pair of graphs is unique. We managed to find no similar dynastic currents in any other country or epoch.

In fig. 16.3 the same data are represented more roughly. We have highlighted the two zones of high dynastic

frequency, corresponding to the number of rulers, on the time axis. We can see the chronological shift that combines the two zones roughly equals 275 years. This fact leads one to the following considerations.

Comparative dynastic current density graphs




Fig. 16.3. A rough comparison of density graphs drawn for the dynastic currents of England and Rome (Byzantium). In the previous illustration they are drawn in greater detail.

The quantitative comparison that we have just made is very

rough, and allows no definite claims; however, the information that we already know leads us to a serious suspicion. Could this strange similarity be explained by the fact that one of these dynastic currents is a mere copy of the other? Alternatively, can both of them be copies of a single original? As soon as we formulate the “heretical” question, we start to discover

the facts that make the situation look even stranger. For instance, we are told that the old name of the English is Angles ([1442], pages 12-13), whereas the country itself was known as Angel, Anglia or Angeln ([1442], page 189). “Angles” as the name of a nation is first encountered in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle (section corresponding to the alleged year 443 A.D.). This

term runs through the entire history of England. It is also presumed that the first ruler to call himself the king of England, or Anglia, was called Ethelstan (925-940) – see [64], page 340.

On the other hand, we know of the famous imperial dynasty of the Angeli in Byzantium – a distinguished feudal clan active in the alleged years 1185-1204 A.D.

([729], page 166). Is it really so strange? Could the dynasty of the Angles in the West of Europe and the dynasty of the Angeli in the East have emerged simultaneously in a random way?

This makes sense so far – after all, we have no data to arrive at any radical conclusions so far. However, let us see whether a more in-depth analysis should reveal

new facts.

Let us make the following observation to evade confusion. When we refer to a dynasty of the English rulers, for instance, we merely mean the sequence of rulers arranged in succession along the time axis by the Scaligerian chronology. We are not interested in kinship, which is taken into account in studies of dynastic heritage.

4.

The dynastic parallelism
between the history of
England and Byzantium.

A general
superimposition scheme
of the two

We claim that there is a
distinct parallelism between

the reign durations of the English kings regnant between the alleged years of 640 and 1327 A.D. and those of the Byzantine emperors between the alleged years of 378 and 830 A.D., and then 1143-1453 A.D. The parallelism is represented schematically in fig. 15.3. In particular, we claim the following to be true.

- 1) The dynastic history of England between the alleged

years of 640 and 1040 A.D.
(400 years altogether)
duplicates the dynastic history
of Byzantium between the
alleged years 378 and 830
A.D. (452 years all in all). The
two dynastic currents
superimpose over each other
after a shift of 210 years.

More specifically, we have
discovered a separate dynastic
current within the saturated
dynastic current of England

that duplicates the Byzantine in the specified epoch. This “Byzantine current”, duplicated in the English history, is part of the dynastic current of Rome and Byzantium saturated with jointly ruling emperors.

2) The next period in the dynastic history of England (the alleged years 1040-1327), whose duration equals 287 years, duplicates the dynastic

history of Byzantium of the alleged years 1143-1453 (a sequence of 310 years). These two dynastic currents superimpose after a shift of 120 years.

3) The period of the Byzantine dynastic history between the alleged years of 830 and 1143 also identifies as the same English dynasty of the alleged years 1040-1327. There is nothing surprising

about this fact, since the history of Byzantium contains duplicates of its own. In particular, Byzantine history of the alleged years 830-1143 is a phantom reflection of the subsequent period in Byzantine history, namely, the alleged years 1143-1453. See more on this topic in *Chron1* and *Chron2*.

4) The boundaries of the English historical periods that

duplicate Byzantine history correspond to the periods of English history discovered above.

5) The boundaries of the Byzantine historical periods that duplicate the respective periods in the history of England are also of a natural character, and divide the Byzantine history into four segments, which we shall name Byzantium 0,

Byzantium 1, Byzantium 2
and Byzantium 3.

5.

The dynastic parallelism table

5.1. The English history of the
alleged years 640-830 A.D.
and the Byzantine history of
the alleged years 378-553
A.D. as reflections of the same
late mediaeval original. A shift
of 275 years

a. English epoch of the alleged years 640-830 A.D. The royal dynasty of Wessex. This is one of the six dynastic current of the early English history (the alleged years 400-830). This dynastic current moves within the period of the “early” English history saturated with rulers, q.v. in

figs. 16.1, 16.2 and 16.3.
The names and the reign durations are taken from [1442] and [76].

b. Byzantine epoch of the alleged years 378-553 A.D. The dynasty of Byzantine emperors that actually begins with the foundation of the New Rome, or Constantinople, around the alleged year 330 A.D. This dynastic current moves

within the period that is saturated with other Roman emperors. Depicted as Byzantium 0 in fig. 15.3. The reign durations are taken from [76].

Commentary. The chronological data were taken from Blair's tables [76] and complemented by the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle ([1442]). We

must point out that there are certain discrepancies between the reign durations indicated in different chronological tables; however, these fluctuations do not affect the general picture of the parallelism. Sections marked “a” contain the full sequence of the English kings, whereas the “b” sections list the Byzantine emperors identified as their doubles, or prototypes. This list appears to

contain nearly every emperor of Byzantium. It is very significant that only a very small number of short-term rulers and co-rulers of England and Byzantium were left outside the discovered parallel.

1a. *England.* Cenwalh, reigned in 643-673 as King of Wessex, and in 643-647

as King of Sussex. The summary reign duration equals 29 years, or 25 years if we are to consider his Wessex reign after 647 exclusively.

■ *1b. Byzantium.*

Theodosius I, reigned since 378 or 379 and until 395 (16 years).

2a. England. Queen Seaxburh, wife of Cenwalh.

Brief reign of 2 years
between 672 and 674.

■ *2b. Byzantium.* No
corresponding duplicate
here.

3a. England. Cens,
reigned for 12 years
between 674 and 686
according to Blair ([76]).
The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle
([1442]) names two kings,
Escwine and Centwine,

whose summary reign duration equals 9 years.

■ *3b. Byzantium.*

Arcadius, reigned for 13 years between 395 and 408.

4a. England. Caedwalla, brief 2-year reign between 686 and 688.

■ *4b. Byzantium.* No corresponding duplicate.

5a. England. Ine, reigned

for 39 years between 686 and 727 according to Blair, and 37 years according to [1442].

■ *5b. Byzantium.*

Theodosius II, reigned for 42 years between 408 and 450.

6a. England.

Aethelheard, reigned for 13 years between 727 and 740. [1442] indicates the

duration of his reign as 14 years.

■ *6b. Byzantium.* Leo I, reigned for 17 years between 457 and 474.

7a. England. Cuthred, reigned for 14 years between 740 and 754 according to Blair ([76]), and for 17 years according to [1442].

■ *7b. Byzantium.* Zeno,

474-491, reigned for 17 years. This monarch was regnant twice.

8a. England. Sigeberht, 754. Reigned for 1 year; a brief reign.

■ *8b. Byzantium.* No corresponding duplicate.

9a. England. Cynewulf, 754-784. Reigned for 30 years according to Blair,

and for 31 years according to [1442].

■ *9b. Byzantium.*

Anastasius, 491-518, reigned for 27 years.

10a. *England.* Beorhtric, 784-800, reigned for 16 years.

■ *10b. Byzantium.* Justin I, 518-527, reigned for 9 years.

11a. *England*. Egbert, reigned for 38 years between 800 and 838. In 828, the 28th year of his reign, he united six kingdoms into one. This is how England is supposed to have come to existence. He ruled as the king of England for the last ten years of his reign. Egbert is considered to be a prominent ruler in English history.

■ 11b. *Byzantium*.

Justinian I the Great, reigned for 38 years between 527 and 565. In 553, the 26th year of his reign, he defeats the Goths in the course of the famous Gothic War of the alleged VI century. After that, Justinian becomes the sole ruler of Rome and Byzantium. The last 12 years of his reign are

marked by the absence of co-rulers in the West of the empire. He is one of the most famous Byzantine emperors. We see a good concurrence of dates: fundamental events taking place in the 28th and the 26th year of reign, and equal durations of total rule (38 years for each).

5.2. English history of the alleged years 830-1040 A.D. and the Byzantine history of the alleged years 553-830 A.D. as two reflections of the same late mediaeval original.

A shift of 275 years

a. England of the alleged years 830-1040. England is already a united kingdom in this period ([76]).

b. Byzantium of the alleged years 553-830 A.D.

Marked as Byzantium 1 in
fig. 15.3.

12a. *England.*

Aethelberth, 860-866.

Reigned for 6 years.

■ 12b. *Byzantium.* Justin
II, 565-578. Reigned for 13
years.

13a. *England.*

Aethelbald, 857-860.

Reigned for 3 years.

■ 13b. *Byzantium*.

Tiberius Constantine, 578-582. Reigned for 4 years.

14a. *England*.

Aethelwulf, 838-857.

Reigned for 19 years.

■ 14b. *Byzantium*.

Mauritius, 582-602.

Reigned for 20 years.

15a. *England*. Aethelred,

866-872. Reigned for 6 years.

■ 15b. *Byzantium*.

Phocas, 602-610. Reigned for 8 years.

Commentary. Let us point out that the English chroniclers swapped the respective places of Aethelwulf and Aethelbert ([334]). Their Byzantine doubles, Justin II and

Mauritius, are arranged in the opposite order. This confusion is easy to explain – all four English kings of this periods have similar names beginning with “Aethel”.

16a. *England*. Alfred I the Great, Singer of Psalms. Reigned for 28 years between 871 and 901 according to [76], or for 30

years between 871 and 901 according to [64], page 340.

■ 16b. *Byzantium*.

Heraclius, 610-641, reigned for 31 years.

17a. *England*. Edward the Ancient, 900-925.

Reigned for 25 years.

■ 17b. *Byzantium*.

Constans II Pogonatus, 641-668. Reigned for 26 years.

18a. *England*. Athelstan, 925-941. Reigned for 16 years. Presumably, the first monarch to have titled himself King of England ([64], page 340).

■ 18b. *Byzantium*.

Constantine IV, 668-685, reigned for 17 years.

19a. *England*. Period of strife; a war with Northumbria. The Anglo-

Saxon Chronicle mentions three kings of this period – Edmund I, regnant for 7 years between 941 and 948, Eadred, regnant for 7 years between 948 and 955, and Eadwig, regnant for 4 years between 955 and 959. All of their reigns were short.

■ 19b. *Byzantium*. The famous strife in Scaligerian history of Byzantium (allegedly, late VII – early

VIII century). Also a sequence of short-term emperors: Leontius II, 695-698 or 694-697, Tiberius III, 697-704 or 698-705, Justinian II, 705-711, Philippicus Vardan, 711-713, Anastasius II, 713-715 (or 716), and Theodosius III, 715 or 716-717.

Thus, the two periods of

turmoil in English and Byzantine history, superimpose well over each other, which makes them simultaneous after the superimposition of the English and the Byzantine history. We have refrained from delving deeper into this period, due to the fact that the respective chronicles are extremely confused.

20a. *England*. Edgar, 959-975, reigned for 16 years, and Edward the Martyr, 975-978, reigned for 3 years. The sum of their reigns equals 19 years. Their names are similar, and the chroniclers may have collated them into a single monarch.

■ 20b. *Byzantium*. Leo III the Isaurian (or Syrian),

reigned for 24 years.

21a. *England.* Aethelred II the Unready, 978-1013, reigned for 35 years. An ancient coin depicting this monarch can be seen in fig. 16.4.



Fig. 16.4. An old coin portraying Ethelred II, King of England (the alleged years 978-1016). Kept in the Hermitage.

Taken from [990], table 42.

■ *21b. Byzantium.* Constantine V the Copronymus, 741-775, reigned for 34 years.

22a. England. Canute the Great (the Dane), 1017-1036, reigned for 19 years. His death brings forth the dissolution of the Danish

Empire. Thus, the epoch in question ends with another breakpoint in the history of England. Let us note that the fragment of the English history that we have under consideration can be identified as the respective period in Byzantine history after a shift of circa 210-275 years.

■ *22b. Byzantium.*

Constantine VI

Porphyrogenetus, 780-797, reigned for 17 years. We have come to the end of the period marked in *Chron1* as the First Byzantine Empire of the alleged years 527-840. We have also approached a natural breakpoint in Byzantine history.

English chronicles conclude

this epoch with two short-term rulers: Harold I the Dane, regnant for 3 years between 1036 and 1039, and Harthacnut, regnant for 2 years between 1039 and 1041. We have found no Byzantine duplicate for Harthacnut, but there is one for Harold I, which shall be discussed below. One must also note that the name Hartha is very similar to the word “Horde”. It

is possible that Harthacnut isn't a name in the modern sense of the word, but rather an alias – Horde-Khan, Khan of the Horde, or something along those lines. Since the name Cnut resembles Can-T, or Khan-T, it is possible that the last letter was added later as a suffix. Alternatively, the name may translate as “The Horde’s Whip”, or “The Scourge from the Horde”,

“knut” being the Russian word for “whip”. There were many similar aliases in the middle ages – for instance, Attila was known as “the Lord’s Scourge”. In fig. 16.5 one sees an ancient coin portraying Harthacnut ([990], table 42).



Fig. 16.5. An old coin portraying King Harthacnute (the alleged years 1035-1042). Kept in the Hermitage. Taken from [990], table 42.

We continue our uninterrupted motion forward along the timeline of the English history. The discovered parallelism with Byzantium continues; however, it becomes all the more vivid if we are to skip the following epoch of Byzantium 2 (see fig. 15.3) and proceed

directly with Byzantium 3 (1143-1452). As we have already explained, the two epochs duplicate each other in Scaligerian history. The duplication isn't exact; therefore, the sections marked as "b", which contain the emperors of the Third Byzantine Empire, shall also be complemented by their duplicates from the Second Byzantine Empire. We shall

thus consider our motion forward along the respective timelines of England and Byzantium. It turns out that the parallelism that we have discovered continues, up until the fall of Constantinople in 1453.

5.3. English history of 1040-1327 A.D. and Byzantine history of 1143-1453 A.D. A shift of 120 years

a. England of the alleged years 1040-1327.

b. Byzantium of the alleged years 1143-1453 A.D. Marked in fig. 15.3 and Byzantium 3. The epoch of Byzantium 2 is its phantom reflection.

23a. England. Edward the “Confessor”, 1041-1066, reigned for 25 years. The death of Edward

signifies the beginning of the so-called Norman invasion, which must be the reflection of the Great = “Mongolian” conquest of the XIV century as reflected in the English chronicles. Some of the old chronicles used the term “Normans” for referring to the Slavs; the Slavic origins of the Normans were also pointed out by the XVI century

historian Mauro Orbini
([617], page 111). Normans
were called Russes in the
old Arabic and Greek texts
([866], Volume 3, page
522).

Commentary. It is
presumed that after the death
of Edward (of the Horde?) the
Confessor, the throne went to
Harold II Godwinson. He only

reigned for one year, and got killed in the Battle of Hastings in the alleged year 1066.

However, it is known that he had de facto acquired great power as early as in 1054, when Edward was still alive ([64], page 343). At the same time, the English chronicles place another “short-term” Harold before the reign of Edward the Confessor, namely, Harold I the Dane

(“Harefoot”), who had reigned for three years between 1036 and 1039. It is possible that this Harold I is merely a reflection of Harold II.

■ *23b. Byzantium.*

Manuel I Comnenus, 1143-1180, reigned for 37 years. A period of turmoil begins in Byzantium after his death. The famous crusade

and the conquest of Constantinople in 1204 is considered to be its culmination.

24a. *England.* The “double Harold”, or Harold I the Dane, 1036-1039, followed by Harold II, 1066. Harold II reigned for a mere 9 months. Apparently, this “double Harold” is a reflection of the “double

Isaac Angelus” of Byzantium, who had reign twice – his second reign lasted less than a year.

■ *24b. Byzantium.* Isaac II Angelus, 1185-1195. He lost the throne in 1195, and ascended to the Byzantine throne for the second time in 1203. His reign lasts for less than a year; his final dethronement results from the conquest of

Constantinople by the crusaders in 1204.

25a. England. The Norman conquest of England. The famous Battle of Hastings in the alleged year 1066.

■ *25b. Byzantium.* The conquest of Byzantium by the crusaders. The famous Fourth Crusade of the alleged years 1199-1204.

We shall consider the parallelism between these events in more detail below.

26a. *England*. William I the Conqueror (“the Bastard”), also known as William I of Normandy, 1066-1087, reigned for 21 years. He founds the new Norman dynasty in England (see fig. 16.6).



Fig. 16.6. History of England in its Scaligerian rendition. What we see in the illustration is believed to be a

portrait of William the Conqueror (the alleged years 1066-1087). Taken from [1221].

■ *26b. Byzantium.* Theodore I Lascaris, 1204-1222.

Reigned for 18 years. He founds the new Nicaean Empire in Byzantium. He became reflected as Basil I of Macedonia in Byzantium 2 (867-887; a 19-year reign).

27a. *England*. William II Rufus, or “the Red”, 1087-1101. Reigned for 14 years (see fig. 16.7). We have a 14-year reign here; his Byzantine duplicate reigned for 11 or 12 years, q.v. below.



Fig. 16.7. A sculpture that is presumed to represent William II The Red nowadays (the alleged years 1087-1100). However, there appears to be no

old lettering anywhere on the statue.

Taken from [1221].

- *27b. Byzantium.* Apparently, there is another confusion in the chronicles that describe the early days of the Norman dynasty in England and the Nicaean Empire in Byzantium. The duplicate of William II is either omitted, or identifies as the same Isaac II Angelus, with his

full reign counted this time – 1185-1195 and then 1203-1204, or 11-12 years in total. The chroniclers may have been confused by the double reign of Isaac II.

28a. England. Henry I Boclerc, 1101-1135, reigned for 34 or 35 years (see fig. 16.8). We reproduce a photograph of an old coin minted under

Henry I. The inscription on the coin instantly draws our attention – it is set in some unusual script, which has got nothing in common with the Romanic characters. It would be interesting to decipher the writing on the coin. However, the commentary given in the modern publication ([1221]) doesn't utter a word about the lettering, which can

nevertheless be read and translated. The inscription begins to make sense if we are to read it using the Old Russian alphabet, which is forgotten today.

Nevertheless, several specimens of this alphabet have survived (see fig. 3.23 in Chapter 3 of *Chron4*), and it was deciphered by N. Konstantinov ([425]). Let us reproduce this table once

again (see fig. 16.9). If we are to use this alphabet, we shall come up with a coherent Russian text:

“Avva + Or Ianoviche (or Iakoviche)” – Avva Uar Ivanocich (or Yakovich).

The first word was commonly used for addressing the nobility in the Middle Ages, and Uar is either a Christian name or the word “Czar”. The last

word is a patronymic. The letter for N or K is the only one that wasn't included in N. Konstantinov's table; we reconstructed it contextually.



Fig. 16.8. A mediaeval English coin with “illegible” lettering. Presumably

minted by Henry I, King of England (the alleged years 1100-1135). It is most noteworthy that the application of N. Konstantinov's table ([425]) to the decipherment of the lettering upon the coin leaves one with a coherent Slavic (Russian) text: "Avva Or Ianoviche (or Iakoviche)". Taken from [1221].

Cyrillic letters	Their cryptographic interpretation	Symbols from Cyprus	Their cryptographic interpretation	Altered graphemes	Their cryptographic interpretation	Pictogram of Cheronese	Its cryptographic interpretation
Г	— А	Ɔ	— А	∴	— Г	𐌶	— Б
Э	— Е	‡, #	— В, Н	⊙	— Д		
ї, ѣ	— И	↑	— Е	¥	— З		
Ь	— Ъ, б	⊕, ⊖	— Л	=, II	— К		
ѣ	— Ч	⊙	— О	∴	— М		
Ю	— Я	†, †	— П	m	— О		
		X, Λ	— Р	ζ	— С		
		^, S	— С	εβ	— Ф		
		μ, ω	— Т				
		я, Я	— У, Ю				
		*	— Х				

Fig. 16.9. Table for converting the Russian letters that strike us as uncanny nowadays as found in a number of Russian texts dating from the XVII century into modern Cyrillic characters. Compiled by N. Konstantinov. See fig.

3.23 in Chapter 3 of Chron4 for an example of such a text. Taken from [425].

One must also mention the name Henry (or Heinrich) in this respect. There are many kings bearing that name in the mediaeval history of the Western Europe. It is possible that the name had once stood for Khan-Rex, or Khan and Czar. This may be the manner in which the chroniclers of the

Western Europe used to address their faraway and powerful rulers – the Czars, or Khans, of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire, who had controlled nearly all of the Eurasian continent in the XIV-XVI century, according to our reconstruction. After the fragmentation of the Empire, the initial meaning of the title Khan-Rex was forgotten in Europe, and the former title

transformed into the name Henry (Henri, or Heinrich).

■ *28b. Byzantium.* John III Duca Vatas, reigned for 32 years between 1222 and 1254 or 1256. His reflection in the phantom duplicate of Byzantium 2 is Leo VI the Philosopher, regnant for 26 years between 886 and 912.

Commentary. We must make the following observation that concerns the graphical representations of the mediaeval English kings. As we shall see below, many of the “royal portraits” shown to us today are of a very late origin. This is clearly visible from a perusal of [1221]. Authentic old portraits, such as the coarse “portrait” of

Henry I as seen on one of his coins, are extremely scarce. There is also this tendency that whenever we are confronted with an authentic old inscription that accompanies such a portrait, it usually says something that radically differs from whatever modern historians suggest. It is little wonder that the representatives of the so-called historical science prefer to

remain reticent about such inscriptions (declaring them “illegible”, for instance).

Thus, authentic old portraits of the English kings that predate the XV century are either altogether nonexistent, or of dubious origins.

29a. England. Stephen of Blois, 1135-1154, reigned for 19 years (see fig. 16.10).

Stephen is the last representative of the Norman dynasty in England ([64], page 357). The next king, Henry II, is the founder of the new Anjou dynasty.



Fig. 16.10. Stained glass window with

the alleged portrait of Stefan, King of England (the alleged years 1135-1154).

Taken from [1221].

■ *29b. Byzantium.*

Michael VIII, reigned for 23 years (from 1259 or 1260 until 1282 or 1283). His reflection in the phantom duplicate of Byzantium 2 is Roman I, regnant for 26 years between 919 and 945. Michael VIII is the founder

of the new Byzantine
dynasty – the Palaiologi
(regnant in 1261-1453).

Thus, a rigid chronological
shift that superimposes the
respective historical periods in
England and Byzantine
history, the English dynasty of
the Normans identifies as the
Byzantine dynasty of Angeli.
The Anjou dynasty that came

in its wake can be identified as the Byzantine dynasty of Palaiologi.

30a. *England*. Henry II Plantagenet, reigned for 35 years between 1154 and 1189 (see fig. 16.11). One must point out the semantic identity of the names Plantagenet and Porphyrogenetus – they

both mean “born in a shirt,”
which is a common medical
term (see below).



Fig. 16.11. The sculpture that is presumed to represent Henry II, King of England (the alleged years 1154-1189). However, there is no old lettering to be seen anywhere. Taken from [1221].

- 30b. *Byzantium*. Andronicus II Palaiologos, regnant for 46 years between 1282 or 1283. If we are to count the period between 1283 and 1320, when his co-ruler Andronicus III began his reign, we shall come up

with a figure of 37 years.
His duplicate in Byzantium
2 is Constantine VII
Porphyrogenetus (910-959
or 912-959, regnant for 47
or 49 years).

Commentary. The name
Porphyrogenetus translates as
“porphyry-born” – “born in a
royal attire”, in other words.
This is apparently a reference

to one of the rare cases when a child is born in a “shirt”, or wrapped up in the placental remains. “Planta” sounds similar to “placenta”. Such births were considered omens – good or bad, but at any rate a mark of a special destiny.

The name we see in the English version is

“Plantagenet”, which translates as “wrapped in a sheet at birth” ([237]) –

obviously the same thing.

31a. *England*. Henry II is the founder of the famous House of Plantagenet, which ends in 1399 with Richard II (see fig. 16.12). This dynasty spans the period of 1154-1399 ([1447], page 346).



Fig. 16.12. The Scaligerian history of England. This portrait is presumed to represent Richard II, King of England

(the alleged years 1377-1399). The English king looks just like a Byzantine emperor, with an orb and a sceptre in his hands. From [1221].

- 31b. *Byzantium*. Michael VIII, the immediate predecessor of Andronicus II, is the founder of the famous Palaiologi dynasty, which spans the period between 1261 and 1453 and ends with the fall of Constantinople in 1453

([1447], page 636).

Thus, the rigid chronological shift that we have discovered superimposes the two famous dynasties over one another – the Palaiologi and the House of Plantagenet. The reign of the Byzantine Palaiologi ends in 1453, and the English Plantagenet dynasty ends in 1399.

32a. *England*. Richard I
Coeur de Lion, 1189-1199,
reigned for 10 years (see
fig. 16.13). The duration of
his reign is close to 13
years, or the duration of the
individual reign of his
Byzantine duplicate, q.v.
above.



Fig. 16.13. The statue that is presumed to represent the English king Richard I Coeur de Lion (the alleged years 1189-

1199). It is likely to be of a very recent origin. Taken from [1221].

- *32b. Byzantium.* Andronicus III Palaiologos, 1320-1328-1341. Formally, his reign duration equals 21 years (1320-1341); however, his individual reign only lasted for 13 years (1328-1341). His co-ruler Andronicus II ceased to reign in 1328.

33a. *England*. John
Sunter the Landless, 1199-
1216, reigned for 17 years
(see fig. 16.14).



Fig. 16.14. Painted sculpture presumed to represent King John (the alleged years 1199-1216). No old lettering anywhere.
Taken from [1221].

■ 33b. *Byzantium*. John VI Cantacusen, 1341-1355, reigned for 15 years.

34a. *England*. Henry III, 1216-1272, regnant for 56 years, q.v. in fig. 16.15.

Henry III is the last representative of the Anjou dynasty in England. The Byzantine dynasty of Palaiologi remained regnant

only marginally longer.



Fig. 16.15. Stained glass presumably depicting the English king Henry III (1216-1272). No old lettering anywhere.

Taken from [1221].

It would make sense to revert to the initial meaning of the name Henry. Above we have voiced the hypothesis that it had once stood for “Khan-Rex” (Khan-Czar). In fig. 16.16 we reproduce an ancient miniature from the manuscript of Matthew the Parisian ([1268], page 131). We see the Archbishop of

Canterbury bless Henry III, King of England. The name Henry is transcribed without vocalisations, with nothing but three consonant Latin letters HNR (fig. 16.17). This transcription makes it even more obvious that the name Henry is a derivative of the title Khan-Rex.



Fig. 16.16. Old drawing from the manuscript of Matthew of Paris, allegedly dating from the XIII century. We see the Archbishop of Canterbury blessing the English King Henry III. The name Henry is transcribed as three consonants – HNR. Taken from [1268], page 131.




Fig. 16.17. Close-in of a fragment of the previous illustration with the name HNR. Taken from [1268], page 131.

■ 34b. *Byzantium*. John V Palaiologos, 1341-1391, reigned for 50 years. His reflection in *Byzantium 2* is Basil II, Scourge of the Bulgars, regnant for 49 or

50 years (975-1025 or 976-1025).

35a. *England*. Edward I, 1272-1307, regnant for 35 years (see fig. 16.18).



Fig. 16.18. Scaligerian history of England. Presumably, a portrait of Edward I (the alleged years of 1271-1307). Taken from [1221].

■ 35b. *Byzantium.*

Manuel II Palaiologos,
1391-1425, reigned for 33
or 34 years.

36a. *England.* Edward II
Caerwarven, 1307-1327,
reigned for 20 years (see
fig. 16.19).



Fig. 16.19. Painted sculpture presumed to represent Edward II (the alleged years 1307-1327). There is no old lettering to

be seen anywhere. Taken from [1221].

- *36b. Byzantium.* John VIII Palaiologos, 1424-1448, reigned for 23 or 24 years.

5.4. The end of the parallelism. The conquest of Constantinople by the Ottomans in 1453. The fall of Byzantium

In figs. 16.20-16.24 one sees the scheme of the parallelism

that we discovered. Let us reiterate that such excellent concurrence is only possible after a rigid chronological shift. In other words, we shift the entire dynasty, without making any relative changes inside it. In fig. 16.25 the scheme of the parallelism is drawn differently, so as to allow for a visual estimate of the reign duration correlation. It turns out that the numeric

value of this “distance” between the English and the Byzantine dynasties is very small, and falls into the range of values characteristic for the a priori dependent dynasties (see *Chron1* and *Chron2* for more detail). Let us remind the reader that under “dependent dynasties” we understand different reflections of the same original.




Fig. 16.20. The dynastic parallelism between the English kings and the Byzantine emperors with a rigid chronological shift of 275 years. Beginning of the parallelism.




Fig. 16.21. The dynastic parallelism between the English kings and the Byzantine emperors with a rigid chronological shift of 275 years.
Continued.






Fig. 16.22. The dynastic parallelism between the English kings and the Byzantine emperors with a rigid chronological shift of 275 years. The end of the parallelism.



The English dynastic current of 643-1036 A.D. superimposed over the Byzantine dynastic current of 378-797 A.D. with a rigid shift of approximately 275 years.

Fig. 16.23. The Anglo-Byzantine
dynastic parallelism. A general view.
The initial phase.



The English dynastic current of 1041-1327 A.D. superimposed over the Byzantine dynastic current of 1143-1453 A.D. with a rigid shift of approximately 120 years.

Fig. 16.24. The Anglo-Byzantine
dynastic parallelism. A general view.
The final phase.

Byzantine Empire

England




Fig. 16.25. A comparative reign duration graph for the mediaeval English kings and the mediaeval Byzantine emperors.

These two dynastic currents prove mutually dependent. They are most likely to be duplicates of a single real dynasty dating from the epoch of the XIV-XVI century.

Thus, the English and Byzantine dynasties of the Middle Ages are dependent statistically. This brings us to the question about their original. What did mediaeval

history look like in reality?

PART THREE

The abbreviation
and saturation of
English history

6.

Our conception of the English history

A preliminary answer is directly implied by the parallelism that we have discovered, as represented in figs. 15.2 and 15.3, as well as figs. 16.20-16.25.

It would be natural to

assume a later epoch to be the original – one that is closer to us chronologically. This is obviously the Byzantine epoch of 1143-1453, or the epoch that we have called Byzantium 3. As it was discovered in *Chron1*, it is the original of every other phantom reflection – the ones indicated as Byzantium 0, Byzantium 1 and Byzantium 2 in fig. 15.3. To put it more generally, the

entire Byzantine history known to us today is a collation of several duplicates of the same epoch – 1143-1453 A.D.

As we have discovered above, the entire English history as constructed around the skeleton of the dynastic current of its rulers duplicates the history of Byzantium and the Horde as a phantom reflection. The parallelism

ends in 1327 – some 100 years before the end of the Byzantine epoch (1453). Therefore, the history of England duplicates that of Byzantium or the Great = “Mongolian” Empire of the XIV-XVI century.

Mediaeval English history up to 1327 comprises several duplicates of the Byzantine epoch of 1142-1453, or the “Mongolian” epoch of the

XIV-XVI century. Let us formulate the following hypothesis to serve as the summary of our observations.

1) English history of the alleged years 1-400 in its Scaligerian version describes England as a Roman colony, and relates Roman events for the most part. As we demonstrated in *Chron1*, Roman history of this period reflects the real events that

took place in the “Mongolian” Empire around the XIII-XVI century A.D.

2) Chronicles ascribed to the English history of the alleged years 400-830 describe the phantom Rome and Byzantium 0, therefore reflecting the real Byzantine events of the XIII-XV century A.D., or the history of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire of the XIV-XVI century.

3) Chronicles ascribed to the English history of the alleged years 830-1040 describe the phantom Byzantium 1, acting as the reflection of real events that took place in Byzantium of the XIII-XV century, or the Great = “Mongolian” Empire of the XIV-XVI century.

4) Chronicles ascribed to the English history of the alleged years 1040-1327 A.D.

describe Byzantium 3, which is also the phantom Byzantium 2. These chronicles reflect real Byzantine events of the XIII-XV century, or the history of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire of the XIV-XVI century. The name England (Anglia) is apparently derived from the name Angeli as borne by the representatives of the regnant Byzantine dynasty in 1185-1204 A.D.

5) Our hypothesis claims that the “ancient” and mediaeval English chronicles that we have at our disposal today describe real events that took place in Byzantium around the XII-XV century, as well as the Great = “Mongolian” Empire in the XIV-XVI century. Historians erroneously date these events to deep “antiquity”, or the epochs that predate the XII

century A.D. Generally speaking, the “ancient” English chronicles are of Byzantine and “Mongolian” origin; they were transferred to the modern England in the epoch of its conquest by the Horde and then integrated into the actual history of the British Isles.

6) Real documented history of England, which refers to actual British events, is most

likely to begin around the XI-XII century A.D. Whatever stray fragments of information we have at our disposal cover the interval between the XI and the XIII century very sparsely. This layer was then overdubbed by a second layer of chronicles relating the history of Byzantium and the Great = “Mongolian” Empire. Modern textbook history of Britain in the XI-XVI century

is thus a collation of the actual British history and the Mongolian/Byzantine layer.

7) English history as we know it today only begins to reflect the actual events that took place in Great Britain starting with the XVI-XVII century, without any Byzantine or “Mongolian” elements. That is to say, the Scaligerian history of England is more or less correct starting

with the XVI-XVII century. A schematic representation of our hypothesis can be seen in fig. 17.1.




Fig. 17.1. A general scheme of English

history in our reconstruction. History of England begins with several duplicates of Byzantine history. The events that took place on the British Isles are only known to us starting with the XIV century and on. It is possible that some records have survived from the epoch of the XI-XIII century, but there are very few of those.

7.

How Byzantine and “Mongolian” chronicles became part of the English history

If we are to disregard the picture painted by the Scaligerian chronology, the answer will be simple enough.

Starting with the XIII

century, waves of crusades sweep over Byzantium. The crusaders were avenging the crucifixion of Andronicus, or Christ, in Czar-Grad in 1185. Feudal crusader states of the XIII-XIV century are founded all across the territory of Byzantium and neighbouring regions. Their inhabitants are a mixture of the local populace and the crusaders from the Western Europe, Russia and

Asia. Said regions develop a cultural life of their very own, likewise Byzantium – in particular, this manifests as the compilation of historical chronicles.

The early XIV century is the epoch of the Great = “Mongolian” conquest. In 1453, Constantinople falls under the onslaught of the Ottomans = Atamans, originally hailing from Russia,

or the Horde. Byzantium is laid waste, and a large part of its population decides to emigrate. Many intellectuals and aristocrats flee to Europe and to lands more distant, including the British Isles. These refugees take the Byzantine historical chronicles with them as priceless mementoes of their past.

According to our reconstruction, the same

epoch of the XIV century marks the conquest of many lands, including the Western Europe, by the Ottomans and the Horde. Britain appears to have been conquered around the same time (see *Chron5*). We see the foundation of the enormous Great = “Mongolian” Empire. The island of Great Britain becomes an imperial province of the Horde, whose local

governors are subordinate to Russia, or the Horde, and the Ottomans. Chronicles written in Britain around this time reflect the life of the entire Empire and its faraway capital apart from the local events, which were possibly de-emphasised.

After the passage of some time, the inhabitants of the insular Britain begin to write their own history. The “new”

history of the “ancient” England gets written in the XVI-XVII century; this takes place in the course of the Reformation. After the fragmentation of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire in the XVI-XVII century, historians of the provinces that attain independence begin to write the “new ancient history” of their countries with great haste. In particular, they try to

erase the very existence of the Great Empire from the annals of world history. According to the ploy of the rebellious rulers and their court historians, the Empire must be forgotten forever. See *Chron6* for more on this “progressive Reformist programme”.

A campaign of re-writing and tendentious editing of the old chronicles is launched in England, as well as the

Western Europe and the
Romanovian Russia.

Moreover, after the violent
mutiny of the Reformation,
many real events of the XIV-
XVI were erased from
historical memory forever,
over the course of several
generations. The English
Scaligerites of the XVI-XVII
century declare the old
chronicles of Byzantium, the
Horde and the Ottoman

Empire, which they edited in accordance with their own agenda. These chronicles serve as basis for the “ancient” history of the actual British Isles.

Large parts of Byzantine and “Mongolian” history that had originally pertained to the vast territories of Europe and Asia become transferred (albeit on paper only, obviously enough) to the

relatively small territory of the British Isles and their environs. This leads to the inevitable “shrinkage” of many major events. The great and powerful Czars, or Khans, of the Empire, transform into local rulers under the quill of the Scaligerite editors. This leads to a great distortion of historical proportions. The Great = “Mongolian” Empire vanishes from the pages of the

“carefully edited” chronicles for centuries to come.

Whatever information defies oblivion despite these efforts gets arbitrarily moved backwards in time with the aid of the erroneous chronology, transforming into “ancient myths”.

This results in the creation of such English chronicles as the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, *Historia Brittonum* by

Nennius, and so on. A while later this recent version of the “ancient” British history rigidifies. Historical research of the XIX and XX century brings nothing but minor amendments, the addition of new data and new layers of varnish. Nowadays, having discovered strange and amazing duplicates inside the “English history textbook” with the aid of statistical

methods, we are beginning to realise that the real English history had been a great deal shorter. Our objective can therefore be formulated as the location of Byzantine and “Mongolian” originals inside the Scaligerian version, and the restoration of their true chronological and geographical identity.

Despite the
attempts of the
XVII-XVIII century
hoaxers, English
chronicles retain a
great deal of
information

concerning the real
events of the XI-
XVI century.

England and
Russia, or the
Horde

8.

The “ancient” Roman
consul Brutus as the first
Roman conqueror of
Britain and
simultaneously the first
“ancient” Trojan king of
the Brits

Above we have given our

analysis of the reign durations and periods, discovering the mutual superimposition of the English and Byzantine history. We are instantly confronted with the question of whether or not this corollary of ours receives any validation from the part of the “ancient” English chronicles. Let us attempt to read them from a new and unprejudiced viewpoint, casting away the

false conception of their “great antiquity” that the modern textbooks insist upon.

We shall proceed to relate a number of well-known facts from the Scaligerian version of British history. Let us turn to the two works entitled

Historia Brittonum written by Nennius and Galfridus Monemutensis, as well as the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*.

Galfridus claims the

“ancient” Brutus to be the first king of the Brits ([155], page 5). The conquest of Britain is described as follows. After the end of the Trojan War and the fall of Troy, the ship of Aeneas arrives to the shores of Italy. Two or three generations later, his descendant Brutus is born ([155], pages 6-7).

However, Nennius is of the opinion that the time interval between Aeneas and the

“ancient” Brutus is substantially greater ([577], page 173). He claims the Trojan War to predate the birth of the “ancient” Brutus by several hundred years. However, these discrepancies are of no importance to us, since we already realise all these “ancient” dates to be the creation of the Scaligerian historians dating from the XVII-XVIII century. They

have nothing in common with reality.

The “ancient” Trojan Brutus leaves Italy shortly afterwards and arrives in Greece, becoming the leader of the Trojan survivors. He gathers a large fleet and departs from Greece, accompanied by a large army. A while later the Trojans disembark on an island, engage the locals in combat,

defeat them and found the new kingdom – Britain.

According to Galfridus Monemutensis, the “ancient” Trojan Brutus is the first in the sequence of British rulers considered legendary today, since the Scaligerian chronology dated the events in question to a phantom antediluvian epoch.

Nennius tells a similar story of the “ancient” Brutus the

Trojan, albeit more concisely. Nennius claims very explicitly that Brutus the Trojan “came to this island, which was named after him – Britain. He had sown his seed there, and made it his dwelling. Britain has been an inhabited land ever since” ([577], page 173). Thus, mediaeval authors had been convinced that the name Britain derives from that of the “ancient” Trojan Brutus.

Further on, Nennius tells us of the opinion shared by several chroniclers about the fact that “the Isle of Britain was named after Brittas, son of Isicion and grandson of Alan” ([577], page 172).

However, the most popular and credible version, which Nennius proceeds to cite right away, insists that Britain was named after “Brutus, the Roman consul” (*ibid.*). We

also find out that Brutus was of Alanian origin. We have already identified the Alanians as one of the Slavo-Scythian nations (see the table of mediaeval names above, for instance). In particular, “Alanians” happens to be an old name of the Polovtsy; the latter term stands for “Russian warriors fighting in the fields” (cf. “pole,” the Russian word for “field”). The very same

nation was also described in a number of chronicles as the Polyane; the name “Poland” is another derivative (see *Chron5* for more details). Isicion, the father of Brittas, or Brutus, is most likely to be IS-Khan – a distorted version of the name Jesus-Khan (the Christian Khan). Bear in mind that Genghis-Khan, also known as the Conqueror of the World, had founded the Great =

“Mongolian” Empire in the XIV century.

The *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* reports the “first inhabitants of this land to have been Britons, who had come from Armenia [sic! – Auth]” ([1442], page 3; see Comment 6).

The term Armenia is used for referring to Romania, or the Roman and Byzantine Empire, which was also known as Romea and

Romania. We see this country associated with Britain once again.

This chronicle evidence is naturally declared erroneous today. The commentary of a modern historian is as follows: the incorrect name Armenia should be read as Armorica, or Brittany (*ibid.*). However, replacing Armenia by Armorica doesn't alter anything substantially. Old

English chronicles are therefore of the opinion that Britain had first been conquered by the “ancient” Trojan Brutus, and simultaneously name its conqueror a Roman, or Romain, character known as Consul Brutus, who is believed to have come here with his fleet, founding the British Kingdom and becoming the first king of the island.

9.

The “ancient” Brutus
the Trojan from the
English chronicles, the
patriarch of the Brits,
turns out to be a
contemporary of Julius
Caesar and Genghis-
Khan, Conqueror of the
World

Everything appears to be clear so far. The only thing that remains is the estimation of the epoch when this famous Roman Brutus had lived. The answer can be found in any Scaligerian textbook on world history – there was the famous Roman consul named Brutus, a friend and brother-in-arms of Julius Caesar, who had taken part in many of his expeditions; he is believed to

have lived in the alleged I century B.C. Brutus eventually betrayed his protector; Caesar's bitter words "And thou, Brutus!" are known to us from childhood – they were uttered when Brutus had perfidiously struck Caesar with his sword.

A propos, the words of Caesar sound as "Tu quoque, Brute!" in the dignified "ancient" Latin. Apparently,

this simply means “*Ty kak, brate!*” – the Slavic for “How could you, brother?” The possibility that the “ancient” Roman Julius Caesar could have spoken Slavic looks perfectly absurd from the consensual Scaligerian point of view. However, there is nothing surprising about it insofar as our reconstruction is concerned. Moreover, Julius Caesar (or Youri the Czar,

considering the frequent flexion of L and R), appears to have been the Czar, or the Khan, of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire. He naturally spoke Slavic, likewise his brother, who transformed into “Brutus” on the pages of Scaligerian history. The “sweet-sounding” ancient Latin can be identified as Church Slavonic, deliberately mutilated to the

point of being unrecognizable (see *Chron5* and *Chron6* for more details).

Let us however return to the “ancient” English chronicles. It is common knowledge that the treacherous murder of Caesar figures as one of the brightest episodes in the biography of the “ancient Roman” Brutus. However, Old English chronicles refer to virtually the same episode,

claiming that the “ancient” Trojan Brutus, the first king of the Brits, also killed his father – presumably accidentally, with an arrow that hit the Trojan “father of Brutus” by mistake ([577], page 173). This must be a somewhat distorted rendition of the legend about Brutus the “Roman” slaying Julius Caesar, his former friend and protector. In both versions, the

English and the Roman, the people of the country banish Brutus as a result of this murder (or manslaughter).

Our simple and natural hypothesis that the legendary conquest of Britain was carried out by this very “Roman” Brutus, a contemporary of Caesar, is confirmed by the chronicles, although they do not make any direct references to Brutus the

“Trojan” being either an ally or a foe of Caesar’s. Indeed, every English chronicle without exception claims Britain to have been conquered by Julius Caesar for the first time. Caesar arrived to the island with the Roman military fleet of 80 vessels ([1442], page 5). The conquest of the island required some effort, and so Caesar returned to Britain with a fleet

that already counted 600 ships, no less. The natives were defeated as a result, and the Romans founded a kingdom in Britain. Moreover, Nennius claims that “Julius Caesar was the first Roman to have sailed towards the Isle of Britain; he had conquered the kingdom of the Brits and crushed the opposition of the natives” ([577], page 176). Thus, if Brutus was the first

Roman to have landed on the island, and the same is also said about Caesar, the two must have been contemporaries and allies, who had conquered the island together. Let us present the summary as a table.

a. The “ancient” Trojan *Brutus* is the first king of the Brits.

■ *b. Julius Caesar.*

1a. *Brutus* is the first Roman (and also Trojan) to arrive to the island, conquer it and found a kingdom there.

■ 1b. *Julius Caesar* is the first Roman who came to the island, conquered it and founded a kingdom.

2a. *Brutus* arrives in Britain accompanied by a large military fleet.

■ 2b. *Julius Caesar* invaded Britain as the leader of a large naval force.

3a. The “ancient” Trojan *Brutus* “accidentally” kills his father with an arrow.

■ 3b. The Roman *Brutus*, a friend and contemporary of *Julius Caesar*,

perfidiously kills Caesar,
“his fatherly protector.”

4a. The murder of *Brutus* the father by his son, Brutus the Trojan, was foretold by a seer ([577], page 173).

■ 4b. The murder of *Julius Caesar* by his friend Brutus the Roman was also foretold by a diviner (see Plutarch’s report in [660], for instance).

5a. The “ancient” Trojan *Brutus* was exiled from his homeland as the perpetrator of a major crime.

■ 5b. The people of Rome banish Brutus the Roman to punish him for the murder of *Julius Caesar*.

6a. The Roman consul *Brutus* stands at the very

source of British history.

■ *6b. Julius Caesar*, who lived in the alleged I century B.C., is the conqueror of Britain. Scaligerian history considers the “real” history of Britain to begin with this very epoch.

Common sense dictates that the epoch of the first conquest of Britain by Brutus the

Trojan, which is presumed to have preceded the new era by many centuries, and the epoch when Britain was conquered by Julius Caesar (the alleged I century B.C.), need to be superimposed over each other. The chronological shift that separates these two renditions of the same events from each other in the Scaligerian textbooks equals some 700 or 800 years at least.

We therefore claim that the “ancient” Trojan and Roman consul Brutus, the forefather of the Brits and the key character to stand at the source of British history, to be the very same person as Brutus the Roman from the epoch of Julius Caesar (the alleged I century B.C.). The “duplication” only occurred in chronicles, brought to life by the quills of Scaligerite

historians in the XVII-XVIII century.

Connoisseurs of the “ancient” history may recollect yet another Roman consul named Brutus – the third historical character to bear this name. His lifetime is dated to the alleged VI century B.C. He is believed to have banished the Roman kings from Rome and founded the Roman republic. According to

our research, the epoch of Brutus the republican, or the alleged VI century B.C., is yet another phantom duplicate of Caesar's epoch (see *Chron1* for more detail). We see "three Bruti" as a result, all of them phantom reflections of the same military leader, who must have lived in the XIV-XV century A.D. and conquered the British isles, founding a new province of

the Great = “Mongolian” Empire here and naming it after himself alongside Czar Youri, who was transformed into Julius Caesar by the Scaligerite chroniclers. The island was named after the brother of Czar Youri. Bear in mind that, according to our reconstruction, the brother of Genghis-Khan identifies as Batu-Khan, aka Ivan Kalita, or Caliph.

The ideas that we voice and the facts listed above are completely at odds with the Scaligerian chronology, and not just the chronology of Britain. Modern historians try to work their way around the embarrassing evidence of Brutus the Trojan being a Roman consul as contained in the ancient chronicles of Britain, likewise the fact that the “ancient” Brits had been

the descendants of the “Roman” Brutus and the Romans. In particular, the modern commentators of Nennius and Galfridus (A. S. Bobovich and M. A. Bobovich) try to put the reader at ease in the following manner: “The idea to trace the lineage of the Brits to the Romans is hardly original: the Frankish rulers had already traced their genealogy to the

Trojans in the VI century” ([155], page 270). We might add that they were perfectly justified in doing so, q.v. in *Chron1*. Further on, historians make the following cautious remark: “There are several Bruti known in Roman history” (*ibid.*). After placating us with this vague statement, they don’t ever return to the topic again. We are beginning to realise why –

otherwise they would have to make the inevitable conclusion that the “ancient” Brutus the Trojan had been a contemporary of Julius Caesar, which contradicts the chronology of Scaliger and Petavius.

This instantly moves the so-called “ancient and legendary” history of Britain forward in time by more than two thousand years, which

superimposes the epoch of the alleged XIII-I century B.C. over the epoch of the XIII-XVI century A.D. As we shall see below, none of these events could have predated the XIV century A.D.

10.

Biblical events on the pages of the English chronicles

Historia Brittonum by
Galfridus Monemutensis is
based on the chronological
foundation of Biblical history –
Galfridus occasionally inserts
phrases such as “Samuel the

Prophet had ruled over Judea in that epoch” ([155], page 20). These occasional references are scattered all across the chronicle of Galfridus and form a rough skeleton of Biblical history, weaving the Biblical kings and prophets into the British historical fabric. However, Galfridus gives us no absolute datings; his entire chronology is of a relative character – all

he tells us is the name of the Biblical king or prophet who had lived around the time when this or the other event took place in British history. Therefore, an unbiased analysis of the English chronology leads us to the necessity of delving into the Biblical chronology.

Our analysis of the Biblical chronology identifies the Biblical epoch as the XI-XVI

century A.D., q.v. in *Chron1*,
Chron2 and *Chron6*.

Therefore, the “ancient”
history of Britain, which is
linked to the events described
in the Bible, is also moved
forward in time – from the
Scaligerian “chronological
depths” to its proper place in
the late Middle Ages.

11.

The location of the “ancient” Troy

The opinions of the modern historians and archaeologists on the real locations of certain famous “ancient” cities are often arbitrary and lack any kind of substantiation at all, q.v. in *Chron1*. For instance,

the XIX century historians locate the famous Homer's Troy at the southern end of the Hellespont straits, whose name apparently translates as "Sea of Helen" – "Helen" + "Pontus" (sea). Then H. Schliemann allegedly "proved" some nondescript settlement in these parts to have "really" been the famed and powerful Troy; however, his "proof" doesn't hold water. Moreover,

there are reasons for serious suspicions of forgery – we are referring to the so-called “gold of Priam” that is presumed to have remained buried on this site for over two millennia and found by Schliemann during excavations (see more details in [\[443\]](#); also *Chron2*, Chapter 2:5.1.5).

Scaligerian chronology is of the opinion that Troy was destroyed in the XII-XIII

century B.C. ([72]), and has never been rebuilt since then. However, certain mediaeval Byzantine authors mention Troy as an existing mediaeval city – Nicetas Aconiatus and Nicephorus Gregoras, for instance ([200], Volume 6, page 126). As we said in *Chron1*, the “ancient” Titus Livy indicates a place called Troy and a Trojan region in Italy. Certain mediaeval

historians directly identify Troy as Jerusalem, for example, [10], pages 88, 235, 162 and 207. This cannot fail to confuse the historians of today.

Let us remind the readers of the other name of Troy – Ilion, whereas the alias of Jerusalem is Aelia Capitolina ([544], Volume 7). We can clearly see the similarity between the names Alia and Ilion.

In *Chron1* we cite data that lead us to the presumption that Homer's Troy identifies as Constantinople, or New Rome, whereas the Trojan War is the very first world war in history. It took place in the XIII century A.D., which postdates the Scaligerian dating by some 2600 years.

The identification of the Great Troy as Constantinople is de facto implied by the

sources that tell us about the epoch of the crusades.

Chronicler Robert de Clari reports the Great Troy to have stood next to the entrance to *branchium Sancti Georgii* ([286], page 210). The name is presumed to apply to the Dardanelles straits; however, it is common knowledge that Villehardouin, another famous chronicler of the Fourth Crusade, uses the name for

referring to both the Dardanelles and the Bosphorus. M. A. Zaborov also points out that “Villehardouin uses this name [the pass of St. George – Auth.] for referring to both the Dardanelles and the Bosphorus” ([286], page 238).

Therefore, the Great Troy may have been located near the entrance to the Bosphorus, which is exactly where we find Constantinople today.

Thus, there was absolutely no need for seeking the “remnants” of the Great Troy among the numerous Turkish settlements, all similar to one another, which is where Schliemann appears to have “discovered” his faux Troy. It shall suffice to point at the famous ancient city of Istanbul.

The famous mediaeval “Romain de Troie” by Benoit

de Sainte-Maure was finished between the alleged years 1155 and 1160. “The oeuvre is based upon the ‘Legend of Troy’s Destruction’” written by a certain Dares, allegedly a living witness of the Trojan War [apparently, one of the crusaders – Auth.], Benoit regards the antiquity through the prism of contemporaneity... He bases his narration to the heroic epos

of the ancient Greece, whose characters are transformed into noble knights and fair ladies, whereas the Trojan War itself becomes a series of jousting tournaments... Medea figures as a court lady dressed in French attire of the middle of the XII century” ([517], page 235).

However, in this case the Trojan War becomes an event of the crusader epoch,

according to Benoit de Sainte-Maure. As for the “prism of contemporaneity” applied to Sainte-Maure’s references to Troy, it is an attempt of making the ancient sources conform to their Scaligerian standards. Their descriptions of the “antiquity” are radically different from those of the XVII-XVIII century.

12.

The reason why Russia and Britain are both presumed to be insular states according to the English chronicles

The fact that Great Britain is an island should hardly surprise anyone – unlike Russia, which doesn't

remotely resemble an island geographically. Nevertheless, the *Chronicle of the Dukes of Normandy* written by the famous chronicler Benoit de Sainte-Maure in the alleged XII century A.D. ([1030]) claims the following to be true.

“They have an isle called Kansi, and I believe it to be Rosie [Russie in another copy – Auth.]. Its shores are washed by a vast salty sea.

Like bees from hives, thousands of them swarm out into battle, full of rage, with their swords ready; moreover, this nation can attack large kingdoms and win great battles” ([1030], see Comment 5).

Russia is referred to as Rosie or Russie here ([517], page 240). If we turn to the table of mediaeval names cited above, we shall get additional

proof to the fact that the country mentioned in this manner is indeed Russia. V. I. Matouzova, who had included this text into her book entitled “Mediaeval English sources,” comments this passage as follows: “Rosie – Russia. The presumed insular geography of the country resembles the reports...” ([517], page 244). V. I. Matouzova also mentions several other chroniclers who

had believed Russia to be an island, in particular Arabs and Persians. One needn't think that the "Arabs and Persians" in question wrote their book in modern Persia or the Middle East. As we demonstrate in Chron1, Chron2 and Chron6, Persia is the name that the old chronicles had used for referring to P-Russia, or the White Russia (hence the name Prussia). Apart from the

Middle East, Arabic was also used in Russia (see *Chron4*, Chapter 13).

The Isle of Kansi as mentioned in a number of old chronicles is Scandinavia. However, Scandinavia also isn't an island. Could the name Kansi be a slight corruption of Khansi, or "khanskiy" (the khan's)?

The Chronicle of St. Edmond's Monastery, which

dates from the alleged XIII century, reports the Tartars to have invaded Hungary coming from “the islands” ([1446]; also [517], pages 100-101).

What could be the matter here? The Tartars, or Cossacks, are known to have inhabited the continent and not any islands of any sort. The easiest we can do is accuse the old authors of total ignorance, which is the usual

practise with the modern historians, who are only too glad to leave the problem well alone.

However, another explanation is possible. The English word “island” may have had a different meaning originally – possibly, a collation of “Asia” and “land,” or “Asian land.” Some country in Asia? Without vocalisations we shall come up with SLND

in both cases, and the vowels were extremely impermanent before the invention of the printing press, changing all the time, q.v. in *Chron1*.

Everything becomes instantly clear. Russia could indeed have been considered a faraway Asian land by the Westerners; even today, a larger part of its territory is in Asia and not Europe. The English chroniclers of the

Middle Ages were perfectly correct to call Russia an Asian land, which invalidates yet another reason to accuse them of ignorance.

If the Old English authors used the word Russia for referring to an Asian land, could “England the island” have indeed been a faraway land in Asia initially, transforming into the insular Great Britain somewhat later?

We have already discovered the parallelism between the English and the Byzantine, or Mongolian, history. Both Russia (aka the Horde) and Byzantium are Asian countries for any Western European chronicler.

Where had England, or Britain, really been located in the XI-XIV century A.D.? As we can see, the answer isn't just far from obvious – it was

extremely hard to find.

Jumping ahead, let us merely indicate Byzantium, or a part of the “Mongolian” Empire.

13.

The location of Britain
conquered by Brutus.
The itinerary of his fleet

The answer to the question
formulated in the name of the
section seems to be apparent –
“ancient” Britain had been
where it remains until this day.
However, let us refrain from

jumping to conclusions so far.

Bear in mind that after having “murdered his father involuntarily,” Brutus was exiled from Italy, and so he went to Greece ([155], page 7). However, the exact location of the country whence he was banished remains questionable, as well as the very fact of his exile. We shall refrain from giving any estimates presently.

It is presumed further that upon arriving to Greece and “reviving ancient ties of blood, Brutus found himself among the Trojans” ([155], page 7). Several wars break out in Greece and Italy. Galfridus pays a great deal of attention to these wars. Then Brutus assembles his army and heads off accompanied by a fleet. This fleet is presumed to have headed towards the modern

British Isles via the Atlantic. Is this indeed the case? What if the chronicles really describe military operations in the Mediterranean and on the territory of Greece and Byzantium?

For instance, the army of Brutus comes to Sparatin. Modern commentary of historians: “Location unknown” ([155], page 230). Of course, if we are to

presume that Brutus travelled at a distance from the Mediterranean, we shall find no such city anywhere.

However, if the events took place in Greece, the city can be easily identified as the famous Sparta.

Further Galfridus describes the itinerary of Brutus' fleet, which is presumed to "prove" the fact that Brutus had indeed travelled via Atlantic and

arrived to the shores of the British Isles. However, Galfridus apparently “repeats the error contained in his source – the *Historia brittonum* of Nennius, who had, in turn, misinterpreted Orosius” ([155], page 231). Further we find out that “likewise Nennius, Galfridus erroneously places the Tyrrhenian Sea beyond the Herculean Columns. The

Tyrrhenian Sea is the name used for the part of the Mediterranean that washes the western coast of Italy” ([155], page 231).

Galfridus didn't make any mistakes of any sort – he is referring to complex military manoeuvres inside the Mediterranean (near the coast of Italy in particular, which is where we find the Tyrrhenian Sea). The fleet of Brutus must

have remained in the Mediterranean; modern historian accuse Galfridus and other chroniclers of “mistakes” for the sole reason that they attempt to apply the modern Scaligerian ideas of the ancient history to authentic ancient texts. The numerous contradictions that emerge from this approach are immediately blamed on the ancient authors, whereas it

should really be the other way round.

Further Galfridus describes a battle between the army of Brutus and the Greeks at River Akalon ([155], page 8).

Modern commentary is as follows: “This name must be a fantasy of Galfridus... E. Faral’s book ... voices the assumption that the description of the Trojan victory over the Greeks was borrowed by

Galfridus from the story told by Etienne de Blois about the victory of the crusaders over the Turks at a river referred to as ‘Moskolo’ by the author, in March 1098” ([155], page 230).

Real events described by Galfridus slowly begin to emerge from underneath the thick coats of Scaligerian whitewash. The author describes the epoch of the

crusades using some ancient documents as his source – Byzantium in the XI-XIII century A.D. It is also possible that the campaign of Brutus (“brother”), or the campaign of Julius Caesar (Youri the Czar) identifies as the Great = “Mongolian” Conquest of the XIV century started by Czar (Khan) Youri = Georgiy Danilovich = Genghis-Khan and continued by his brother

Ivan Kalita = Caliph. This conquest had at some point reached the British Isles. See more in re the “Mongolian” conquest in the book *The Issue with Mongols* of the present series.

Thus, the conquest of Britain partially transfers into the XIV century A.D. from the I century B.C., being also a partial reflection of the Trojan War of the XIII

century A.D., which was fought for Constantinople = Troy = Jerusalem = Czar-Grad.

A while later, the fleet of Brutus arrives to “the island known as Albion in those days” ([155], page 17).

According to the modern commentary, “Albion (or Albania) is one of the oldest names used for Great Britain (or a part thereof) as

registered in the ancient sources” ([155], page 232). Galfridus keeps using Albania as a synonym of Britain ([155], page 19).

We learn that Britain and Albania are two different names of a single country. Once we renounce the Scaligerian point of view, which stubbornly tries to identify Britain of the XI-XIII century as modern Britain, we

shall recognize this “British Albania” as either the Balkan Albania, which had been a Byzantine province in the Middle Ages, or the White Russia (Alba). Thus, Galfridus explicitly locates mediaeval Britain in the “early days” in the vicinity of Byzantium.

Albion is still used as the old name of Britain. This results from the fact that the “ancient” history of Britain

was based on Byzantine and “Mongolian” chronicles that wrote about the Balkan Albania as well. The name eventually transformed into “Albion.” Alternatively, the British Isles became named Albion as a result of the “Mongolian” conquest in the XIV-XV century, when the country was invaded by the troops of the White Horde (Alba = White).

14.

Brutus has to fight against Gog and Magog during the conquest of Britain (aka the Tartars and Mongols or the Ten Tribes of Israel)

Having disembark on the shores of Albania, “Brutus

named the island Britain after himself, while his companions became Brits” ([155], page 17). It is possible that Albania the Asian country became Albania the island due to the fact that Brutus had reached it by sea – the disembarkation in Byzantium transformed into the conquest of an island (or, alternatively, chronicles tell us about the Russian fleet invading the islands that shall

eventually be known as the British Isles.

Who does Brutus encounter here? Giants, no less – apparently, a reference to the various nations that populated the territory of Byzantium and Russia (the Horde): “One of these giants was particularly repulsive; his name was Goemagog” ([155], pages 17-18). According to Galfridus, this giant was exceptionally

strong and fearsome. The army of Brutus attacked the twelve giants with Goemagog among them. The Brits are pushed back initially, but finally “crush the giants completely, save for Goemagog” ([155], page 18). The battle against Goemagog continues, and finally the Brits manage to defeat him as well.

In fig. 18.1 we see an ancient miniature entitled

“King Arthur Fights the Giant” ([155], pages 64-65). Over the head of the giant we see the name Gigas (or Gog, q.v. in fig. 18.2). As we shall demonstrate in further publications, Arthur’s battle with the giant reflects the Biblical battle between David and Goliath, or the battle between Dmitriy Donskoi and Mamai-Khan in 1380.



Fig. 18.1. Ancient miniature depicting the fight between King Arthur and a giant. We see the legend “Gigas” over the head of the latter – Gog, that is. Let us remind the reader that Gog and Magog were the names used for the “Mongols and the Tartars.” Taken from



Fig. 18.2. A fragment of the previous illustration with the name “Gigas.”

What real events may Galfridus be describing in this poetic manner of his?

1. The victory of the Brits (“brothers”), or the crusaders, who managed to conquer Byzantium.
2. The fight against Goemagog, one of the most dangerous opponents.

Who is Goemagog? We have mentioned him briefly in Part 1. Let us now expound the manner at greater length.

The commentary of the modern historians is as follow: “Galfridus combines two names into one – Gog and Magog” ([155], page 232). The commentator of the chronicle points out further that Gog and Magog are mentioned frequently in the Bible – the Book of Revelations and the prophecy of Ezekiel. Let us remind the reader what the Biblical book

of Ezekiel tells us about these fearsome and mighty nations:

“Set thy face against Gog, the land of Magog, the chief prince of Rosh, Meshech and Tubal, and prophesy against him, and say, Thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I am against thee, O Gog, the chief prince of Rosh, Meshech and Tubal... Gog shall come against the land of Israel” (Ezekiel 38:2-3, 38:18 and

on). The Biblical author believes these two nations to bring death and destruction.

The Book of Revelation also speaks of the armies of Gog and Magog with fear: “Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, and shall go out to deceive the nations which are in the four quarters of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to battle: the number of whom is as the

sand of the sea” (Revelation 20:7).

According to the modern commentator, “Folk tradition eventually transformed Gog and Magog into malicious giants. Statues of Gog and Magog have stood in London ever since the Middle Ages (near the entry to the City, next to the modern city hall)” ([155], page 232).

These two mediaeval

nations are quite famous; according to a number of chroniclers, they can be identified as the Goths and the Mongols. In the XIII century the Hungarians identified Gog and Magog as the Tartars ([517], page 174). This fact alone suffices to realise that the events described by Galfridus took place in Byzantium and Russia (Horde). In fig. 18.3 we

reproduce an old illustration from the “Chronicle” by Matthew of Paris, which depicts the invasion of the Tartars. The mediaeval author of the miniature portrays the Tartars as Europeans that look distinctly Slavic – long fair hair et al, q.v. in fig. 18.4. This fact concurs perfectly well with our reconstruction, which claims that the “Tartar” invasion had really been

Slavic.



Fig. 18.3. Ancient miniature from the Chronicle of Matthew of Paris depicting the invasion of the Tartars. The “Tartar” in question looks perfectly European and has a Slavic face. Taken from [1268], page 78.



Fig. 18.4. A close-in of a fragment of the previous illustration. The face of the “Tartar” looks typically European.

We must also point out the following circumstance, which is of paramount importance. According to old folk tradition, that had been referenced in

the Russian textbooks up until the XIX century, the Muscovite Kingdom “was found by Mosoch, the Biblical patriarch” – hence the Greek name of Moscow (Moska). Thus, the Biblical reference to the “prince of Rosh, Meshech and Tubal” is most likely to be telling us about the Russian Mosokh as well as Tubal (Tobol) in Siberia, q.v. above. But when did the foundation

of Moscow really take place? Even in the Millerian and Romanovian history the first mention of Moscow dates from the XII century A.D. the earliest; in Part 1 we demonstrate that Moscow may have been founded even later. Even if we are to assume that the actual name Moscow might predate the foundation of the city by a few hundred years, we shall see that the

mention of Gog, Magog and the Prince of Rosh, Meshech and Tubal in the Old English manuscripts dates them to the epoch of the XII-XIII century A.D. the earliest.

In *Chron6* we demonstrate that the Great = “Mongolian” Conquest of the XIV century and the Ottoman = Ataman conquest of the XV-XVI century that had followed it was described in the Bible as

the conquest of the “Promised Land” by the tribes of Israel. Apparently, the very fact that the Tartars and the Mongols, or Gog and Magog, were identified as the tribes of Israel is referred to directly in the ancient chronicles; old maps also make it perfectly obvious ([953]).

Historians report the following: “The invasion of the Mongols and the Tartars ...

was considered to be an ‘omen’ of the imminent Apocalypse, and many have identified those nations as Gog and Magog, including Matthew of Paris” ([953], page 178). Several geographical maps of the Middle Ages “depict the nations Gog and Magog beyond the Caspian Sea, chased there by Alexander of Macedon. This is where the

Tartars came from... Matthew writes about the Tartars and the Mongols who suddenly swarmed Europe from behind their mountains. He traces the lineage of the Tartars to the ten tribes of Israel pushed behind the mountains by Alexander of Macedon, thus fusing several myths into one, likewise Peter Camestor and other scientists – the myth of Gog and Magog as well as the

one of the Ten Tribes” ([953], pages 180-181).

Let us also consider the ancient mediaeval map of the alleged XIII century as cited in [953], page 181 (number XIV.2.1, Cambridge, CCC, 26). The following is written there: “Closed-off area beyond the Caspian mountains. Here be the Jews that the Lord saved us from after the prayer of King Alexander; they shall

come before the Judgement Day as the Lord's scourge, and they shall herald the demise of all the other nations" ([953], page 182).

There is another ancient map with a similar inscription: "The Lord hath heard the prayer of King Alexander, and made the Jews dwell behind these mountains in reclusion. They shall break free before the Judgement Day and wipe

out every nation to comply with the will of the Lord. The mountains stand tall and strong; forbidden and impenetrable are the Caspian Mountains” ([953], page 182).

Let us consider another old map (XIV, 2.3, London, BL, Royal 14 C. VII, f. 4v-5, allegedly dating from the XIII century). According to the quotation provided by L. S. Chekin, the following is

written here: “Nine tribes remain here – Gog and Magog, confined by Alexander. This is where the Tartars came from – the ones who are said to have brought their armies here from behind the mountains of rock, conquering vast territories” ([953], page 183). In fig. 18.5 one sees an ancient miniature from the Book of Revelation (a copy dating from the

second half of the XVII century). The miniature is entitled “The Nations of Gog and Magog Surrounding the Citadel of the Holy” ([623], page 70). We see numerous horsemen wearing helmets and shields, with chain mails over their shoulders. The XVII century authors must have still remembered that the Book of Revelation referred to the Cossack (or Tartar) cavalry,

heavy and light.



Fig. 18.5. Miniature entitled “The

Nations of Gog and Magog Surrounding the Camp of the Holy” from the Book of Revelations with comments by Andrew of Caesarea. Second half of the XVII Century. According to historians themselves ([953], pages 180-181), the riders depicted as the nations of Gog and Magog are in fact Israelites storming some city. Taken from [623], page 70.

This is the commentary of L. S. Chekin, a historian. “Gog and Magog... These nations were confined behind the Caspian (or Caucasus)

Mountains by Alexander of Macedon, which is where they shall await the Judgement Day. Gog and Magog are mentioned in various versions of the legend of Alexander and a number of eschatological prophecies (pseudo-Methodius of Patar, the Words of the Sybil, etc.)... The new motifs – namely, identifying Gog and Magog as the ten ‘missing tribes’ of Israel, one of which,

in turn, is revealed to be the Mongols and the Tartars, became reflected in the maps of the Middle East compiled by Matthew of Paris...

According to the map XIV.2.3.1, now, after the Tartars had already 'revealed themselves', nine of the tribes remain, cloistered here by Alexander ... The fictitious travel diary written by some author who had adopted the

pseudonym of John
Mandeville (circa 1360)
discusses the possibility that
Gog and Magog might choose
a maritime escape route ...
whereas the Turkish traveller
Evlia Celebi (circa 1650)
mentions Gog and Magog,
locked up somewhere near the
Bosporus by Alexander, as
well as iron ships of some sort,
whose function remains
unclear” ([953], pages 205-

206).

Our reconstruction provides a perfect explanation for the numerous reports that mediaeval chronicles (some of which were quoted above) make about Gog and Magog = the Tartars = the Israelites (cf. the Russian word "*koleno*" used as a synonym of "tribe" in the present case and the word "column" in the meaning of a military formation). The

realisation that dawns upon us is that the events discussed earlier all took place in Russia (the Horde) and the Ottoman = Ataman empire of the XIV-XVI century. Western Europeans of the XV-XVII century had referred to them as to Gog and Magog, or the Mongols and the Tartars, or the “tribes of Israel” (the Theomachists). This is why they dwell secluded in Russia

(the Horde), on the territories “beyond the Caspian Sea and the Caucasus,” q.v. above.

Everything is crystal clear – the Bosphorus is where we find the famous Czar-Grad, or Istanbul, the capital of the Ottoman (Ataman) Empire, an ally of Russia (the Horde) in the XIV-XVI century. This was whence the famous Ottoman fleet sailed forth into long voyages.

As we can see, certain mediaeval texts appear to reflect the grandiose trans-oceanic expeditions undertaken by Russia (the Horde) and the Ottoman (Ataman) Empire in the XV-XVI century – the American continent was conquered as a result of these ([953], pages 205-206). This is why the old maps and chronicles as quoted above (apparently dating from

the XVI-XVII century) have preserved the memory of some “iron ships” built by Gog and Magog, although a vague one; it defies the understanding of modern historians who cannot operate outside the paradigm of Scaligerian history ([953], pages 205-206). Nevertheless, Scaligerian history has kept the memory of America colonised by the ten “missing

tribes of Israel,” no less (see *Chron6* for more details).

L. S. Chekin continues to emphasise that the Jews from the ten “missing tribes” of Israel “were occasionally believed to inhabit the Caucasus and Scythia; the Christian tradition ... likened them to Gog and Magog. In particular, they were believed to have been driven beyond the Caspian Mountains by

Alexander the Great and cloistered there... This gave new reasons for identifying the missing tribes of Israel as Gog and Magog... Both myths (of Gog and Magog as well as the missing tribes of Israel) were applied to the Mongols and the Tartars... The Jews were proclaimed the collaborators of the latter” ([953], page 209).

According to our

reconstruction, all the various names listed above (the Mongols, the Tartars, the Ten Tribes of Israel and the nations of Gog and Magog) really refer to the same historical “character” – namely, the army of Russia (the Horde) and the Ottoman (Ataman) Empire, which had colonised vast lands in Eurasia and America around the XIV-XV century, founding the Great =

“Mongolian” Empire.

Thus, we must draw an important conclusion once we return to the English chronicle of Galfridus. During their disembarkation in Byzantium (or England), in the epoch that cannot possibly predate the XIII century, the army of Brutus (Brother) ran into a number of large ethnic groups, among them the Goths = Cossacks = Russians = the

Horde = the “Mongols” (Great Ones). They had played a very important role in mediaeval Europe and Asia in the XIII-XIV century A.D.

15.

Julius Caesar found
himself close to the
Russian lands during the
conquest of Britain, or
Albania

Let us recollect that the epoch
of Brutus (Brother) is also the
epoch of Julius Caesar =
Youri the Czar = King

George. In this case, the military campaigns of Brutus must be somehow described in the texts that refer to the campaigns of Julius Caesar.

When Galfridus comes to the end of the Brutus section, he commences with his story of Julius Caesar, having presumably skipped several hundred years. As we understand today, he begins the same story “the second

time over,” or comes back to the events of the same XIV-XV century, albeit related in a different manner.

According to Galfridus, “Roman history tells us that after the conquest of Gaul, Julius Caesar came to the Ruthenian coast. Having seen the Isle of Britain thence, he made an enquiry about this land and the people living there” ([155], page 37).

Scaligerian historians are of the opinion that the above passage is yet another demonstration of the author's mediaeval ignorance. Modern commentary reads as follows: "The Ruthenians identify as a Gaulish tribe that had inhabited Aquitania (the South-East of Gaul). It is impossible to see Britain from there, and so Galfridus is making a mistake in his

reference to the Ruthenians” ([155], page 238).

Who are the Ruthenians?

Let us turn to the glossary that we have compiled from the materials of V. I. Matouzova ([517]); we shall find the answer immediately. The Ruthenians were Russians, and many mediaeval chronicles use this name for referring to them. The name may be a derivative of the

word Horde (in its Slavic forms *Orta*, *Ruta* and *Rat*) – the Russian army, in other words.

It is common knowledge that the Russian army had waged many wars in Byzantium, attacking Czar-Grad (or Constantinople), among other things. Therefore, the Russians had indeed occupied certain Byzantine provinces in the

Middle Ages, and it was easy to see Albania, or Byzantium, from one of the adjacent territories.

We therefore believe the Ruthenians as mentioned by the English chronicles in the context of Caesar's conquest of Britain, or Albania, to be the same nation as the Russians in the XIII-XIV century A.D.

The Great = "Mongolian"

conquest began in the XIV century; the Russians (or Ruthenians) came to France, known as Gaul in the Middle Ages, as a result of this military expansion, and not just Gaul, but Western Europe in general and beyond that, q.v. in *Chron5*. Galfridus is therefore perfectly correct to report that the Ruthenians had lived in Gaul. “Ruta” (or “Rutha”) translates as

“Horde,” as simple as that.

Let us revert to the campaigns of Julius Caesar as described by Galfridus. Caesar invades into Albania, or Britain, assisted by a fleet. This is where he engages in combat with the Brits ([155], page 38), defeating them and conquering their country. Let us stop and reflect on the identity of the Brits in the XII-XIV century. The Scaligerian

“explanation,” which calls them the “descendants of Brutus,” doesn’t really explain anything. Our experience in these matters leads us to the assumption that the Brits of the XIII-XIV century can be identified as some real Mediterranean nation.

Let us once again turn to the dictionary of mediaeval synonyms that we compiled after the book of V. I.

Matouzova ([517], see above). We shall instantly see that mediaeval sources use the word “Pruten” for referring to the Prussians (PRTN). This may well be the mediaeval equivalent of BRT, or the Brits mentioned by Galfridus, and one can therefore assume that Caesar had fought the Prussians in the Middle Ages. Britain, or BRTN, as mentioned by the sources of

this epoch, is most likely to identify as PRTN = Prutenia, or mediaeval Prussia. The name Prutenia may also have been used for the White Horde.

However, another answer is possible. According to the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, the language of the Brits was Welsh ([1442], page 3). However, the Welsh, or the Walachians, were already

identified as the Turks, or the Ottomans (q.v. in the table of mediaeval synonyms referenced above). In this case, the Brits may have been identified as the Turks (or the Ottomans) – in some of the chronicles at least. This brings us back to the Byzantine or Russian (“Mongolian”) localisation of the early British history.

16.

The location of London
in the X-XII century.

The foundation of
London in the British
Isles as registered
chronologically

Many of the modern readers
believe that the city known as

London today had always been where we know it to be nowadays. However, let us see what the ancient British chronicles have to say on this matter.

For instance, Galfridus tell us the following: “Having finished with his division of the kingdom, Brutus found himself consumed with a burning desire to found a city... He did found one, instantly dubbing it

New Troy [sic! – Auth.]. The newly founded town had borne this name for many centuries; eventually, the name transformed into Tronovant. However, later on Lud ... who had fought against Caesar ... gave orders to name the city Caer Lud after himself [the word Caer translates as ‘city’, cf. Cairo; more on the subject below – Auth.]. This had eventually led to a great fight

between himself and his brother Nennius, who bitterly resented the fact that Lud wanted to obliterate the very name of Troy from the memory of their descendants” ([155], page 18).

This is what the chronicle tells us further on: “The name transformed into Caerludane, and then, after one language had replaced another, into Lundene, and finally Lundres”

([155], page 37). The modern commentary is as follows:

“Trinovant – the old name of London” ([155], page 232).

The name Londres exists until the present day – this is how the French and the Spanish transcribe the name London.

Thus, ancient English chronicles claim Lud, or London, to be the former Trinovant, or New Troy. What is New Troy? Most likely, the

New Rome, or Constantinople, aka Czar-Grad. This corollary is in excellent correspondence with everything that we have discovered above, and also suggests a Byzantine and “Mongolian” localization of the events pertaining to the early British history.

Galfridus appears to be telling us about some old military campaign of Brutus

(Brother) that dates to the XI-XII century. This campaign had resulted in the foundation of New Troy, which later became known as Constantinople. Alternatively, he describes the “Mongolian” conquest of the British Isles in the XIV century by the brother of Genghis-Khan, which had resulted in the foundation of a city that became known as New Troy,

or Czar-Grad. This city eventually became known as London.

Let us cite another typical fact and recollect the famous city of Tyrnovo in Bulgaria. The name resembles Trinovant and translates as “New Troy,” being a collation of “Troy” and “Nova” (Tyr + Novo). The name Trinovant may therefore be of Byzantine origin and come from the

Balkans. The Russian word for “new” is “*noviy*” – cf. also the Latin “*novus.*” New Troy must have thus been used as the name of London once.

This is precisely what we learn from the chronicle of Galfridus, which reports the transformation of the name New Troy into Trinovant. The “transformation” results from the two parts of the word changing order.

The City of Lud must simply mean “City of LD,” or “City of LT” – the city of the Latins, or the city of the “people” (*lyudi*) in Russian. A capital under this name may well have become reflected in British chronicles. Bear in mind the foundation of the Latin Empire in Byzantium around 1204 in Scaligerian chronology. Its capital may have been known as Caer

Lud, or “Latin City.”

According to Nennius, the word “*caer*” had once meant “city” in the language of the Brits ([577], page 190).

The name Caer (Cair) Lud also provides us with another reason to identify New Troy as Constantinople and thus also London of the XII-XIII century. The first consonant of the word “Caer” may have stood for “TS” as opposed to

“K” – the two were frequently confused for each other. In this case CR means “Czar,” and Czar-Grad is another name of Constantinople.

Therefore, Caer Lud, or London as described in the ancient British chronicles, is most likely to be the City of the Latin Czars (CR LT, Czar-Grad or Constantinople). It may also have been known as “Czar of the People,” or

“Sovereign of Nations,” bearing in mind the similarity between the words “Lud” and “*lyudi*” (people).

A propos, the Egyptian city of Cairo and the “ancient” city of Babylon, which Scaligerian historians locate between Tigris and Euphrates, also dating it to times immemorial, were depicted as two neighbouring cities on certain ancient maps – a fragment of

one such map is reproduced in fig. 18.6. The modern commentary states that “Cairo and Babylon are depicted as neighbouring cities” ([1268], page 145).




Fig. 18.6. Fragment of an old map where Cairo and Babylon are drawn as neighbours. Taken from [1268], page 145.

The “ancient” city of Babylon is also depicted as standing right next to the Egyptian pyramids on an ancient map reproduced in fig. 18.7 (see [1177], Volume 1, page 245). We can see the Nile, large pyramids, and the city of Babylon, or Babylonia, near

them – on top and to the right. The most interesting fact is that the compilers of this ancient map apparently believed Babylon to have been a Christian city. Indeed, at its very centre we see a tall tower topped by a cross (see fig. 18.8). The tower itself resembles a Muslim minaret – on its top we see something that resembles balconies used by muezzins when they call

Muslims to congregate for
their prayers.

COMES LIMITIS AEGYPTI




Fig. 18.7. The city of Babylon is placed right next to the Egyptian pyramids in an old map from the manuscript entitled *Notitia Dignitatum*, which is supposed to date from the IV-V century A.D. The original is presumed to have perished – however, we have copies of the “Spirensis” codex allegedly dating from the X century. However, this codex also “disappeared in the XVI century,” according to [1177], page 244. Taken from [1177], page 245.



Fig. 18.8. Close in of a fragment of the previous illustration depicting the “ancient” city of Babylon. We see a tall tower in the centre of the city (a Muslim minaret?) with a Christian cross on its dome.

If this is the truth, we find another evidence of

Christianity and Islam being two different offshoots of a formerly united religion. We shall naturally find no Christian crosses upon modern minarets; however, we believe the schism between the two religions to date from a relatively recent epoch, namely, the XVI-XVII century.

Let us revert to the name “Caer,” or “Cair,” which had

once stood for “city.” As we have seen above, nearly every ancient city founded by the Brits had this word as part of its name, which reflects a memory of its origin – the word Czar. For instance, the chronicle of Nennius tells us the following: “These are the names of all the British cities existing to date, 28 of them altogether: Caer Gwartigirn, Caer Gwyntgwick, Caer

Myncip...” ([155], page 190).
And so on, and so forth. The
name of every British city
begins with the word Caer.

It is easy enough to
understand that the entire
narration of Galfridus that
concerns the toponymy of the
name London is offhandedly
declared erroneous by the
representatives of the modern
historical science. According
to the learned historians, “The

toponymy of the name London suggested by the author (namely, its derivation from the name Lud), is thoroughly inconsistent. Ancient authors (such as Tacitus and Ammianus Marcellinus) call the city Londinium or Lundinium. The real toponymy remains debatable” ([155], page 237).

Thus, after the crusades of the XI-XIII century certain

chronicles began to use the name New Troy for referring to Czar-Grad, or New Rome. After the foundation of the Latin Empire around 1204, the capital of Byzantium was called the Latin City, or Caer Lud (Czar of the People), and, finally, London. This name was transported to the insular Britain when the ancient Byzantine and “Mongolian” chronicles ended up there.

Nennius lists 28 British cities in his chronicle, claiming the list to be exhaustive ([577], page 190). Caer was the word the Brits had used for “city” ([577], page 283). However, the ancient capital of Egypt in Africa is called Cairo. The word itself might be a derivative of “Czar.” Therefore, the word “caer” must be Eastern in origin, likewise the ancient history of

Britain.

Galfridus proceeds to tell us that the city of New Troy, or London, had been founded on River Thames ([155], page 18). We believe the name to have been a reference to the Bosphorus initially, which is where we find Constantinople. This strait is very long and relatively narrow; it does look like a river on maps, and connects the Black Sea with

the Sea of Marmara.

Let us also take a closer look at the word Thames. Bearing in mind the Oriental manner of reading words from the right to the left and the word “sound,” a synonym of the word “strait” ([23], page 941). Reversed and unvocalized, it looks as “DNS” – possibly, a version of TMS (Thames). The word may therefore have been used

for referring to a strait in general before becoming an actual name of a river in England.

There is also some important evidence to the fact that many modern British names were imported from Byzantium in the Russian naval chart of 1750 as reproduced in the atlas entitled *Russian Naval Charts. Copies from Originals* ([73]). We

believe the Czar-Grad, or Constantinople, to be the historical prototype of London; this city is located next to the Sound of St. George – a name used for referring to both the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles in the Middle Ages, q.v.

above. Is there anything of the kind anywhere in the vicinity of the British Isles? There is, in fact – the long and narrow strait between Ireland and

Great Britain is referred to as the “Sound of St. George” in the map of 1750, q.v. in fig. 18.9.



Fig. 18.9. Fragment of a Russian military naval chart of 1750 where the strait between England and Ireland is called the Strait of St. George. Copy from the original that was kept in the study of Peter the Great. Apparently, the name “Strait of St. George” came from Byzantium together with the Byzantine chronicles. Taken from [73].

Alternatively, it may have been brought here during the “Mongolian” conquest, when the army of the Horde sent by Genghis=Khan, or Youri (George) came to the British Isles.

The name is most likely to have migrated to the British

Isles as a result of the
“import” of the old Byzantine
and “Mongolian” chronicles.
Alternatively, it is yet another
trace of the Great =
“Mongolian” Conquest, when
the British Isles were
conquered and populated by
the army of Russia, formerly
known as the Horde. This
army had managed to conquer
almost the entire world under
the banners of their Great

Czar, or Khan – Youri, also known as Julius Caesar, Gyurgiy, King George, Genghis-Khan and St. George the Victorious. It is perfectly natural that we should find his name upon the maps of the lands discovered and conquered by his army.

17.

The old coat of arms of London and the English Kingdom of East Saxons depicts the Ottoman scimitars (or crescents)

The city of London on the British Isles is also most likely to have been founded by the “Mongols,” or the “Great

Ones,” in the epoch of the Great Conquest instigated by the Horde and the Ottomans in the XIV-XV century. It would make sense to turn to the map of John Speede dating from 1611-1612 ([1160], pages 166-167). Here we see the city of London as part of the East Saxon Kingdom, q.v. in figs. 18.10. and 18.11. In the top part of fig. 18.11 we see the legend “East Saxons King

Dome.” The second part of the word “kingdom” in its archaic transcription is written separately, at the bottom on the left – immediately above the name London. This might be a reference to the fact that London had been the capital of the East Saxon Kingdom.



Fig. 18.10. Fragment of a map by John Speede dating from 1611-1612. We see the East Saxons Kingdom indicated upon it, as well as its coat of arms (the House of London) with three sabres that look

very much like the Ottoman scimitars
and can be interpreted as Ottoman
crescents. Taken from [1160], pages
166-167.



Fig. 18.11. Close-in of the East Saxon
coat of arms (House of London) from the
map of John Speede. Taken from [1160],
pages 166-167.

Let us also point out the most significant fact that concerns this part of the map. Next to London and the legend “East Saxons King Dome” we see a large coat of arms, which is of the utmost interest to us (see fig. 18.11). What we see is a military shield with three scimitars drawn upon a field of red – they look distinctly Ottoman, as professional weapons with wide and heavy

front parts of the blade.

Furthermore, the way the scimitars are drawn on the shield makes them resemble three Ottoman crescents. One must bear in mind that the map dates from the early XVII century, when the Reformation had already begun, likewise the falsification of the ancient history. It is possible that the old crest of London and the

East Saxon Kingdom had borne even more explicit scimitars, or crescents. Let us enquire about their possible origins, especially given that the mediaeval Saxons had never used anything remotely resembling these Turkish weapons (at the very least, Scaligerian history reports nothing of the kind).

Apparently, what we see is a very vivid trace of the

“Mongolian,” or Ottoman conquest. The presence of the Ottoman scimitars, or crescents, on the crest of the East Saxon is explained well by our reconstruction, which claims the name London to have been transferred to the banks of the Thames by the Horde and the Ottomans, or the Atamans, in memory of the old London – Czar-Grad or Troy on the Bosporus, that

is. The crescent is the ancient symbol of Czar-Grad, as we explain in *Chron6*. Later on, after the conquest of Constantinople by the Ottomans in 1453, the crescent became the imperial symbol of the Ottoman = Ataman Empire, which means there is nothing surprising about the fact that the capital of the British Isles founded by the “Mongols” and the

Ottomans had once borne the symbol of Constantinople upon its crest – the crescent, or the Ottoman scimitar.

The military nature of this mediaeval coat of arms is emphasised by the fact that the three scimitars, or crescents, are drawn upon a shield, q.v. in fig. 18.11. It is a military crest. We see the same coat of arms on the map compiled by John Speede – in

the symbol of the East Saxon Kingdom (fig. 18.12), as a figure of a warrior with a shield decorated by three scimitars, or crescents.



Fig. 18.12. Another representation of the crest of the East Saxons from the left part of John Speede's map. Warrior with a shield with three Ottoman scimitars against a red field. Taken from [1160], page 166.



Fig. 18.13. Coat of arms of London from a map of London dating from 1700. There are no more Ottoman crescents, or scimitars – we see groups of three oddly elongated leonine shapes against a field of red – this is what the initial Ottoman

crescents have transformed into. Taken from [1160], page 271.

One must point out that the plan of London compiled by Johannes de Ram a century later, in 1700, also depicts the London coat of arms ([1160], page 271). It is significant that there are no scimitars or crescents left anywhere anymore; the red field remains, though, q.v. in fig.

18.13. Instead of the crescents we see several triads of lions, their disposition being the same as that of the initial symbols used by the East Saxons (crescents, or scimitars). Even the shape of the leonine bodies resembles a crescent to some extent. This may be a result of the editing campaign that had afflicted English history. Ottoman, or Ataman symbols weren't

welcome in the ancient history of the new, post-Reformist Britain. Crescents were replaced by lions or wiped out altogether. The red field was kept – obviously, nothing about it had struck the reformists as dangerous. We refer to similar “progressive activities” conducted in the course of the Romanovian reform that had concerned the ancient Russian coats of arms

(the XVII-XVIII century; see Part I of the present book). Upon coming to power, the Romanovs commenced to wipe out the old Horde and Ottoman symbols from Russian coats of arms, works of art and so on – diligently and systematically. In particular, the Romanovian artists were known for transforming crescents present in many Russian coats of arms

into boats and other curved figures, pursuing the objective of purging the old state symbolism of the “Mongolian” Empire from everyone’s memory. As a result, much of the authentic history of the XIV-XVI century was forgotten by the early XVIII century, or got disfigured beyond recognition.

18.

The identity of the Scots
and Scotland in the XIII-
XIV century.

The names of Russia
and Scotland appear in
the mediaeval English
maps around the XV-
XVI century

The name Scotland stands for “Land of the Scots,” and there is nothing new or surprising around this fact. However, few people know that the Scots had formerly been known as the Scythians, which is written explicitly in Manuscript F of the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, for instance ([1442], page 3, Comment 4). The Scots are referred to as “SCITHI” here. This ancient

English chronicle openly identifies the Scots as the Scythians, and Scotland as Scythia (Scyth-Land).

We discuss the identity of the Scythians at length in *Chron5*. The Scythians are mentioned by many mediaeval authors – they identify as the Slavic nations primarily. In *Chron5* we demonstrate that the word Scythian is likely to derive from the Slavic word

“*skitatsya*” (to wander). The word “*Kitay*” (the Russian for “China”) must stem from the same root. During the Great = “Mongolian” Conquest, the Slavs, or the Scythians, had spread across the Western Europe in particular, having also given their name to Scotland when they populated it in the XIV-XV century.

Old maps of Scotland are of the utmost interest in this

respect. In fig. 18.14 we reproduce a map of Scotland included in the “Chronicle” by Matthew of Paris, allegedly dating from the XIII century ([1268], page 7). We instantly notice that a large area in the north-west of Scotland is called Ros (see fig. 18.15). The name is definitely related to that of Russia, and must be another trace of the Great = “Mongolian” Conquest, which

had resulted in the advent of the Russian settlers (or the Horde) to Scotland.



Fig. 18.14. Old map of Scotland from the Chronicle of Matthew of Paris allegedly dating from the XIII century.

One cannot miss the large area in the Northwest of Scotland named “Ros” – apparently, the “Russian Land.” This must be a result of the Great = “Mongolian” Invasion, when the Scots (or the Scythians) settled all across Scotland. Taken from [1268], page 7.




Fig. 18.15. A close-in of a fragment of the previous illustration with the name Ros right next to Scocia. Taken from [1268], page 7.

Another map (the one compiled by John Speede in 1611-1612) calls the same region Kingdom of the Scots. A fragment of this map can be seen in figs. 18.16 and 18.17. Also, let us ponder the word “kingdom,” which had formerly been written as “King Dome” (see fig. 18.11, for instance). This word is possibly a derivative of the Slavic “Khan-Dom,” or the

House of the Khan. The Eastern “Mongolian” title Khan transformed into the word king, whereas the old Slavic word for “house” (*dom*) still means virtually the same thing in a number of Western European languages, albeit transcribed in Romanic characters.



Fig. 18.16. Fragment of John Speede's

saying “Kingdome of the Scots.” Taken from [1160], page 167.

Ros, the name of this Russian region, had remained on the maps of Scotland up until the XVIII century at least. In fig. 18.18 we cite a fragment of another such map dating from 1755, where this name is transcribed even more conspicuously – as Ross (see fig. 18.19). This rare map in

its entirety can be seen in figs.
18.20-18.23.



Fig. 18.18. Map of Scotland dating from 1755 with a large area called Ross – possibly, the Russian area. Taken from [1018].




Fig. 18.19. Close-in of a fragment of the previous map with an area called Ross.



Fig. 18.20. Map of Scotland dating from 1755. Part one. Taken from [1018].



Fig. 18.21. Map of Scotland dating from 1755. Part two. Taken from [1018].



Fig. 18.22. Map of Scotland dating from 1755. Part three. Taken from [1018].



Fig. 18.23. Map of Scotland dating from 1755. Part four. Taken from [1018].

However, the most remarkable

map in this respect is the map of the British Isles compiled by George Lily in the alleged year 1546 ([1459], map XLIV; see fig. 18.24. We see the same region of Scotland named Rossia – Russia, in other words! See figs. 18.25 and 18.26. Thus, some XVI century maps of Britain depict a large area of Scotland under the name of Rossia. Modern British maps contain no such

names, obviously enough – they must have vanished in the Reformation epoch (the XVI-XVII century), when all such Russian names got edited out so as to vanquish the very memory of the Great = “Mongolian” Empire.



Fig. 18.24. Map of the British Isles compiled by George Lilly, allegedly dating from 1546. We see a region in Scotland that is called Rossia, or Russia. Taken from [1459], map XLIV.



Fig. 18.25. Close-in of a fragment of

George Lily's map with the region of
Rossia in Scotland and its environs.
Incidentally, we find River Hispana
(Spain?) right next to it.



Fig. 18.26. Close-in of a fragment of
George Lily's map where we see a
region called Rossia.

A propos, the name Ros was also present in mediaeval maps of England – for instance, the very same map of George Lily indicates an area called Ros next to London and Gloucester (see fig. 18.27).



Fig. 18.27. Another fragment of George Lily's map where we see the town of Ros near Glocestri – the name may also be related to the word "Russia." Taken from [1459], map XLIV.

Another map of Britain (dating from 1754) uses the word

Ecossa for referring to the area called Rossia elsewhere (see fig. 18.28). This word is very similar to the word Cossack – the Cossack region. The terms are synonymous, since the Russian Conquest was carried out in the XIV century by the army of the Horde, or the Cossack troops (see more on this in *Chron5*). Apparently, these parts of Scotland were populated by a

large number of the Cossacks
who came here as settlers
from Russia, or the Horde, in
the XIV-XV century.



Fig. 18.28. Map of Britain dating from

1754. Here we see the area formerly known as Ross called Ecosse – possibly, a derivative of the word “Cossack.” Taken from [1018].

The above explains another interesting old name of Scotland that we find in mediaeval maps – Scocia (see the same map by Matthew of Paris as partially reproduced in fig. 18.15). The name is written on the map rather clearly (the Romanic letter C

resembles “q” to some extent). The entire Scotland is called Scocia on another old map that allegedly dates from 1493; its fragment is reproduced in figs. 18.29 and 18.30. As we are beginning to realise, the name might be derived from the Slavic word “*skok*,” roughly equivalent to “gallop.” Seeing as how the Cossack army of the Horde was extremely cavalry-

oriented, it is perfectly natural that names containing the root “*skok*” would become associated with the Russian cavalry, becoming immortalised in geography and history wherever the mounted invaders chose to settle.



Fig. 18.29. Map of Scotland allegedly dating from 1493, where the entire Scotland is referred to as Scocia. Reproduced in “Liber Chronicarum” by H. Schedel of Nuremberg. Taken from [1218], map 2.



Fig. 18.30. Close-in of a fragment of the previous map with the legend “Scocia.”

Also, ancient maps of the XIV-XVI century use the name Scocia for referring to Scythia as well – Scythia Inferior was occasionally transcribed as Scocia Inferior ([953], page 220). Historians couldn't fail to notice this; they cautiously comment in the following manner: “The form ‘Scotia’, which was usually applied to Scotland, is also used for referring to

Scythia here [on some of the ancient maps – Auth.]... The legend that claims the Irish and the Scottish to be of Scythian origin (both nations were known as ‘Scotti’ dates to the IX century at the very least” ([953], page 221).

By the way, certain mediaeval maps also indicate a Scythian Desert in African Egypt ([953], page 220). This is also perfectly in order, since

our reconstruction claims
Egypt in Africa to have been
part of the Great =
“Mongolian” Empire at some
point.

Let us sum up. We have
discovered the following
synonyms of the name
Scotland in a number of old
maps: Ros, Ross, Rossia,
Scotia, Ecosa and Scocia, all
of them references to the
Cossacks or to mounted

warriors.

Now let us turn to the map of Britain ascribed to the “ancient” Ptolemy nowadays (the alleged II century A.D. – see fig. 18.31). This map was included in his *Geography*, which was published as late as in the XVI century (by Sebastian Munster – see [1353]). What does Ptolemy call the “Russian” part of Scotland that we discovered

on other maps? His map has got the word “Albion” right at the centre; above it we see the name Orduices Parisi (see fig. 18.32). The name must translate as “P-Russians (White Russians) from the Horde.” Albion, which is the name of the entire island, also translates as “White” – possibly, in memory of the White Horde, whose army had settled in the British Isle during

the invasion of the XIV-XV century. Also, Ptolemy's map indicates the name of London in its old form – Trinoantes, or New Troy (see fig. 18.31).

TABVLA EVROPAE I.



Fig. 18.31. Map ascribed to the “ancient” Ptolemy nowadays, which was published as late as in the XVI century. In the centre of the map, over the word Albion, we see the legend “Orduices Parisi,” which may have once stood for “P-Russians (White Russians) from the Horde.” Taken from [1353].



Fig. 18.32. Fragment of a map of

England ascribed to Ptolemy with the legend “Orduices Parisi.”

The map of Ireland dating from 1754 is just as interesting (see fig. 18.33). Here we see the city and the area of Roscommon (fig. 18.34). The name may have initially stood for “Russian Commune” – alternatively, the second part may be a derivative of the Old Russian word for “horses” –

“komoni.” Also, let us remind the reader that our reconstruction suggests the word “Irish” to be another form of the word “Russian.”

2.^o
C A R T E
D' I R L A N D E
1754.



Sligo
Kells
Xenos
S L E G O
Mayo
Elphin
Roscommon
CONNAUGHT
Dog's head
Galway
Galway
Athlone
Clonfert
G A L L W A Y
CLARE
Tipperary
Limerick
Limerick
M U N S T E R

Fig. 18.33. Map of Ireland dating from 1754. We see the county of Roscommon and a city named similarly. It is possible that the name had once stood for “common land of the Russians”; alternatively, it may be derived from Russ-Komoni, or “Russian horsemen” – the Cossacks once again. Taken from [1018].



Fig. 18.34. A close-in of a fragment of the previous map with the name

Also, let us recollect the ancient names of London. According to the ancient English chronicles, the city had been known under a variety of different names ([155]). Among them – New Troy, Trinovant, Caerlud, Caeludane, Londinium, Lundres and, finally, London ([155], pages 18, 37 and 232).

As we mentioned above, the name Londres is used by the French until the present day, q.v. in the French map of 1754 referred to above (see fig. 18.28). A close-in with the name Londres can be seen in fig. 18.35. This leads us to the following hypothesis. Could the name Lond-Res have initially stood for “Land of the Russians”? The phonetic similarity is definitely there.

Later on, in the epoch of the Reformation, many of the old names transformed into something else – for instance, the British Reformists were offended by such references to the old Imperial power, and replaced Londres by London, which is already harmless enough. The French, who had lived across the channel, were more concerned with problems of their own and less

so with the ancient names of foreign lands, which might be why the word Londres has survived in French.



Fig. 18.35. Close-in of a French map of Britain dating from 1754. The name of the capital is Londres in French – possibly, “Land of the Russians” (Land + Res). Taken from [1018].

Thus, we see a large number of vivid “Russian traces” left by the Ottoman (Ataman) conquest of the XIV-XV century in certain maps of Britain up until the XVIII century. These “anachronisms” were eventually replaced with other names.

We have discussed the name of Scotland in the Middle Ages at length (Ros,

Ross, Rossia and so on).

There are other Slavic roots in the toponymy of the British Isles. Another good example is Moravia, q.v. on the old map in fig. 18.25. This area is adjacent to Ross, and its border is defined by River Ness. It is common knowledge that Moravia is a Slavic region of Europe – a part of the modern Czech Republic, to be more precise. The name must

have also been brought to Britain by the “Mongolian” conquerors; however, it is absent from the modern maps of Britain. In the map of the XVIII century we see it transformed into Murray. This form doesn’t resemble “Moravia,” and shouldn’t provoke any unnecessary questions.

Let us return to the chronicle of Nennius, who

reports the following in the chapter entitled “Adventures of the Scots and their Conquest of Hibernia.”

“If anyone wishes to know more about the times when... Hibernia had remained desolate and wasn’t inhabited by anyone, this is what I have learnt from the wisest of the Scots. When the Children of Israel were making their escape from the Egyptians

across the Black Sea, the latter party was swallowed by the watery depths, according to the Holy Writ... There was a distinguished Scythian living in Egypt around this time, with a large kin and a great many servants, a refugee from his own land... The surviving Egyptians decided to banish him from Egypt, lest their entire country should fall under his rule” ([577], page

174).

The Scythians were banished as a result, sailing forth and conquering Hibernia. Nennius describes this event as the conquest of Hibernia by the Scots ([577], page 175). The mediaeval Hibernia is identified as Ireland nowadays; however, it may well have been Spain (Iberia), or some other land. The Great = “Mongolian” Conquest had

engulfed enormous parts of Europe, Asia, Africa and America. The descendants of the conquerors who had finally settled in England may have written about the conquest of other lands in their chronicles.

And so, the English chronicler Nennius traces the genealogy of the Scots to the Scythians. His legend of the Egyptian Scythian, who had

conquered Britain when the Pharaoh drowned in the Black Sea, chasing the Biblical Moses, allows us to date the conquest of Britain. We shall come up with the XV century A.D. according to Chron6, which is a perfectly natural date for the colonisation of England by the Scythians, or the army of the Russians (the Horde) and the Ottomans. This expansion wave must

have reached England in the XV century, followed by expeditions to America sailing across the Atlantic (see *Chron6* for more details).

Let us revert to the book of Nennius. It is little wonder that the commentary of the modern historians is somewhat irate. They write the following: “Which Scythia does he mean? Bede the Venerable uses the name ‘Scythia’ for

Scandinavia. The legend of the ‘Scythian’ origins of the Scots may owe its existence to the phonetic similarity between the names Scythia and Scotia” ([577], page 272). For some reason, the modern commentator doesn’t mention the fact that the name “Scots” is transcribed as “Scythi” (Scythians) in certain British chronicles ([1442]). Nothing is gained from the replacement

of Scythia by Scandinavia – as we discuss it above, some of the old British chronicles identify Scandinavia (Cansi) as Russia: “Cansi, which I believe to be Rosie [Russie in another copy – Auth.]” ([1030]). Let us reiterate that Cansi must be derived from the word Khan, which leaves us with “Khan’s Russia.”

If Scythia was known as Scotland at some point, the

following issue becomes all the more important to us. We have seen that the Russian Czar Yaroslav the Wise became reflected in British chronicles as Malescoldus. Therefore, his full title must ring as “Malescoldus, King of Scotland.” Scaligerian history is aware of several such kings – could one of them identify as Yaroslav or one of his ancestors who had wound up

in “insular Scotland” after a
chronological and
geographical shift?

19.

The five primordial languages of the ancient Britain. The nations that spoke them and the territories they inhabited in the XI-XIV century

We find some important information on the very first

page of the *Anglo-Saxon chronicle*: “Five languages were spoken on this island [Great Britain – Auth.]:

- English,
- British or Welsh,
- Irish,
- Pictish,
- Latin.

... The Picts came from Scythia in the south on battleships; their numbers

were few. They had initially disembarked in Northern Ireland and asked the Scots whether they could settle there... The Picts asked the Scots to provide them with wives... Some of the Scots came to Britain from Ireland” ([1442], page 3; see Comment 7).

Does this information contradict the superimposition of the events in question over

the epoch of the crusades to Byzantium (the XIII century), or the epoch of the “Mongolian” conquest? It does not; moreover, we find facts to confirm our reconstruction.

1) The name of the Angles (who spoke English) as manifest in the ancient history of Britain reflects that of the Byzantine imperial dynasty – the Angeli.

2) The name Latin must be

a reference to the Latin Empire of the XIII century; alternatively, it may be derived from the Slavic word for “people” – “*lyud*” or “*lyudi.*”

3a) The name “British” and its equivalent “Welsh” can also be found in the Byzantine and “Mongolian” history of the Middle Ages. It is a trace of the word Brutus (Brother?), and possibly also a reflection of the name Prutenians, or

White Russians, q.v. above.

3b) The English term “Welsh” was also known well in mediaeval Byzantium – it suffices to turn to the table that we have compiled after the book of V. I. Matouzova ([517]) in order to get an answer: the Welsh, or the Wlachians, are identified as the Turks.

In general, the term Wlachian (Wolochian) was

common for the mediaeval European discourse. The Wlachians had lived in Romania starting with the alleged IX century A.D. ([334], page 352). They founded the Walachian Principality. It is very significant that another name of Walachia had been Czara Romynyanska, or the Romanian Kingdom ([334], page 354). Walachia had

reached its peak in the XIV century; its history is closely linked to the history of Turkey. Mediaeval Walachia had waged violent wars against the Ottoman Empire, which were occasionally successful. In the late XIV – early XV century the rulers of Walachia were forced to become vassals of the Ottoman = Ataman Empire ([334], page 356). Thus, the

name of Walachia is closely linked to that of the Ottoman Empire.

Moreover, the name Wlachian is also known to us from the actual history of Constantinople. One of the emperor's primary residences had been the Wlachern Palace ([286], pages 226-229). "The palace had been a residence favoured by the Comneni" ([729], page 137). The Greeks

called it Wlachernes.

“Walachia (transcribed as “Blakie”) is a geographical term frequently used by Robert de Clary (as well as Geoffroi de Villehardouin) for referring to some part of the Eastern Balkans, as it is believed” ([729], page 135). Byzantine authors called this territory the Great Wlachia; in other words, the principality had been located on the

territory of the modern Bulgaria.

Thus, the Old English term Welsh originally referred to the Balkan Walachia of the XI-XV century, or, alternatively, to Byzantium and the Ottoman Empire of the XV-XVI century.

4) We needn't look long to find the prototype of the English Picts in the East. It is common knowledge that the

old name of Egypt is Copt, or Gypt ([99]). Therefore, the Picts of the ancient English chronicles are most likely to identify as the Gypts or the Copts – Egyptians or Kipchaks, in other words.

A propos, the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* is perfectly correct when it tells us that “the Picts came from Scythia in the South” ([1442], page 3). Indeed, according to our

reconstruction as presented in *Chron6*, the Biblical Egypt can be identified as Russia, or the Horde, whose southern regions had been inhabited by the Kipchaks. African Egypt is also a southern country in relation to Scythia.

5) Finally, how can we identify the Irish language? The *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* tells us that some of the Scots came from Ireland ([1442],

page 3). Moreover, during some historical periods at least, “the term Scots was used for referring to the Scots of Ireland and to the Irish Kingdom of Argyll” ([1442], page 3, Commentary 5; see also Comment 8). Therefore, Ireland had once been inhabited by the Scots. The fact that we managed to identify the Scots of the XII-XV century as the Scythians

must also imply that the term “Irish” had been synonymous to the term “Russian” in the epoch in question (RSS or RSH = Russia sans vocalizations); the name “Ireland” may also have referred to Russia once.

The fact that we identify mediaeval Ireland during a certain historical period as Russia (and Scotland, as Scythia) may be perceived as

irritating by some of the readers who were raised on Scaligerian history.

Nevertheless, this is precisely what the ancient English chronicles are telling us.

Galfridus names the Normans, the Brits, the Saxons, the Picts and the Scots among the nations that had inhabited Britain initially ([155], page 6). We have already mentioned the Brits,

the Picts and the Scots; let us now consider the Normans and the Saxons.

6) The Normans did play an important role in mediaeval Byzantium and took part in crusades. However, it is possible that the name is another variation of “Roman” (same old Romans, aka, Romanians, aka Romeans). We already mentioned the fact that in Europe and Asia the

word commonly used for “Norman” had been “Rus” (Russian) – in Arabic and in Greek, for instance, q.v. in [866], Volume 3, page 522). Furthermore, Mauro Orbini, a XVI century historian, believe the Normans to be of a Slavic origin (see [617], page 111; also *Chron5*).

7) This is what historians tell us about the Saxons: “The Saxons were German tribes

who had lived in the North of Europe – primarily, in the territories adjacent to the North Sea. In the V-VI century Britain was conquered by the Germanic tribes... Most often, Galfridus uses the term “Saxons” for referring to all these Germanic conquerors, although he occasionally mentions the Angles separately” ([155], pages 229-230).

According to N. M.

Karamzin, “Herodotus reports that the Scythians, whom the Persians called Sacs, called themselves Scolots [or Scots – Auth.]” ([362], Volume 1, Comment 1). Furthermore, the same author tells us that “Menander calls the Turks ‘Sacs’, and Theophanos uses the term Massagets” ([362], Volume 1, Comment 51). Thus, the mediaeval Saxons,

or Sacs, can be identified as the Scythians, or the Turks. It also becomes clear why Theophanos also used the term “Massagets” – it can be interpreted as “Muscovite Goths,” since they had been Slavs and originated from Russia, or the Horde. The European origins of the Turks also become obvious from the following passage of Karamzin: “Oriental historians

claim Japheth's oldest son to have been called Turk, and the patriarch of said nation ...

which is of the same root as the Tartars" ([362], Volume 1, Comment 51). Mediaeval chroniclers classified all Europeans as descendants of Japheth – see the “Lavrentyevskaya Chronicle,” for instance ([460], columns 3-4).

Therefore, the ancient

English chronicles aren't referring to hypothetical minor nations that had inhabited the modern British Isles in times immemorial, but rather gigantic mediaeval nations and kingdoms that had played important roles in European and Asian history of the XI-XVI century. This history was localised and compressed much later, when the Byzantine and "Mongolian"

chronicles were transferred to the British Isles, giving birth to local history, compressed geographically and expanded chronologically.

20.

The location of the six initial British kingdoms:

East Anglia, Kent,
Sussex, Wessex, Essex
and Mercia

The answer to the question formulated in the name of the section was de facto given to us in the previous section.

East Anglia, Kent, Sussex, Wessex, Essex and Mercia can be identified as mediaeval European nations of the XIII-XV century that took part in the conquest of Byzantium and the Great = “Mongolian” Invasion, namely:

1) East Anglia is most likely to identify as White Russia (cf. Albion) – also known as Prutenia and Prussia (cf. Britannia), or the White

Horde. In fig. 18.36 we reproduce a fragment of an old map that allegedly dates from 1501, where the name “White Russia” is transcribed as RVSIA ALBA SIVE MOSCKOVIA ([1218], Map 4). In other words, White Russia or Moscovia.

Apparently, the name Alba was transferred here after the Great = “Mongolian” Conquest of the British Isles,

being the name of the white
horde – hence Albion.



Ducatus
Moscovia
Lithuania
Podolia

DVCATVS
MOSCKOVIA

LITHVANIA

RVSIA ALBA SIVE
MOSCKOVIA

TARTARIA
PRECOPIEN
SIS

PODOLIA

VALACHIA

SINVS
CARCINIIVS

TAVRICA GIB
RONSIVS
NUNC MINOR
Tartaria

Fig. 18.36. Map fragment from an edition of Ptolemy's *Geography* allegedly dating from 1513. Muscovite Russia is called RVSIA ALBA SIVE MOSCKOVIA – “White Russia, or Moscovia.” Taken from [1218], map 4.

2) The inhabitants of Kent identify as the Saxons according to J. Blair ([76]). A part of Germany is still known as Saxony. As we explain above, mediaeval Saxons can be identified as the Scythians,

the Russians and the Turks, all of them being different names of a single nation.

3) Sussex, the land of the South Saxons, identifies as the Southern Saxony or Southern Scythia, q.v. above.

4) Wessex, the kingdom of the West Saxons as described in the old English chronicles, identifies as Western Saxony or West Scythia, q.v. above.

5) Essex as described by the

old English chronicles identifies as East Saxony or East Scythia, q.v. above.

6) Mercia from the old English chronicles. The picture isn't quite clear here; we can suggest several variants. For instance, it might identify as Germany (from its mediaeval name Moesia, q.v. in the table of mediaeval synonyms above). The city of Marburg, for instance, was formerly

known as Merseburg ([517], page 263). Alternatively, ancient British chronicles may have used the name Mercia for referring to Turkey (one might recollect the city of Mersin in Turkey). Marseilles in France comes to mind as well.

At any rate, we see all of the “ancient Saxon kingdoms” can be located in the XIII-XVI century Europe – it wasn’t

until much later that their names were transplanted to the insular British soil. As a result, these territories have “shrunk” and entered school textbooks as the first six kingdoms of England in this shape (dated to the alleged V-VIII century A.D.)

21.

The famous King Arthur as a legendary reflection of the Horde that had invaded the British Isles in the XIV-XVI century

Some of the readers may be unaware of the fact that the legendary English King Arthur, who is considered one

of the greatest rulers of the “ancient” England and whose lifetime is dated to roughly the V century A.D. (q.v. in [564], page 835) had maintained relations with the Russian Czar. One of King Arthur’s companions refers to “the King of Russia, the most austere of knights ...” This fact is reported by Liamon, the author of the poem cycle entitled “Brutus, or a

Chronicle of Britain” ([1239]). His lifetime is dated to the beginning of the alleged XIII century (see also [517], pages 247-248). It is believed that a Russian princess or queen was stolen away from Russia and taken to Britain under King Arthur ([517], page 248).

In fig. 18.37 we reproduce a drawn copy of the cross upon the grave attributed to King Arthur nowadays ([155],

pages 64-65). The lettering upon it is of the utmost interest to us. It can be interpreted as Latin (“Here lies ...”, etc.). On the other hand, the first word may be read as the Greek word Nicia (see fig. 18.37) – Nicaea or Nike, in other words, which translates from the Greek as “victor.” Also, the representation of Arthur’s name is extremely interesting – we see it

transcribed as Rex Artu Rius (Rex Horde Rus, in other words, or the King of the Russian Horde. Mark the fact that “ARTU” and “RIUS” are written as two separate words; had the author of the lettering wanted to transcribe the name as a single word, he could have done it easily – there is plenty of space, q.v. in fig. 18.37. However, if the two words needed to be separated

by some sign, the amount of space available would not have sufficed, which is why we see the word “Rius” written below “Artu.”



Fig. 18.37. An old stone cross on the grave ascribed to the “ancient” English King Arthur. Taken from [155], pages 63-65.

Later on the name of the king transformed into Arturus, which is also a collation of “Horde” and “Rus,” but less obviously so – this appears to have happened in the XVIII century, the objective being to make the Russian (Horde) origins of the title more vague.

It would also be expedient to note that in the Old English texts the name “Arthur” had been transcribed as “Ardur”

([517], page 247). This makes it sound even closer to the word “Horde” (“*Orda,*” or “*Arda*”). Moreover, some modern philologists point out that the name Arthur had initially been written as two words, AR + DU, the second one translating from the Celtic as “black”; they cite Celtic mythology as proof (see [564], page 835, Comment 5, for instance). In this case the

name “Arthur” translates as “Black Horde.” Let us remind the reader that Russia had consisted of several Hordes (White, Blue, Golden, etc.). It is possible that the entire Horde had once been known as the “Black Horde” in the Western Europe, hence the name Arthur.

Therefore, what we learn from the ancient sources is that the legendary English

King Arthur had in reality been a Czar of the Russian Horde. We encounter another trace of the Russian, or “Mongolian” conquest of the XIV-XV century, whose waves had also reached the British Isles.

The legends about the Knights of the Round Table are very famous ([564], pages 135 and 573). It is presumed that the knights had formed a

state council of sorts, presided by King Arthur, and occupied themselves with the affairs of the state. We are beginning to realise that this English legend must carry an echo of the Horde Council, also known as the Cossack Circle (hence the round shape of the English “Council Table”). In Ukrainian, the State Council is still called “*rada*,” or “Horde.”

The Russian word for “artillery weapon” (“*orudiye*”) may be derived from the word “Horde” (“*orda*”), likewise the word *artillery*. Let us also discuss the possible etymology of the English word “cannon,” which may be derived from the Russian word “*samopal*” (transcribing as “самопал”). It had been used for referring to firearms up until the XVII

century ([187], page 154). If a foreigner attempts to read the Cyrillic word “caмoп” as though it were set in Romanic characters, he shall come up with the word CANNON, seeing how M had occasionally been transcribed as two letters N collated into one (this is still visible in case of “m” and “nn”). The Russian letter п could have been read as “n.” This is how

the Russian word “*samop*” (“*samopal*”) transformed into the English word “cannon.”

It is most likely that Arthur had never been a local English king; the legend of King Arthur reflects the memories of Russia, or the Horde, which had once conquered the British Isles. This is why the Scaligerian history of Britain cannot find a proper place for King Arthur – his reign is

dated to the dark ages these days, an epoch we know nothing of, and one that can house virtually anything.

Starting with the XVII-XVIII century and on, Arthur has been regarded as a legendary character for the most part.

For instance, we encounter the following words in William Caxton's preface to Thomas Malory's *Le Morte Darthur*:

“Then all these things considered, there can no man reasonably gainsay but there was a king of this land named Arthur. For in all places, Christian and heathen, he is reputed and taken for one of the nine worthy, and the first of the three Christian men. And also, he is more spoken of beyond the sea, more books made of his noble acts, than there be in England, as well in Dutch, Italian, Spanish, and Greekish, as in French... Then all these things aforesaid alleged, I could not well deny but that there was such a noble king named

Arthur” ([564], page 9).

This preface was presumably written to the 1485 edition of “Le Morte Darthur”; in reality, the text cannot predate the XVII century. As we shall demonstrate in further publications, King Arthur is a composite character comprising the three following layers: Emperor Andronicus, or Christ (XII century), Khan

(Emperor) Dmitriy Donskoi
(XIV century) and the
Ottoman = Ataman conquest
of the XV-XVI century.

22.

William I the Conqueror
and the Battle of
Hastings dated to the
alleged year 1066. The
Fourth Crusade of 1204

22.1. A mutual
superimposition of two famous
wars in England and in
Byzantium

Below we provide an example of English and Byzantine historical events identified as one and the same, respectively. Namely, we shall compare the Scaligerian version of the famous war waged by William I the Conqueror around the alleged year 1066 to its duplicate – the famous Fourth Crusade of circa 1204.

As we have seen in fig.

15.3, which is a scheme of the dynastical superimposition of Byzantine history over its British double, the epoch of the Fourth Crusade falls right over the epoch of William I.

22.2. The English version of William's biography

In brief, the biography of William in its Scaligerian rendition is as follows (see [64], page 343, for instance).

His full name reads as follows: Duke William I of Normandy, also known as the Conqueror and the Bastard ([1442], page 197; also [64]). An old portrait of this monarch can be seen in fig. 16.6.

Edward the Confessor died heirless in 1066. The crown went to one of his dukes, a very powerful figure – Harold II Godwinson, King of Norway and England, without

any claims for the throne made by any party ([1442], pages 196 and 197). However, a short while after the ascension of Harold to the throne, William the Bastard, Duke of Normandy, came up with a claim for the kingdom. William declared that Edward had singled him out as his heir on his deathbed; then he turned to the Pope for help, and managed to make him an

ally. Next he sent embassies to Germany and France with pleas for help. William had gathered “a large army of adventurers who came from France, Flanders, Brittany, Aquitania, Burgundy, Apulia and Sicily – a whole horde of swashbucklers ready to loot and pillage England” ([64], page 343). William gathered a huge fleet to invade England. It is interesting that a gigantic

old carpet still exists in Baille, 70 metres long and 50 centimetres wide – it is dated to the alleged XI century. The carpet depicts the fleet of William the Conqueror who raises his sails. There are at least 1255 faces and objects depicted on the carpet; some of its fragments can be seen in figs. 18.38-18.42.



Fig. 18.38. “The Conquest of England by the Normans. An XI century carpet from Baille” ([264], Volume 1, page 577). What we see is but a fragment of a truly enormous carpet. Taken from [264], Book 1, page 577.



Fig. 18.39. Fragment of the ancient carpet kept in the city library of Baille. Wool on linen. Manufactured around the alleged years 1073-1083 ([930], page 156). Taken from [930], page 155.



Fig. 18.40. Fragment of the ancient carpet from Baille. Taken from [1052], inset between pages 52 and 53.



Fig. 18.41. Fragment of the ancient carpet from Baille. Taken from [1052], inset between pages 100 and 101.



Fig. 18.42. Fragment of the ancient carpet from Baille. Taken from [1052], inset between pages 100 and 101.

It turns out that the famed Bayeux Tapestry was made a

great deal later. We have discovered a horoscope with a zodiac to be part of the artwork. In “The Baptism of Russia” we demonstrate that it transcribes the following date: 15 March 1495 A.D.

While William was waiting for a suitable wind, the Norwegians cast anchor in the Gamber estuary, led by the treacherous Tostig, brother of Harold.

Harold had turned his army against the enemy and defeated Tostig at York. However, the coast was left unprotected, and a host of Normans disembarked at Pevensey. In spite of his wounds, Harold hastened to drive his army back and to meet his enemy. He did not wait for reinforcements. A violent battle was fought at Senlac Hill near Hastings.

Harold got killed, and his army was crushed. “The victory at Senlac Hill was one of the most decisive ones in history; the entire England fell in the hands of the Norman duke, who got crowned in London” ([64], page 344).

William became the lawful monarch of England after his inauguration. He had launched a wave of terror; many Englishmen were declared

traitors, and their estates were confiscated. This had provoked a series of rebellions, which were suppressed with great cruelty and savoir-faire. His reign is considered a breakpoint in English history; many pages of the English chronicles are dedicated to his biography – the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, in particular. William is the founder of the Norman

dynasty, which had lasted until the alleged year 1154 and was later replaced by the Anjou dynasty.

22.3. The Conquest of Constantinople: Byzantine version

Now let us give a brief synopsis of the conquest of Czar-Grad, or Constantinople, in its Scaligerian version, using [\[334\]](#) for reference. The

Fourth Crusade of 1202-1204 was a brainchild of Pope Innocent III. The crusade ended with the conquest of Constantinople and a change of dynasty in the Byzantine Empire. This crusade is presumed to be the most famous in European history. There are many sources in existence that relate this campaign, presumably written by its actual participants. As

we demonstrated earlier, the crusades of the early XIII century were reflected in history as “the ancient Trojan War.” See “The Origins of Russia as the Horde” for more details. It is possible that the campaign of 1203-1204 is also a partial reflection of the Great = Mongolian conquest of the early XIV century, which ended up in the XIII century as a result of a chronological

error. See more on Innocent II above (Chapter 13, section 23).

The Crusaders requested ships from Venice. Soon, a large fleet set forth towards Constantinople with an army of crusaders. “The plea for help addressed to the Pope and the German king by Prince Alexis, son of the Byzantine emperor Isaac II Angelus, deposed in 1195,

served as the casus belli” ([334], page 209). The crusaders were supported by the affluent citizens of France and the German Empire. The Pope also supported the crusaders, albeit having formally “forbidden” them to harm the Christian lands.

“Thus, all the most influential political forces of Europe were urging the crusaders to invade Byzantium” ([334], page 209).

The crusaders were led by a special council of high-ranking leaders. Boniface of Montferrand was appointed the formal leader of the crusade; however, the military council of the crusaders was presided by Geoffroi de Villehardouin, the famous Marshal of Champagne. He was “an eminent crusader politician and took part in every important diplomatic

transaction” ([729], page 125). There is another reason why Villehardouin’s name is associated with the Fourth Crusade the most often – he is considered the author of the famous book of memoirs entitled “The Conquest of Constantinople” ([1471]; see [286] for more details).

Presumably, he had dictated them at the very end of his life.

Scaligerian history proceeds to tell us the following. Having besieged Constantinople in the alleged year 1203, the crusaders restored the power of Emperor Isaac II Angelus. However, he didn't manage to pay them the entire sum that he had initially promised. The infuriated crusaders took Constantinople by storm in 1204 and pillaged it mercilessly. Whole quarters of

the city were burnt to the ground; the famous Temple of Hagia Sophia was looted, and its great treasures disappeared without a trace. The crusaders founded a new state in Byzantium – the Latin Empire (1204-1261). 1204 marks the beginning of the last period in Byzantine history (Byzantium 3, q.v. above). The new Greek dynasty of Byzantium begins with Theodore I Lascaris

(1204-1222). His ascension to power is a direct result of the Fourth Crusade, the war against Byzantium and the conquest of Constantinople.

22.4. The parallelism between the events related in the English and the Byzantine chronicles

- a. England* of circa 1066.
- *b. Byzantium* of circa

1a. *England*. A great war in England, considered a breakpoint in English history. The alleged year 1066.

■ 1b. *Byzantium*. The famous war known as the Fourth Crusade of 1202-1204. Considered a breakpoint in Byzantine

history ([287]).

2a. England. The Norman dynasty comes to power in England in 1066; it remains regnant until 1154.

■ *2b. Byzantium.* In 1204 the new Latin Empire emerges on Byzantine territory, likewise the Nicaean Empire.

3a. England. The

Norman dynasty ends in 1154, remaining regnant for circa 88 years.

■ *3b. Byzantium.* The Latin Empire ceases to exist in 1261, after 60 years of existence.

The scheme in 15.3 superimposes both these dynasties, or empires, over each other, with a rigid shift of

some 100-120 years. The Byzantine epoch of 1204-1453 becomes superimposed over the English epoch of the alleged years 1066-1327.

4a. England. The events are centred around London, the capital of England, and its environs.

■ *4b. Byzantium.* The events are centred around

Constantinople, the capital of Byzantium, and its environs.

We have already identified London of the XII-XIV century as Constantinople. Therefore, both capitals become superimposed over each other within the framework of the parallelism in question yet again,

confirming the correctness of prior identifications.

5a. England. Harold II is the King of England, regnant as a lawful heir. Harold is considered to have been an Anglo-Saxon king ([334], page 244).

■ *5b. Byzantium.* Isaac II Angelus is the emperor of Byzantium and a lawful

ruler.

6a. *England*. Harold II reigns for some 9 months – less than a year. The previous ruler named Harold was Harold the Dane (regnant in 1036-1039). The reign durations of Harold II and Isaac II coincide and equal 1 year in both cases.

■ 6b. *Byzantium*. Isaac II

remains regnant for about 1 year in 1203-1204. This is his second reign; the first one dates from 1185-1195. As we have mentioned above, his first reign must have become reflected in English history as the reign of Harold I.

7a. England. Let us point out the number II in the title of Harold II.

■ *7b. Byzantium.*

Similarly, we have II in the title of Isaac II.

8a. England. “Anglo-Saxon” sounds similar to Angelus KS.

■ *8b. Byzantium.*

“Angelus” followed by the unvocalized version of the name Isaac shall sound like Angelus SK. We see similar terms as parts of royal titles

in England and Byzantium.
We shall voice our
considerations in re the
name Harold below.

9a. England. William I,
1066-1087. King of
England. The founder of a
new dynasty; regnant for 21
years. His title includes the
number I, likewise the title
of his Byzantine duplicate.

■ *9b. Byzantium.*

Theodore (Tudor?) I
Lascaris, 1204-1222.

Byzantine emperor; regnant
for 18 years, also a founder
of a new dynasty. Some
sources indicate 1208 as the
beginning of his reign.

Let us point out that the
English name Tudor is
obviously a version of the
Byzantine name Theodor.

William comes to power after a war. The biography of Theodore Lascaris is similar – he becomes enthroned after the turmoil of the Fourth Crusade. The “early biography of William” was also affected by the actions of another prominent political figure of the crusade epoch – de Villehardouin, who had contributed to the early political biography of

Theodore Lascaris.

10a. *England.* William the Conqueror sets forth against Harold, seeking to seize the throne. William invades England from abroad as an external hostile force and a leader of a large army.

■ 10b. *Byzantium.*

Villehardouin, the leader of

the crusaders, acts as the chief rival of Emperor Isaac II Angelus. Villehardouin comes to Byzantium from abroad as a conqueror, being among the leaders of a large army.

Let us comment the possible similarities between the names of the characters listed above. It is obvious that the names are

not and cannot be fully identical. Had this been the case, historians would have noticed it a long time ago and studied the sources with the utmost diligence, possibly discovering the parallelism as a result. However, it is perfectly clear that we are comparing two different groups of sources written in different languages and by representatives of different

historical schools, who may also have resided in different countries. The authors of both descriptions are most likely to have lived in the XVI-XVII century, and therefore weren't actual eyewitnesses of the events in question. Each author, or group of authors, was using ancient documents of the distant XIII century for reference.

These texts were laconic,

written in an obscure language and very difficult to decipher. The chronicles were trying to reconstruct a more or less coherent picture of past events, fishing for facts in the murky waters of the past. Fragments of different names may have got shuffled as a result, and passed from character to character.

What we have in the present case is this: William the

Conqueror and the Anglo-Saxon King Harold II in the English version versus Villehardouin and Isaac II Angelus in the Byzantine version. The name William may be a derivative of “Ville,” whereas the name Harold may be derived from “Hardouin.” We shall come up with the following table of correspondences:

1. William = Ville; the second part of Villehardouin's name may simply translate as "Horde" ("Hardou"). The name Villehardouin must therefore translate as William of the Horde. This is what we get as a result.
2. Conqueror = Conqueror.
3. Normandy = Roman (?).
4. Harold = Hardouin.
5. Anglo-Saxon = Angelus +

Isaac.

We must be looking at the same names filtered through the chronicles written by different scribes in different languages. Phonetic parallels of this sort are by no means considered valid scientific argumentation; nevertheless, similar names emerging in the English and the Byzantine history simultaneously deserve

a closer study, since we are comparing two lengthy dynastic currents, superimposed over each other by a rigid chronological shift that makes the parallelism cover a period of several hundred years.

11a. *England.* The war begins with the invasion of a large military fleet that disembarked on the English

coast.

■ *11b. Byzantium.* The crusaders come to Byzantium with a huge military fleet and disembark on the coast of the Byzantine Empire.

12a. England. The Pope supported William's invasion.

■ *12b. Byzantium.* The crusade was sanctioned by

the Pope, who had nevertheless “begged to have mercy on the Christian halidoms.”

13a. *England.* William addresses several European monarchs with a request of military assistance, which results in a motley army that represented a great variety of nations.

■ 13b. *Byzantium.*

Villehardouin addresses the envoys of different European countries with the suggestion to launch a crusade ([286], page 160).

Commentary. A propos, mediaeval sources that describe the Fourth Crusade keep talking about the “march to Babylon.” However, according to the Scaligerian

version, Babylon had been destroyed many centuries before the crusade epoch and never rebuilt. This is how the modern commentators try to reconcile the embarrassing situation: “The city in question is Cairo in Egypt, which was known as Babylon in the west” ([286], page 161). On the other hand, we already know “Caer,” or “Cairo” to be the British word for “city.”

Also, the Fourth Crusade had Czar-Grad as its primary target; “Czar” and “Caer” are the same word. The mediaeval authors who wrote about this crusade must have referred to Czar-Grad as to Babylon.

14a. *England*. Harold II is killed in the battle.

■ 14b. *Byzantium*. Isaac II Angelus is killed in the

course of the war ([729],
page 164).

We can sum up as follows:
the written history of the
British Isles does not begin
with local history, but rather
the Trojan War fought at the
walls of Czar-Grad in the XIII
century A.D. – an event of
paramount importance for
global history. Byzantine

chronicles got included in the local history of the British Isles by mistakes. The chroniclers of the XVI-XVII century mistook the imported old “Mongolian” and Byzantine chronicles for descriptions of ancient events pertaining to the islands.

23.

Mediaeval Russia, or the Horde, as reflected in later English chronicles.

The identity of the Galatians, who had received an epistle of Paul the Apostle, and the dating of this event

The results related above lead us to an important corollary. We must thoroughly reconsider the role of the mediaeval Russia, or the Horde, in European and Asian history. After the restoration of the events described in the old English chronicles to their proper chronological place, the epoch of the XI-XVI century, from “deep antiquity,” we discover that

these chronicles constantly refer to ancient Russia and the Russians, or the Scythians.

Ancient Russian history becomes complemented with a great deal of new information, formerly misdated and misplaced geographically.

The Russian chronicles of the Horde that related the history of Russia and Byzantium wound up in different European, Asian,

Northern African and even American countries as a result of the Great = “Mongolian” Conquest. They frequently became part of the “ancient” history in its local versions, which had spawned a great many duplicates of important historical events that took place within the actual Empire – in Byzantium and Russia (the Horde). These duplicates have been part of the

“ancient” history of different nations ever since – the “ancient” history of England, for example. Nowadays we are capable of discovering them with the use of formal methods enabling us to tell between various historical duplicates.

It is therefore little wonder that our analysis of the English history gives us a great many new facts to confirm the

conception of Russian history related above.

Let us briefly remind the reader that the primary idea voiced in the course of our reconstruction of the Russian history was that the so-called invasion of the Tartars and the Mongols, interpreted by modern historians as a period of slavery when Russia had been conquered by a hostile foreign force of the Tartars

and the Mongols, is really a special period within the actual history of Russia. This was the reign of the Russian Horde dynasty, the Horde being the regular Cossack army responsible for guarding the borders of the country and maintaining order within the Empire. Apart from the horde, there was the civil administration of the princes, whose power had rested on

the Horde as a military power and the foundation of peace and order. The name Mongolia must be a corrupted version of the Russian words for “many” and “power” (“*mnogo*” and “*moshch,*” respectively) – hence the Greek word for “great,” “Megalion.”

The old Russian and Cossack dynasty of the Horde was deposed in the epoch of

the Great Strife (the XVI – early XVII century), and the Great = “Mongolian” Empire fell apart into a multitude of independent states (see *Chron6* for more details). The dynasty of the Romanovs became installed in Russia, the centre of the Empire. Their reign was based on altogether different principles. The previous epochs in Russian history were misrepresented

by the Romanovian historians in order to justify the usurpation of power by the dynasty in question. In particular, the epoch of the Horde dynasty was declared the “epoch of foreign invasion,” when the country had allegedly been conquered by “malicious invaders” – the Tartars and the Mongols.

We come to the conclusion that the references to the

Tartars and the Mongols made by the Western European chroniclers really apply to the ancient Russian kingdom and its regular army, which had conquered the Western Europe and many other lands to boot.

We have pointed out that Western chronicles (English ones in particular) describe Russia under the names of Ruthenia or Rusia (q.v. in the

glossary of mediaeval synonyms above). According to V. I. Matouzova, “the fact that the English were interested in Russian history is also explained by the event that had shook the mediaeval Europe thoroughly – the invasion of the nomadic hordes of the Tartars and the Mongols... The reports of some foreign nation, wild and godless, whose very name was

interpreted as “Hordes from Tartar,” had made the mediaeval chroniclers consider them to be the manifestation of divine retribution for human sins” ([517], page 10).

Nowadays it is presumed that the “Mongol and Tartar yoke had severed the ties between Russia and the rest of Europe for a long time. The relations between Russia and England were only resumed in

the XVI century – both nations were “rediscovering” each other, in a way... Nearly all the information about Russia accumulated in the British written sources by the end of the XIII century was forgotten... The geographical tractate of Roger Barlow that dates from circa 1540-1541 is rather vague when it locates Russia somewhere in the vicinity of the ‘Sarmatian’ and

‘Gyrceanian’ mountains”
([517], page 12). The latter
name might be a reflection of
“Georgiy the Khan.”

It is perfectly fascinating
that a work written in the XVI
century still describes Russia
as a mysterious and distant
land. However, it is presumed
that English embassies had
already existed in Russia,
likewise the embassies of
Austria and other nations.

Russia was visited by many foreigners. However, none of it had sufficed for giving the Westerners a correct view of Russia.

We believe this “wall of silence” to date from the XVII century, when the Empire became fragmented. Every independent nation that came to be as a result had tried its best to forget about having been formerly subordinate to

the Russian Empire, or the Horde. Ancient documents, maps, etc., were destroyed and replaced by freshly-made falsified “ancient sources.” These were conspicuously silent and vague in referring to the land of their former masters so as not to awaken any dangerous memories. This is the very epoch when the tales of the Western chroniclers about the “vicious

Tartars and the Mongols” were written – the presumed conquerors of Russia and a menace to the West. All of this was written in the XVII-XVIII century. This epoch also gave birth to the false concept of the reign of the Russian dynasty as a “harsh foreign yoke over Russia.”

Let us see what the mediaeval English chronicles have to say about Russia.

Bartholomaeus Anglicus reports the following, for instance: “Ruthia [the Horde – Auth.], also known as Ruthena, a province of Maesia, is located at the borders of Asia Minor, bordering with the Roman territories in the East, Gothia in the North, Pannonia in the West and Greece in the South. The land is vast; the language spoken here is the one spoken

by the Bohemians and the Slavs. A part of this land is called Galatia, and its denizens were formerly known as Galatians. Paul the Apostle is believed to have sent them an epistle” ([1026]; see also [517], page 85, and Comment 9).

Many historians commented on this famous mediaeval text. Maesia is believed to be the old name of Germany ([517],

page 93), while Ruthia, or Rutena, identifies as Russia, q.v. above. Moreover, “under Galatia Bartholomaeus Anglicus understands the Galitsk and Volynsk Russia” ([517], page 91). However, as one may expect, modern historians declare the reference to the epistle sent by Paul the Apostle to the Russians erroneous. Indeed – Scaligerian chronology

separates the epoch of Paul the Apostle from the events related here by a thousand years at least. The commentary of modern historians to this passage is rather austere: “The Epistle to Galatians written by Paul the Apostle is included in the canon of the New Testament; it obviously bears no relation to the Galitsk and Volynsk Russia” ([517], page 93).

However, the New Chronology gives us no reason to doubt the report of Bartholomaeus, since the epoch of Jesus Christ identifies as the XII century of the new era; thus, the Galatians mentioned in the New Testament as the addressees of Paul the Apostle must have indeed lived in Galitsk and Volynsk.

Another report dates from

the alleged XIII century. We find it in the *Annals of the Melrose Monastery* (“*Annales Melrosenes*”), South Scotland. The correct dating according to the New Chronology is the XIV century – about a century later. This report is presumably the earliest reference to the “Tartar and Mongol invasion” contained in British sources: “This is when we have first heard of the

iniquitous hordes of the Tartars that had lain many a land waste” ([1121]; see also [517], page 98, and Comment 10).

Once again we see that certain English chronicles of the alleged XIII century (the *Chronica Monasterii Sancti Edmundi*, for instance) consider Russia an island for some reason: “A tribe of great vileness known as the

Tartarins came forth from the islands in great multitudes, wreaking havoc upon Hungary and the adjacent lands”

([1446] as well as [517], page 101). However, we have already explained it to the readers that the word “island” must be read as “Asian land” – Russia can indeed be considered one (see Comment 11).

Another possible

explanation to the presumed insular nature of Russia is that the old Russian word “*ostrov*” had other meanings besides “island,” one of them being “forest.” I. Y. Zabelin reports this in particular ([283], page 55). This interpretation leads us to a natural reconstruction – the initial reference had been to a “land of forests.” The scribes eventually forgot the meaning of the Russian word

“*ostrov*” and translated it as “island.” A propos, a part of Moscow is called “*Losiniy Ostrov*” – literally, “Elk Island”; however, there isn’t any water anywhere around it – the area in question is in fact a forest.

Let us also consider the aliases of the famous Genghis-Khan used in the Russian and the European chronicles: “The name Cliyrcam ... is another

alias of Genghis-Khan, known as Chanogiz and Chigizakon in the Russian chronicles. Other European sources call him Gurgatan, Cekarcarus, Zingiton, Ingischam, Tharsis, David, Presbyter Johannes, etc.” ([517], page 185).

We find the above in the “Annales de Burton” dating from the end of the alleged XIII century. Thus, the Western Europeans had called

Genghis-Khan Gurgatan, or Georgiy (Gyurgiy), as well as Caesar the Cyr (Cecarcarus), Tharsis (Persian or P-Russian – White Russian), David and Presbyter Johannes.

Presbyter Johannes can therefore be identified as Genghis-Khan, according to the Western European chronicles. The Westerners must have identified Russia, or the Horde, as the Kingdom of

Presbyter Johannes. We must recollect a very interesting statement made by the English chronicles in this respect, namely, that “their leader [leader of the Tartars – Auth.] is St. John the Baptist” (quotation given according to [517], page 152). We see that some of the English chroniclers identified Genghis-Khan the conqueror as the Evangelical John the Baptist.

See more on Presbyter Johannes in *Chron5*.

There are many other mediaeval chroniclers that refer to the Tartar and Mongol Horde swarming Europe as a mortal peril; we cannot quote all of them here (see [517], for example). This Horde can be identified as the Russian Army, according to our reconstruction.

Let us conclude with the

following fragment. Ethicus Istricus, who had lived in the alleged III century A.D., according to the modern historians, “tells of a vile nation, the descendants of Gog and Magog, which had once confronted Alexander the Great. Ethicus prophesies dramatically that this nation ‘shall bring great devastation in the times of the Antichrist, proclaiming him the Lord of

Lords”” ([517], page 221).

Ethicus claimed this nation to be “locked away behind the Caspian gates” (Die Kosmographie, page 19).

What epoch did Ethicus Istricus really live in? The III century A.D.? How about Alexander of Macedon, who had fought against Gog and Magog, or the Tartars and the Mongols? We realise that the epoch in question is really the

XIV-XVI century A.D. See
Chron6 for more details.

24.

The dating of the maps compiled by Matthew of Paris. The epoch when Scythia, or the Horde, became known as “the mother of dragons, the cradle of scorpions, the nest of snakes and the hotbed of demons,” and the reasons behind this

reputation

The Great = “Mongolian” Empire fell apart in the XVI-XVII century. A “history rectification campaign” began in the epoch of the mutinous Reformation. The attitude to the “Tartars and the Mongols” changed drastically – they became heavily demonised. In fig. 18.43 we see an

illustration to the Chronicle of Matthew of Paris, who had lived in the alleged XIII century. We see the “Tartars and the Mongols” enjoy a quiet meal; the legend underneath the illustration tells us that “the Tartars eat human flesh.” We see a roasting human carcass (fig. 18.44) with severed human heads and limbs piled up nearby. A very vivid illustration to the customs

of the Tartars – savages and cannibals that have got nothing in common with the enlightened West Europeans.



Fig. 18.43. Ancient illustration from the Chronicle of Matthew of Paris depicting the “Tartars and Mongols” having lunch.

The commentary is authoritative enough:
“Tartars eating human flesh.” This is
how they started to portray warriors of
the Great = “Mongolian” Empire a
posteriori, in the XVI-XVII century, after
the victory of the mutinous Reformers in
the Western Europe. Taken from [1268],
page 14.



Fig. 18.44. Fragment of the previous illustration: a close-in. Such visual aids were used in the XVII-XVIII century in order to make the Tartars and the Mongols look disgusting and ugly to the Western Europeans.

Similar tales were told about the Scythians. Solinus, for instance, is very confident when he tells us about “the Scythians from the inland regions who live in caves like savages... They rejoice in

battles and drink the blood from the wounds of the slain. Their glory grows as they kill more people; it is a disgrace not to kill anyone” (quotation given according to [953], page 219).

Another outburst of similar sentiments comes from Ethicus Istricus, who addresses the North-East in the following manner: “O Aquilon, thou mother of

dragons, cradle of scorpions, nest of snakes and hotbed of demons!” (quotation given in accordance with [953], page 20).

All of the above horror stories are nothing but Western European agitprop of the Reformation epoch (the XVI-XVIII century). Another vivid image they used was that of the vicious Russian bear looming over Europe. Modern

historian tell us the following about the “Ursus,” or the bear as depicted in the mediaeval maps: “The bear in the North-East of Europe. The Hereford map might shed some light over the origins of the ‘Russian Bear’ as an English stereotype that became common in the Elizabethan epoch... There were attempts to trace the origins of this Elizabethan stereotype to the

early Christian symbolism, where both the North and the bear were considered symbols of evil forces... Finally, both unclean animals [the bear and the ape – Auth.] were included in the diet of the ‘Turks of the Gog and Magog genus’” ([953], page 230. The very Latin word for “bear,” “*ursus*,” might be another version of the word Russian.

Let us also consider “an

engraving that depicts the Goths entitled ‘On the Goths and their Cruelty’ from the “Cosmography” of Sebastian Munster published in the alleged year 1550 ([578], Book 1, page 71, ill. 61; see fig. 18.45). We see the Goths (or the Cossacks). The fourth one from the left has the head of a bird of prey with a large beak – it is obvious that the characters in question are

extremely malicious and evil,
isn't it?

DES GOTHES, ET DE LEVR CRVAUTE.



Fig. 18.45. Ancient engraving from Sebastian Munster's "Cosmography," allegedly dating from 1550. The French inscription on top translates as follows: "The Goths and their Cruelty." This is a typical example of what the Reformation epoch agitprop had looked like. This is

how the Goths, or the Cossacks, have been portrayed since the XVII-XVIII century. Taken from [578], Volume 1, page 71, illustration 61.

Let us conclude with the following curious detail. In fig. 18.46 we reproduce “The Map of Great Britain by Matthew of Paris.” Historians call it “a famous map known in four versions” ([1177], Volume 1, map 29). Nowadays it is dated to the

XIII century, or the presumed lifetime of Matthew of Paris.

Historians are very fond of including this map into various publications as an example of the cartographic art of the XIII century. It is treated very reverently these days. The map is a real work of art, accurately and lavishly coloured. A fragment of the same map in a different version was reproduced above

in fig. 18.14.

by Matthew of Paris: a close-in. We don't see the name Ros (or Rossia) applied to any part of Scotland. Taken from [1177], Volume 1, map 39.

However, a detailed study of the “famous ancient map” by Matthew of Paris, q.v. in fig. 18.46, leaves us confused. For instance, we notice that the area of Scotland called Ros or Ross has disappeared without a trace (see fig. 18.47). We have however seen that this

name had been present on the map of Scotland up until the XVIII century (q.v. in the fragment of a map dating from 1755 reproduced in fig. 18.18, for example). It wasn't until much later that the “dangerous” name had disappeared from the map of Britain. As we can see, somebody had also removed it from the “famous ancient map” compiled by Matthew of

Paris, whose portrait can be seen in fig. 18.46. However, another version of the same map as reproduced in fig. 18.14 above retains the name Ros as part of the Scottish geography. This version appears to be older – it must have escaped the clutches of the XVIII-XIX century historians. Possibly, it was edited less fastidiously.

It is therefore likely that the

“famous ancient version” of Matthew’s map as reproduced in fig. 18.46 was created by hoaxers in the XVII-XVIII century the earliest as a “visual aid” to the Scaligerian history, which was introduced around this time. The map was made to look “ancient” – however, it was done way too accurately. It is obvious that all the old names had been edited tendentiously. In particular,

this “ancient” map refers to the capital of England as to London, which is a modern term.

We have already mentioned the fact that several ancient English chronicles trace the name “Britain” to Brutus – possibly, a brother of Julius Caesar, or Youri the Czar. Some of these maps transcribe “Britannicus” as “Brita Nikus” – two separate words (see a

fragment of a map compiled by Jean-Baptiste Wrientz in 1606 reproduced in fig.

18.48). The two words must have once stood for “Brutus the Nicaean,” or “Victory of Brutus,” or “Brutus the Victor,” bearing in mind the Greek word for Victory, “*nike*.”



Fig. 18.48. Fragment of a map dating from 1606 where the word “Britannicus” is transcribed as two words – “Brita Nicus” – Brutus the Victor, or the Victory of Brutus (Brother?). Taken from [1160], page 105, map 4.18.

Another map, compiled by George Lily in the alleged year 1526, contains the name

“Mare Britannicum” – “Sea of Brutus the Victor,” in other words. A fragment of the map can be seen in fig. 18.49.



Fig. 18.49. Fragment of George Lily’s map allegedly compiled in Venice in 1526. The sea is called Mare

Britanicum, or Sea of Brutus the Victor.
Taken from [1160], page 161, map 5.43.

The name “Germany” may also bear relation to the word “*brat,*” or “brother” – Brutenia, Pruthenia and so on. The fact that the Spanish word for “brother” is “*hermano*” is hardly a chance occurrence. The name “Germany” may have been synonymous to “Britain,” translating as

“Brotherly Nation.” One must also note the phonetic similarity between the word “Britannia” and the Slavic word “*brataniye*,” “brotherhood.”

Comments

Comment 1. “The question of provenance and interdependence of the various versions [of the Chronicle] are so complicated that any discussion soon assumes the appearance of an essay in higher mathematics” ([1442], page xxxi).

Comment 2. “Any account of the *Anglo-Saxon Chronicle* is necessarily based on Charles Plummer’s revision of the edition of John Earle (1865) which was published in two volumes by the Oxford University Press in 1892-9... Plummer’s edition ... gives prominence on opposite pages to manuscripts A and E, associated respectively with the names of Archbishop

Parker (1504-75) and Archbishop Laud (1573-1645) ... The other manuscripts were once in the possession of Sir Robert Cotton (1571-1631), and are to be found in the Cottonian collection of manuscripts in the British Museum” ([1442], page xxxi).

Comment 3. “Thanks to the example of Bede, the Chronicle is the first history

written in English to use his mastery innovation of reckoning years as from the Incarnation of Our Lord – ‘Years of Grace’ as they were called in England” ([1442], page xxiv).

Comment 4. “In this year the city of Romans was taken by assault by the Goths, eleven hundred and ten years after it was built. Afterwards, beyond

that, the kings of the Romans ruled no longer in Britain; in all they had reigned there four hundred and seventy years since Julius Caesar first came to the country” ([1442], page 11).

Comment 5. “Une isle i a par non Cancie [Canzie in manuscript B, q.v. in [517], page 240, - Auth.] e si crei bien que c’est Rosie [Russie in

manuscript B, q.v. in [517],
page 240 – Auth.] qui est de la
grant mer salee de totes parz
avironnee. Dunc autresi com
les euetes de lor diverses
maisonnetes de ceus qui sunt
irie' sunt en estor glaive
sachie', tost e isnel d'ire
esbrasez, trestot eissi e plus
assez seuct icil poples fors
eissir por les granz rennes
envair e por faire les granz
ocises, les granz gaaiz e les

conquises.”

Comment 6. “The first inhabitants of this land were the Britons, who came from Armenia” ([1442], page 3).

Comment 7. “Here in this island are five languages: English, British or Welsh, Irish, Pictish, and Latin... Picts came from the south from Scythia with warships,

not many, and landed at first in northern Ireland, and there asked the Scots if they might dwell there... And the Picts asked the Scots for wives... A part of Scots went from Ireland into Britain” (*ibid.*).

Comment 8. “Down to the time of Alfred this term Scottas refers either to the Scots of Ireland or of the Irish kingdom of Argyll” ([1442],

page 3, Comment 5).

Comment 9. “Ruthia, sive Ruthena, quae et Mesiae est provincia, in Minoris Asiae confinio constituta Romanorum terminos est habens ab oriente, Gothiam a septentrione, Pannoniam ab occidente, Graeciam vero a meridie. Terra quidem est maxima concordans cum Bohemis et Sclavis in ideomate

et lingua. Haec autem quadam parte sui Galacia est vocata et eius incolae quandam Galathae vocabantur, quibus dicitur Paulus Apostolus direxisse epistolam. Quaere supra Galacia” ([1026]; also [517], page 77).


Comment 10. “Hic primo auditur in terra nostra, quod nefandus exercitus Tartareorum multas terras

vastavit” ([1121]; also [517], pages 98—99).

Comment 11. “Gens nafanda dicta Tartarins que nuper de insulis ebuliens superficiem terre impleuerat Hungariam cum adiacentibus regionibus devastat” ([1446]; also [517], page 101).

What mainstream historians say about the New Chronology?

The New



Chronology is a fringe theory regarded by the academic

community as pseudohistory, which argues that the conventional chronology of Middle Eastern and European history is fundamentally flawed, and that events attributed to the civilizations of the Roman Empire, Ancient Greece and Ancient Egypt actually occurred during the Middle Ages, more than a thousand years later. The central concepts of the New

Chronology are derived from the ideas of Russian scholar Nikolai Morozov (1854-1946), although work by French scholar Jean Hardouin (1646-1729) can be viewed as an earlier predecessor. However, the New Chronology is most commonly associated with Russian mathematician Anatoly Fomenko (b. 1945), although published works on the subject are actually a

collaboration between Fomenko and several other mathematicians. The concept is most fully explained in *History: Fiction or Science?* book series, originally published in Russian.

The New Chronology also contains *a reconstruction*, an alternative chronology, radically shorter than the standard historical timeline, because all ancient history is

“folded” onto the Middle Ages. According to Fomenko’s claims, the written history of humankind goes only as far back as AD 800, there is almost no information about events between AD 800–1000, and most known historical events took place in AD 1000–1500.

The New Chronology is rejected by mainstream historians and is inconsistent

with absolute and relative dating techniques used in the wider scholarly community. The majority of scientific commentators consider the New Chronology to be pseudoscientific.

History of New Chronology

The idea of chronologies that differ from the conventional chronology can be traced back to at least the early XVII

century. Jean Hardouin then suggested that many ancient historical documents were much younger than commonly believed to be. In 1685 he published a version of Pliny the Elder's *Natural History* in which he claimed that most Greek and Roman texts had been forged by Benedictine monks. When later questioned on these results, Hardouin stated that he would reveal the

monks' reasons in a letter to be revealed only after his death. The executors of his estate were unable to find such a document among his posthumous papers. In the XVII century, Sir Isaac Newton, examining the current chronology of Ancient Greece, Ancient Egypt and the Ancient Near East, expressed discontent with prevailing theories and proposed one of

his own, which, basing its study on Apollonius of Rhodes's *Argonautica*, changed the traditional dating of the Argonautic Expedition, the Trojan War, and the Founding of Rome.

In 1887, Edwin Johnson expressed the opinion that early Christian history was largely invented or corrupted in the II and III centuries.

In 1909, Otto Rank made

note of duplications in literary history of a variety of cultures:

“... almost all important civilized peoples have early woven myths around and glorified in poetry their heroes, mythical kings and princes, founders of religions, of dynasties, empires and cities—in short, their national heroes. Especially the history of their birth and of their early years is furnished with phantastic [*sic*] traits; the amazing similarity, nay literal identity, of those tales, even if they refer to

different, completely independent peoples, sometimes geographically far removed from one another, is well known and has struck many an investigator.” (Rank, Otto. *Der Mythos von der Geburt des Helden.*)

Fomenko became interested in Morozov's theories in 1973. In 1980, together with a few colleagues from the mathematics department of Moscow State University, he

published several articles on “new mathematical methods in history” in peer-reviewed journals. The articles stirred a lot of controversy, but ultimately Fomenko failed to win any respected historians to his side. By the early 1990s, Fomenko shifted his focus from trying to convince the scientific community via peer-reviewed publications to publishing books. Beam writes

that Fomenko and his colleagues were discovered by the Soviet scientific press in the early 1980s, leading to “a brief period of renown”; a contemporary review from the journal *Questions of History* complained, “Their constructions have nothing in common with Marxist historical science.” (Alex Beam. “A shorter history of civilization.” *Boston Globe*, 16

September 1991.)

By 1996, his theory had grown to cover Russia, Turkey, China, Europe, and Egypt [Emp:1].

Fomenko's claims

According to New Chronology, the traditional chronology consists of four overlapping copies of the “true” chronology shifted back in time by significant intervals

with some further revisions. Fomenko claims all events and characters conventionally dated earlier than XI century are fictional, and represent “phantom reflections” of actual Middle Ages events and characters, brought about by intentional or accidental misdatings of historical documents. Before the invention of printing, accounts of the same events by different

eyewitnesses were sometimes retold several times before being written down, then often went through multiple rounds of translating and copyediting. Names were translated, mispronounced and misspelled to the point where they bore little resemblance to originals.

According to Fomenko, this led early chronologists to believe or choose to believe that those accounts described

different events and even different countries and time periods. Fomenko justifies this approach by the fact that, in many cases, the original documents are simply not available. Fomenko claims that all the history of the ancient world is known to us from manuscripts that date from the XV century to the XVIII century, but describe events that allegedly happened

thousands of years before, the originals regrettably and conveniently lost.

For example, the oldest extant manuscripts of monumental treatises on Ancient Roman and Greek history, such as *Annals* and *Histories*, are conventionally dated c. AD 1100, more than a full millennium after the events they describe, and they did not come to scholars'

attention until the XV century. According to Fomenko, the XV century is probably when these documents were first written.

Central to Fomenko's New Chronology is his claim of the existence of a vast Slav-Turk empire, which he called the "Russian Horde", which he says played the dominant role in Eurasian history before the XVII century. The various

peoples identified in ancient and medieval history, from the Scythians, Huns, Goths and Bulgars, through the Polyane, Duleby, Drevliane, Pechenegs, to in more recent times, the Cossacks, Ukrainians, and Belarusians, are nothing but elements of the single Russian Horde. For the New Chronologists, peoples such as the Ukrainians, Belarusians, Mongols, and others who

assert their national independence from Russia, are suffering from a historical delusion.

Fomenko claims that the most probable prototype of the historical Jesus was Andronikos I Komnenos (allegedly AD 1152 to 1185), the emperor of Byzantium, known for his failed reforms; his traits and deeds reflected in 'biographies' of many real and

imaginary persons (A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Czar of the Slavs* (in Russian). St. Petersburg: Neva, 2004.).

The historical Jesus is a composite figure and reflection of the Old Testament prophet Elisha (850-800 BC?), Pope Gregory VII (1020?-1085), Saint Basil of Caesarea (330-379), and even Li Yuanhao (also known as Emperor Jingzong, or “Son of Heaven”,

emperor of Western Xia, who reigned in 1032-1048), Euclides, Bacchus and Dionysius. Fomenko explains the seemingly vast differences in the biographies of these figures as resulting from difference in languages, points of view and time frame of the authors of said accounts and biographies.

Fomenko also merges the cities and histories of

Jerusalem, Rome and Troy
into “New Rome” = Gospel
Jerusalem (in the XII and XIII
centuries) = Troy = Yoros
Castle (A. T. Fomenko, G. V.
Nosovskiy. *Forgotten*

*Jerusalem: Istanbul in the
light of New Chronology* (in
Russian). Moscow: Astrel,
AST, 2007). To the south of
Yoros Castle is Joshua’s Hill
which Fomenko alleges is the
hill Calvary depicted in the

Bible.

Fomenko claims the Hagia Sophia is actually the biblical Temple of Solomon. He identifies Solomon as sultan Suleiman the Magnificent (1494–1566). He claims that historical Jesus may have been born in 1152 and was crucified around AD 1185 on the hill overlooking the Bosphorus.

On the other hand,

according to Fomenko the word “Rome” is a placeholder and can signify any one of several different cities and kingdoms. He claims the “First Rome”, or “Ancient Rome”, or “Mizraim”, is an ancient Egyptian kingdom in the delta of the Nile with its capital in Alexandria. The second and most famous “New Rome” is Constantinople. The third “Rome” is constituted by three

different cities: Constantinople (again), Rome in Italy, and Moscow. According to his claims, Rome in Italy was founded around AD 1380 by Aeneas, and Moscow as the third Rome was the capital of the great “Russian Horde.”

Similarly, the word “Jerusalem” is actually a placeholder rather than a physical location and can refer to different cities at different

times and the word “Israel” did not define a state, even not a territory, but people fighting for God, for example, French St. Louis and English Elizabeth called themselves the King/Queen of Israel.

He claims that parallelism between John the Baptist, Jesus, and Old Testament prophets implies that the New Testament was written before the Old Testament. Fomenko

claims that the Bible was being written until the Council of Trent (1545–1563), when the list of canonical books was established, and all apocryphal books were ordered to be destroyed. Fomenko also claims that Plato, Plotinus and Gemistus Pletho are one and the same person; according to him, some texts by or about Pletho were misdated and today believed to be texts by

or about Plotinus or Plato. He claims similar duplicates Dionysius the Areopagite, Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite, and Dionysius Petavius. He claims Florence and the House of Medici bankrolled and played an important role in creation of the magnificent ‘Roman’ and ‘Greek’ past.

Specific claims

In volumes 1, 2, 3 and 4 of *History: Fiction or Science?*, Fomenko and his colleagues make numerous claims:

- Historians and translators often “assign” different dates and locations to different accounts of the same historical events, creating multiple “phantom copies” of these events. These “phantom copies”

are often misdated by centuries or even millennia and end up incorporated into conventional chronology.

- This chronology was largely manufactured by Joseph Justus Scaliger in *Opus Novum de emendatione temporum* (1583) and *Thesaurum temporum* (1606), and represents a vast array of

dates produced without
any justification
whatsoever, containing the
repeating sequences of
dates with shifts equal to
multiples of the major
cabbalistic numbers 333
and 360. The Jesuit
Dionysius Petavius
completed this chronology
in *De Doctrina*
Temporum, 1627 (v.1) and
1632 (v.2).

- Archaeological dating, dendrochronological dating, paleographical dating, numismatic dating, carbon dating, and other methods of dating of ancient sources and artifacts known today are erroneous, non-exact or dependent on traditional chronology.
- No single document in existence can be reliably

dated earlier than the XI century. Most “ancient” artifacts may find other than consensual explanation.

- Histories of Ancient Rome, Greece and Egypt were crafted during the Renaissance by humanists and clergy - mostly on the basis of documents of their own making.
- The Old Testament

represents a rendition of events of the XIV to XVI centuries AD in Europe and Byzantium, containing “prophecies” about “future” events related in the New Testament, a rendition of events of AD 1152 to 1185.

- The history of religions runs as follows: the pre-Christian period (before the XI century and the

birth of Jesus), Bacchic Christianity (XI and XII centuries, before and after the life of Jesus), Christianity (XII to XVI centuries) and its subsequent mutations into Orthodox Christianity, Catholicism, Judaism, and Islam.

- The *Almagest* of Claudius Ptolemy, traditionally dated to around AD 150

and considered the cornerstone of classical history, was compiled in XVI and XVII centuries from astronomical data of the IX to XVI centuries.

- 37 complete Egyptian horoscopes found in Denderah, Esna, and other temples have unique valid astronomical solutions with dates ranging from AD 1000 and up to as late as

AD 1700.

- The Book of Revelation, as we know it, contains a horoscope, dated to 25 September - 10 October 1486, compiled by cabbalist Johannes Reuchlin.
- The horoscopes found in Sumerian/Babylonian tablets do not contain sufficient astronomical data; consequently, they

have solutions every 30–50 years on the time axis and are therefore useless for purposes of dating.

- The Chinese tables of eclipses are useless for dating, as they contain too many eclipses that did not take place astronomically. Chinese tables of comets, even if true, cannot be used for dating.
- All major inventions like

powder and guns, paper and print occurred in Europe in the period between the X and the XVI centuries.

- Ancient Roman and Greek statues, showing perfect command of the human anatomy, are fakes crafted in the Renaissance, when artists attained such command for the first time.

- There was no such thing as the Tartar and Mongol invasion followed by over two centuries of yoke and slavery, because the so-called “Tartars and Mongols” were the actual ancestors of the modern Russians, living in a bilingual state with Turkic spoken as freely as Russian. So, Russia and Turkey once formed parts

of the same empire. This ancient Russian state was governed by a double structure of civil and military authorities and the hordes were actually professional armies with a tradition of lifelong conscription (the recruitment being the so-called “blood tax”). The Mongol “invasions” were punitive operations against

the regions of the empire that attempted tax evasion. Tamerlane was probably a Russian warlord.

- Official Russian history is a blatant forgery concocted by a host of German scholars brought to Russia to legitimize the usurping Romanov dynasty (1613-1917).
- Moscow was founded as late as the mid-XIV

century. The battle of Kulikovo took place in Moscow.

- The tsar Ivan the Terrible represents a collation of no fewer than four rulers, representing two rival dynasties: the legitimate Godunov rulers and the ambitious Romanov upstarts.
- English history of AD 640–1040 and Byzantine

history of AD 378–830 are reflections of the same late-medieval original.

Fomenko's methods

Statistical correlation of texts

One of Fomenko's simplest methods is statistical correlation of texts. His basic assumption is that a text which describes a sequence of events will devote more space to

more important events (for example, a period of war or an unrest will have much more space devoted to than a period of peaceful, non-eventful years), and that this irregularity will remain visible in other descriptions of the period. For each analysed text, a function is devised which maps each year mentioned in the text with the number of pages (lines, letters) devoted in

the text to its description (which could be zero). The function of the two texts are then compared. (*Chron1*, pp. 187–194.)

For example, Fomenko compares the contemporary history of Rome written by Titus Livius with a modern history of Rome written by Russian historian V. S. Sergeev, calculating that the two have high correlation, and

thus that they describe the same period of history, which is undisputed. (*Chron1*, pp. 194–196.) He also compares modern texts, which describe different periods, and calculates low correlation, as expected. (*Chron1*, pp. 194–196.) However, when he compares, for example, the ancient history of Rome and the medieval history of Rome, he calculates a high


correlation, and concludes that ancient history of Rome is a copy of medieval history of Rome, thus clashing with mainstream accounts.

Statistical correlation of dynasties

In a somewhat similar manner, Fomenko compares two dynasties of rulers using statistical methods. First, he creates a database of rulers,

containing relevant information on each of them. Then, he creates “survey codes” for each pair of the rulers, which contain a number which describes degree of the match of each considered property of two rulers. For example, one of the properties is the way of death: if two rulers were both poisoned, they get value of +1 in their property of the way of death; if one ruler was

poisoned and another killed in combat, they get -1; and if one was poisoned, and another died of illness, they get 0 (Fomenko claims there is possibility that chroniclers were not impartial and that different descriptions nonetheless describe the same person). An important property is the length of the rule. (*Chron1*, pp. 215–223.)



Sample Fomenko parallelism.

Fomenko lists a number of pairs of unrelated dynasties – for example, dynasties of kings of Israel and emperors of late Western Roman Empire (AD 300-476) – and claims that this method demonstrates correlations between their reigns. (Graphs which show just the length of the rule in the two dynasties are the most

widely known; however, Fomenko's conclusions are also based on other parameters, as described above.) He also claims that the regnal history from the XVII to XX centuries never shows correlation of "dynastic flows" with each other, therefore Fomenko insists history was multiplied and outstretched into imaginary antiquity to justify this or other "royal"

pretensions.

Fomenko uses for the demonstration of correlation between the reigns exclusively the data from the *Chronological Tables* of J. Blair (Moscow, 1808-1809). Fomenko says that Blair's tables are all the more valuable to us since they were compiled in an epoch adjacent to the time of Scaligerian chronology. According to

Fomenko these tables contain clearer signs of “Scaligerite activity” which were subsequently buried under layers of paint and plaster by historians of the XIX and XX centuries.

Astronomical evidence

Fomenko examines astronomical events described in ancient texts and claims that the chronology is actually

medieval. For example:

- He says the mysterious drop in the value of the lunar acceleration parameter D (“a linear combination of the [angular] accelerations of the Earth and Moon”) between the years AD 700–1300, which the American astronomer Robert Newton had

explained in terms of “non-gravitational” (i.e., tidal) forces. By eliminating those anomalous early eclipses the New Chronology produces a constant value of D” beginning around AD 1000. (*Chron1*, pp. pp.93-94, 105-6.)

- He associates initially the Star of Bethlehem with the AD 1140 (± 20) supernova

(now Crab Nebula) and the Crucifixion Eclipse with the total solar eclipse of AD 1170 (± 20). He also believes that Crab Nebula supernova could not have exploded in AD 1054, but probably in AD 1153. He connects it with total eclipse of AD 1186. Moreover he holds in strong doubt the veracity of ancient Chinese

astronomical data.

- He argues that the star catalog in the *Almagest*, ascribed to the Hellenistic astronomer Claudius Ptolemy, was compiled in the XV to XVI centuries AD. With this objective in sight he develops new methods of dating old stellar catalogues and claims that the *Almagest* is based on data collected

between AD 600 and 1300, whereby the telluric obliquity is well taken into account.

- He refines and completes Morozov's analysis of some ancient horoscopes, most notably, the so-called Dendera Zodiacs—two horoscopes drawn on the ceiling of the temple of Hathor—and comes to the conclusion that they

correspond to either the XI or the XIII century AD.

Moreover, in his *History: Fiction or Science?* series finale, he makes computer-aided dating of all 37 Egyptian horoscopes that contain sufficient astronomical data, and claims they all fit into XI to XIX century timeframe. Traditional history usually either interprets these

horoscopes as belonging to the I century BC or suggests that they weren't meant to match any date at all.

- In his final analysis of an eclipse triad described by the ancient Greek Thucydides in *History of the Peloponnesian War*, Fomenko dates the eclipses to AD 1039, 1046 and 1057. Because of the

layered structure of the manuscript, he claims that Thucydides actually lived in medieval times and in describing the Peloponnesian War between the Spartans and Athenians he was actually describing the conflict between the medieval Navarrans and Catalans in Spain from AD 1374 to 1387.

- Fomenko claims that the abundance of dated astronomical records in cuneiform texts from Mesopotamia is of little use for dating of events, as the astronomical phenomena they describe recur cyclically every 30–40 years.

Rejection of common dating methods

On archaeological dating methods, Fomenko claims:

“Archaeological, dendrochronological, paleographical and carbon methods of dating of ancient sources and artifacts are both non-exact and contradictory, therefore there is not a single piece of firm written evidence or artifact that could be reliably and independently dated earlier than the XI century.”

(*Chron1.*)

Dendrochronology is rejected with a claim that, for dating of objects much older than the oldest still living trees, it isn't an absolute, but a relative dating method, and thus dependent on traditional chronology. Fomenko specifically points to a break of dendrochronological scales around AD 1000.

Fomenko also cites a number of cases where carbon

dating of a series of objects of known age gave significantly different dates. He also alleges undue cooperation between physicists and archaeologists in obtaining the dates, since most radiocarbon dating labs only accept samples with an age estimate suggested by historians or archaeologists. Fomenko also claims that carbon dating over the range of AD 1 to 2000 is inaccurate

because it has too many sources of error that are either guessed at or completely ignored, and that calibration is done with a statistically meaningless number of samples. Consequently, Fomenko concludes that carbon dating is not accurate enough to be used on historical scale.

Fomenko rejects numismatic dating as circular,

being based on the traditional chronology, and points to cases of similar coins being minted in distant periods, unexplained long periods with no coins minted and cases of mismatch of numismatic dating with historical accounts. (*Chron1*, pp. 90-92.)

He fully agrees with absolute dating methods for clay tablets or coins like thermoluminescence dating,

optically stimulated luminescence dating, archaeomagnetic, metallographic dating, but claims that their precision does not allow for comprehensive pinpointing on the time axis either.

Fomenko also condemns the common archaeological practice of submitting samples for dating accompanied with an estimate of the expected

age. He claims that convergence of uncertainty in archaeological dating methods proves strictly nothing per se. Even if the sum S of probabilities of the veracity of event produced by N dating methods exceeds 1.00 it does not mean that the event has taken place with 100% probability.

Reception

Fomenko's historical ideas have been universally rejected by mainstream scholars, who brand them as pseudoscience, but were popularized by former world chess champion Garry Kasparov. Billington writes that the theory "might have quietly blown away in the wind tunnels of academia" if not for Kasparov's writing in support of it in the magazine *Ogoniok*. Kasparov met

Fomenko during the 1990s, and found that Fomenko's conclusions concerning certain subjects were identical to his own regarding the popular view (which is not the view of academics) that art and culture died during the Dark Ages and were not revived until the Renaissance. Kasparov also felt it illogical that the Romans and the Greeks living under the banner of Byzantium could

fail to use the mounds of scientific knowledge left them by Ancient Greece and Rome, especially when it was of urgent military use. However, Kasparov does not support the reconstruction part of the New Chronology. Russian critics tended to see Fomenko's New Chronology as "an embarrassment and a potent symbol of the depths to which the Russian academy and

society have generally sunk ... since the fall of Communism.” Western critics see his views as part of a renewed Russian imperial ideology, “keeping alive an imperial consciousness and secular messianism in Russia.”

In 2004 Anatoly Fomenko with his coauthor Gleb Nosovsky were awarded for their books on “New Chronology” the anti-prize of

the Moscow International Book Fair called “Abzatz” (literally ‘paragraph’, a euphemism for a vulgar Russian word meaning disaster or fiasco) in the category “Esteemed nonsense” (“Pochotnaya bezgramota”) awarded for the worst book published in Russia.

Critics have accused Fomenko of altering the data to improve the fit with his

ideas and have noted that he violates a key rule of statistics by selecting matches from the historical record which support his chronology, while ignoring those which do not, creating artificial, better-than-chance correlations, and that these practices undermine Fomenko's statistical arguments. The new chronology was given a comprehensive critical analysis

in a round table on “The ‘Myths’ of New Chronology” chaired by the dean of the department of history of Moscow State University in December 1999. One of the participants in that round table, the distinguished Russian archaeologist, Valentin Yanin, compared Fomenko’s work to “the sleight of hand trickery of a David Copperfield.” Linguist

Andrey Zaliznyak argued that by using the Fomenko's approaches one can "prove" any historical correspondence, for example, between Ancient Egyptian pharaohs and French kings.

James Billington, formerly professor of Russian history at Harvard and Princeton and currently the Librarian of Congress placed Fomenko's work within the context of the

political movement of Eurasianism, which sought to tie Russian history closely to that of its Asian neighbors. Billington describes Fomenko as ascribing the belief in past hostility between Russia and the Mongols to the influence of Western historians. Thus, by Fomenko's chronology, "Russia and Turkey are parts of a previously single empire." A French reviewer of

Billington's book noted approvingly his concern with the phantasmagorical conceptions of Fomenko about the global "new chronology."

H.G. van Bueren, professor emeritus of astronomy at the University of Utrecht, concluded his scathing review of Fomenko's work on the application of mathematics and astronomy to historical data as follows:

“It is surprising, to say the least, that a well-known (Dutch) publisher could produce an expensive book of such doubtful intellectual value, of which the only good word that can be said is that it contains an enormous amount of factual historical material, untidily ordered, true; badly written, yes; mixed-up with conjectural nonsense, sure; but still, much useful stuff. For the rest of the book is absolutely worthless. It reminds one of the early Soviet attempts to produce tendentious science (Lysenko!), of polywater, of cold

fusion, and of modern creationism. In brief: a useless and misleading book.” (H. G. van Bueren, *Mathematics and Logic*.)

Convergence of methods in archaeological dating

While Fomenko rejects commonly accepted dating methods, archaeologists, conservators and other scientists make extensive use of such techniques which have

been rigorously examined and refined during decades of use.

In the specific case of dendrochronology, Fomenko claims that this fails as an absolute dating method because of gaps in the record. However, independent dendrochronological sequences beginning with living trees from various parts of North America and Europe extend back 12,400 years into

the past. Furthermore, the mutual consistency of these independent dendrochronological sequences has been confirmed by comparing their radiocarbon and dendrochronological ages. These and other data have provided a calibration curve for radiocarbon dating whose internal error does not exceed ± 163 years over the entire

26,000 years of the curve.

In fact, archaeologists have developed a fully anchored dendrochronology series going back past 10,000 BCE. “The absolutely dated tree-ring chronology now extends back to 12,410 cal BP (10,461 BC).”

*Misuse of historical sources
and forced pattern matching*

Critics of Fomenko's theory

claim that his use of historical sources is highly selective and ignores the basic principles of sound historical scholarship.

“Fomenko ... provides no fair-minded review of the historical literature about a topic with which he deals, quotes only those sources that serve his purposes, uses evidence in ways that seem strange to professionally-trained historians and asserts the wildest speculation as if it has the same status as the information common to the

conventional historical literature.”

They also note that his method of statistically correlating of texts is very rough, because it does not take into account the many possible sources of variation in length outside of “importance.” They maintain that differences in language, style, and scope, as well as the frequently differing views and focuses of historians, which

are manifested in a different notion of “important events”, make quantifying historical writings a dubious proposition at best. What’s more, Fomenko’s critics allege that the parallelisms he reports are often derived by alleged forcing by Fomenko of the data – rearranging, merging, and removing monarchs as needed to fit the pattern.

For example, on the one

hand Fomenko asserts that the vast majority of ancient sources are either irreparably distorted duplicate accounts of the same events or later forgeries. In his identification of Jesus with Pope Gregory VII (*Chron2*, p. 51) he ignores the otherwise vast dissimilarities between their reported lives and focuses on the similarity of their appointment to religious office

by baptism. (The evangelical Jesus is traditionally believed to have lived for 33 years, and he was an adult at the time of his encounter with John the Baptist. In contrast, according to the available primary sources, Pope Gregory VII lived for at least 60 years and was born 8 years after the death of Fomenko's John-the-Baptist equivalent John Crescentius.)

Critics allege that many of the supposed correlations of regnal durations are the product of the selective parsing and blending of the dates, events, and individuals mentioned in the original text. Another point raised by critics is that Fomenko does not explain his altering the data (changing the order of rulers, dropping rulers, combining rulers, treating interregna as

rulers, switching between theologians and emperors, etc.) preventing a duplication of the effort and effectively making this whole theory an ad hoc hypothesis.

Selectivity in reference to astronomical phenomena

Critics point out that Fomenko's discussion of astronomical phenomena tends to be selective, choosing

isolated examples that support the New Chronology and ignoring the large bodies of data that provide statistically supported evidence for the conventional dating. For his dating of the Almagest star catalog, Fomenko arbitrarily selected eight stars from the more than 1000 stars in the catalog, one of which (Arcturus) has a large systematic error. This star has

a dominant effect on Fomenko's dating. Statistical analysis using the same method for all "fast" stars points to the antiquity of the Almagest star catalog. Rawlins points out further that Fomenko's statistical analysis got the wrong date for the Almagest because he took as constant Earth's obliquity when it is a variable that changes at a very slow, but

known, rate.

Fomenko's studies ignore the abundance of dated astronomical records in cuneiform texts from Mesopotamia. Among these texts is a series of Babylonian astronomical diaries, which records precise astronomical observations of the Moon and planets, often dated in terms of the reigns of known historical figures extending back to the

VI century BCE. Astronomical retrocalculations for all these moving objects allow us to date these observations, and consequently the rulers' reigns, to within a single day. The observations are sufficiently redundant that only a small portion of them are sufficient to date a text to a unique year in the period 750 BCE to 100 CE. The dates obtained agree with the

accepted chronology. In addition, F. R. Stephenson has demonstrated through a systematic study of a large number of Babylonian, Ancient and Medieval European, and Chinese records of eclipse observations that they can be dated consistently with conventional chronology at least as far back as 600 BCE. In contrast to Fomenko's missing centuries,

Stephenson's studies of eclipse observations find an accumulated uncertainty in the timing of the rotation of the earth of 420 seconds at 400 BCE, and only 80 seconds at 1000 CE.

Magnitude and consistency of conspiracy theory

Fomenko claims that world history prior to 1600 was deliberately falsified for

political reasons. The consequences of this conspiracy theory are twofold. Documents that conflict with New Chronology are said to have been edited or fabricated by conspirators (mostly Western European historians and humanists of late XVI to XVII centuries). The lack of documents directly supporting New Chronology and conflicting traditional history is

said to be thanks to the majority of such documents being destroyed by the same conspirators.

Consequently, there are many thousands of documents that are considered authentic in traditional history, but not in New Chronology. Fomenko often uses “falsified” documents, which he dismisses in other contexts, to prove a point. For example, he

analyzes the Tartar Relation and arrives at the conclusion that Mongolian capital of Karakorum was located in Central Russia (equated with present-day Yaroslavl).

However, the Tartar Relation makes several statements that are at odds with New Chronology (such as that Batu Khan and Russian duke Yaroslavl are two distinct people). Those are said by

Fomenko to have been introduced into the original text by later editors.

Many of the rulers that Fomenko claims are medieval doppelgangers moved in the imaginary past have left behind vast numbers of coins. Numismatists have made innumerable identifications of coins to rulers known from ancient sources. For instance, several Roman emperors

issued coinage featuring at least three of their names, consistent with those found in written sources, and there are frequent examples of joint coinage between known royal family members, as well as overstrikes by kings who were known enemies.

Ancient coins in Greek and Latin are unearthed to this day in vast quantities from Britain to India. For Fomenko's

theories to be correct, this could only be explained by counterfeiting on a very grand and consistent scale, as well as a complete dismissal of all numismatic analyses of hoard findings, coin styles etc.

Popularity in forums and amongst Russian imperialists

Despite criticism, Fomenko has published and sold over one million copies of his books

in his native Russia. Many internet forums have appeared which aim to supplement his work with additional amateur research. His critics have suggested that Fomenko's version of history appealed to the Russian reading public by keeping alive an imperial consciousness to replace their disillusionment with the failures of Communism and post-Communist corporate

oligarchies.

Alexander Zinoviev called the New Chronology “one of the major scientific breakthroughs of the XX century.”

(Wikipedia text retrieved on 2nd August, 2015)

Afterword from the
publisher

Dr. Fomenko *et al* as scientists are ready to recognize their mistakes, to repent and to retract on the condition that:

- radiocarbon dating methods pass the black box tests, or
- astronomy refutes their results on ancient eclipses, or
- US astrophysicist Robert Newton was proved wrong


to accuse Ptolemy of his crime.

At present, historians do not, can not, and will not comply. The radiocarbon dating labs run their very costly tests only if the sample to be dated is accompanied with an idea of age pronounced by historians on basis of ... subjective ... mmm ... gutfeeling ... and the history books they have been

writing for the last 400 years.
Radiocarbon labs politely bill
for their fiddling and finetuning
to get the dates “to order” of
historians. *Circulus vitiosus* is
perfect.

Overview of the seven-
volume print edition

**History: Fiction or
Science?**



Chronology 1

A. T. Fomenko

Introducing the problem.
A criticism of the Scaligerian
chronology.

Dating methods as offered by
mathematical statistics.
Eclipses and zodiacs.

Chronology 2

A. T. Fomenko

The dynastic parallelism
method.

Rome. Troy. Greece. The
Bible.

Chronological shifts.

Chronology 3

*A. T. Fomenko, T. N.
Fomenko, V. V. Kalashnikov,
G. V. Nosovskiy*
Astronomical methods as
applied to chronology.
Ptolemy's Almagest. Tycho
Brahe. Copernicus.
The Egyptian zodiacs.

Chronology 4

*A. T. Fomenko, G. V.
Nosovskiy*
Russia. Britain. Byzantium.

Rome.

Chronology 5

A. T. Fomenko, G. V.

Nosovskiy

Russia = Horde. Ottomans =
Atamans.

Europe. China. Japan.

The Etruscans. Egypt.

Scandinavia.

Chronology 6

A. T. Fomenko, G. V.

Nosovskiy

The Horde-Ataman Empire.
The Bible. The Reformation.
America. Passover and the
calendar.

Chronology 7

A. T. Fomenko, G. V.

Nosovskiy

A reconstruction of global
history.

The Khans of Novgorod = The
Habsburgs.

Miscellaneous information.
The legacy of the Great
Empire in the history and
culture of Eurasia and
America.

This seven-volume edition is
based on a number of our
books that came out over the
last couple of years and were

concerned with the subject in question. All this gigantic body of material was revised and categorized; finally, its current form does not contain any of the repetitions that are inevitable in the publication of separate books. All of this resulted in the inclusion of a great number of additional material in the current edition – including previously unpublished data. The reader

shall find a systematic rendition of detailed criticisms of the consensual (Scaligerian) chronology, the descriptions of the methods offered by mathematical statistics and natural sciences that the authors have discovered and researched, as well as the new hypothetical reconstruction of global history up until the XVIII century. Our previous books on the subject of

chronology were created in the period of naissance and rather turbulent infancy of the new paradigm, full of complications and involved issues, which often resulted in the formulation of multi-optional hypotheses. The present edition pioneers in formulating a consecutive unified concept of the reconstruction of ancient history – one that apparently is

supported by a truly immense body of evidence.

Nevertheless, it is understandable that its elements may occasionally be in need of revision or elaboration.

A. T. Fomenko

Also by Anatoly T. Fomenko

(List non-exhaustive)

- A. T. Fomenko. *Differential Geometry and Topology*. Plenum Publishing Corp., 1987. New York and London: Consultants Bureau.
- A. T. Fomenko. *Variational Principles of Topology. Multidimensional Minimal Surface Theory*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic

Publishers, 1990.

A. T. Fomenko. *Topological variational problems*. Gordon and Breach, 1991.

A. T. Fomenko. *Integrability and Nonintegrability in Geometry and Mechanics*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.

A. T. Fomenko. *The Plateau Problem*. Vols. 1, 2. Gordon and Breach, 1990. (Studies in the Development of Modern Mathematics.)

A. T. Fomenko. *Symplectic Geometry. Methods and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, 1988. Second edition 1995.

A. T. Fomenko, Dao Chong Thi.

Minimal Surfaces and Plateau Problem. American Mathematical Society, 1991.

- A. T. Fomenko, V. V. Trofimov.
Integrable Systems on Lie Algebras and Symmetric Spaces. Gordon and Breach, 1987.
- A. T. Fomenko, A. A. Tuzhilin.
Geometry of Minimal Surfaces in Three-Dimensional Space. (In: *Translation of Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 93. American Mathematical Society, 1991.
- A. T. Fomenko. *Topological Classification of Integrable Systems.* *Advances in Soviet Mathematics*, vol. 6. American Mathematical

Society, 1991.

- A. T. Fomenko. *Tensor and Vector Analysis: Geometry, Mechanics and Physics*. Taylor and Francis, 1988.
- A. T. Fomenko, S. V. Matveev. *Algorithmic and Computer Methods for Three-Manifolds*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- A. T. Fomenko, T. L. Kunii. *Topological Modeling for Visualization*. Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- A. T. Fomenko, B. A. Dubrovin, S. P. Novikov. *Modern Geometry. Methods and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, GTM 93, Part 1, 1984; GTM

104, Part 2, 1985, GTM 124, Part 3, 1990.

- A. T. Fomenko, S. P. Novikov. *The basic elements of differential geometry and topology*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1990.
- A. T. Fomenko, A. V. Bolsinov. *Integrable Hamiltonian Systems: Geometry, Topology, Classification*. Taylor and Francis, 2003.
- A. T. Fomenko. *Empirico-Statistical Analysis of Narrative Material and Its Applications to Historical Dating*. (Vol. 1: *The Development of the Statistical Tools*. Vol. 2: *The Analysis of Ancient and Medieval*

Records.) The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.

A. T. Fomenko, V. V Kalashnikov, G. V. Nosovsky. *Geometrical and Statistical Methods of Analysis of Star Configurations. Dating Ptolemy's Almagest.* USA: CRC Press, 1993.

A. T. Fomenko. *New Methods of Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts. Applications to Chronology. Antiquity in the Middle Ages. Greek and Bible History.* Vols. 1, 2, 3. USA, Lewiston, Queenston, Lampeter: The Edwin Mellen Press, 1999.

A. T. Fomenko. *Mathematical*

Impressions. American Mathematical Society, 1990.

Also by Gleb V.
Nosovskiy

(List non-exhaustive)

- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *On Calculating the Parent Structures in Shuffled Sequences*. Vector and Tensor Analysis Seminar Works, Issue 22. Moscow: Moscow State University Publications, 1985.
- G. V. Nosovskiy. *Controlling the Diffusion Processes on an Edgeless*

Manifold. Science Candidate of Physics and Mathematics Dissertation. Moscow: Moscow University, 1988.

A. T. Fomenko, V. V. Kalashnikov, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Geometrical and Statistical Methods of Analysis of Star Configurations. Dating Ptolemy's Almagest*. CRC Press, 1993. 300 pages.

G. V. Nosovskiy. *Nonlinear Potentials Construction for Degenerate Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations in R^d* . In: *Almanac Statistics and Control of Random Processes*, Volume 5. Moscow: TVP, 1994.

A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *The*

New Chronology and Conception of the Ancient History of Russia, Britain and Rome. Facts. Statistics. Hypotheses. Volumes 1 and 2.

Moscow: Moscow State University Didactic and Scientific Centre of Pre-University Education, 1995.

A. T. Fomenko, V. V. Kalashnikov, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Dating the Almagest Star Catalogue.* Moscow: Faktorial, 1995.

A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Empire. A New Mathematical Chronology of the Antiquity.* Faktorial, Moscow, 1996.

A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Mathematico-Statistical Models of*

Information Distribution in Historical Chronicles. In: *Mathematical Issues of Cybernetics*, issue 6. Moscow: Nauka, Fizmatlit, 1996.

- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Mathematical Chronology of Biblical Events*. Moscow: Nauka, 1997. 408 pages.
- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Biblical Russia. Russian Empire, or the Horde, and the Bible. A New Mathematical Chronology of the Antiquity*. Volumes 1 and 2. Moscow: Faktorial, 1998.
- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *An Introduction to the New Chronology*.

Moscow: Kraft, 1999.

- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History. New Chronology*. Moscow: Delovoi Express, 1999.
- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History. The research of 1999-2000*. Moscow: Delovoi Express, 2000.
- A. T. Fomenko, V. V. Kalashnikov, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Astronomical Analysis of Chronology*. Moscow: Delovoi Express, 2000.
- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *A New Chronology of Egypt. Astronomical Dating of Egyptian Zodiacs*.

Moscow: Veche, 2002 and 2003.

- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *King of the Slavs*. St. Petersburg: Neva, 2004.
- A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *A New Chronology of Russia: Russia, Britain, Byzantium and Rome*. Moscow: RIMIS, 2004.
- A. T. Fomenko, A. A. Golovanov, D. P. Ilyutko, G. V. Nosovskiy. *Computer Geometry: A Textbook for University Students*. Moscow: Akademiya Publishing Centre, 2006.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Separate books on the New Chronology

Prior to the publication of the seven-volume *Chronology*, we published a number of books on the same topic. If we are to disregard the paperbacks and the concise versions, as well as new re-editions, there are

seven such books. Shortened versions of their names appear below:

1. *Introduction.*
2. *Methods 1-2.*
3. *Methods 3.*
4. *The New Chronology of Russia, Britain and Rome.*
5. *The Empire.*
6. *The Biblical Russia.*
7. *Reconstruction.*

• **BOOK ONE. *Introduction.***

[Intro]:1. Fomenko, A. T. *New Experimental Statistical Methods of Dating Ancient Events and their Application to the Global Classical and Mediaeval Chronology*. Pre-print. Moscow, The State Television and Radio Broadcast Committee, 1981. Order #3672. Lit. 9/XI-81. No. BO7201, 100 p.

[Intro]:2. Fomenko, A. T. *Some New Empirico-Statistical Methods of Dating and the Analysis of Present Global Chronology*. London, The British Library, Department of Printed Books, 1981. Cup. 918/87. 100 p.

[Intro]3. Fomenko, A. T. *A Criticism of*

the Traditional Chronology of the Classical Age and the Middle Ages (What Century Is It Now?). Essay. Moscow, Publishing House of the Moscow State University Department of Mechanical Mathematics, 1993. 204 p.

[Intro]:4. 2nd edition, revised and expanded. Fomenko, A. I., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Criticism of the Traditional Chronology of the Classical Age and the Middle Ages (What Century Is It Now?)*. Moscow, Kraft-Lean, 1999. 757 p. Kraft Publications released a concise version of this book in 2001. 487 p.

[Intro]:5. Another revision. Fomenko,

A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiyy. *What Century Is It Now?* Moscow, AIF-Print Publications, 2002. 511 p.

• **BOOK TWO, PART ONE: *Methods-1*.**

[*Meth1*]:1. Fomenko, A. T. *The Methods of Statistical Analysis of Narrative Texts and their Chronological Applications*. (The identification and dating of dependent texts, statistical chronology of the antiquity, as well as the statistics of ancient astronomical accounts.) Moscow, The MSU Publishing House, 1990. 439 p.

[*Meth1*]:2. 2nd revised edition came out

in 1996 as *The Methods Of Mathematical Analysis of Historical Texts. Chronological applications*. Moscow, Nauka Publications, 1996. 475 p.

[*Meth1*]:3. Several chapters of the book came out in 1996, revised and extended, as a separate book: Fomenko, A. I. *The New Chronology of Greece. Antiquity in the Middle Ages*, Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, MSU Centre of Research and Pre-University Education, 1996. 914 p.

[*Meth1*]:4. The English translation of the book, extended and revised to a large extent, was released under the

following title: Fomenko, A. T.
*Empirico-Statistical Analysis of
Narrative Material and its
Applications to Historical Dating.*
Vol. 1, *The Development of the
Statistical Tools.* Vol. 2, *The
Analysis of Ancient and Mediaeval
Records.* The Netherlands, Kluwer
Academic Publishers, 1994. Vol. 1:
211 p. Vol. 2: 462 p.

[*Meth1*]:5. A Serbian translation titled
Фоменко А. Т. *Статистичка
хронологија. Математички поглед
на историју. У ком смо веку?* was
published in 1997. Belgrade, Margo-
Art, 1997. 450 p.

[*Meth1*]:6. The book was published in a

revised and substantially extended version in 1999 as Volume 1 in a series of two: Fomenko, A. T. *The Methods of Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts. Chronological Applications*. Vol. 1. Moscow, Kraft and Lean, 1999. 801 p.

[*Meth1*]:7. A revised version of the book was published as two volumes (the first two in a series of three) in 1999 in the USA (in Russian) by the Edwin Mellen Press. Fomenko, A. T. *New Methods of Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts. Applications to Chronology*, Vols. 1 and 2. The publication is part of the series titled *Scholarly Monographs in the*

Russian Language, Vols. 6-7.

Lewiston, Queenston, Lampeter, The
Edwin Mellen Press, 1999. Vol. 1:
588 p. Vol. 2: 564 p.

• **BOOK TWO, PART TWO: *Methods-2*.**

[*Meth2*]:1. Fomenko, A. T. *Global
Chronology*. (A Research of the
Classical and Mediaeval History.
Mathematical Methods of Source
Analysis. Global Chronology.)
Moscow, MSU Publications, 1993.
408 p.

[*Meth2*]:2. A revised and substantially
extended version of the book as the
second volume in a series of two:

Fomenko, A. T. *The Methods of Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts. Chronological Applications*, Vol. 2. Moscow, Kraft and Lean, 1999. 907 p.

[*Meth2*]:3. A revised version of the book was published as the last volume in a series of three in the USA (in Russian) under the title: Fomenko A. T. *Antiquity in the Middle Ages (Greek and Bible History)*, the trilogy bearing the general name: Fomenko A. T. *New Methods of the Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts and their Chronological Application*. The publication is part of the series titled

Scholarly Monographs in the Russian Language. Lewiston, Queenston, Lampeter, The Edwin Mellen Press, 1999. 578 p.

• **BOOK THREE: *Methods-3*.**

[*Meth3*]:1. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiyy. *Geometrical and Statistical Methods of Analysis of Star Configurations. Dating Ptolemy's Almagest*. USA: CRC Press, 1993. 300 p.

[*Meth3*]:2. The Russian version of the book was published in 1995 in Moscow by the Faktorial Publications under the title:

Kalashnikov V. V., Nosovskiyy G. V.,
Fomenko A. T. *The Dating of the
Almagest Star Catalogue. Statistical
and Geometrical Analysis.* 286 p.

[Meth3]:3. A substantially extended and
revised version of the book:

Kalashnikov, V. V., G. V. Nosovskiyy,
and A. T. Fomenko. *The
Astronomical Analysis of
Chronology. The Almagest. Zodiacs.*
Moscow, The Delovoi Express
Financial Publications, 2000. 895 p.

[Meth3]:4. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.
Nosovskiyy. *The New Chronology of
Egypt. The Astronomical Dating of
Ancient Egyptian Monuments.*
Research of 2000-2002. Moscow,

Veche Press, 2002. 463 p.

• **BOOK FOUR: *Russia, Britain and Rome.***

[*RBR*]:1. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology and Conception of the Ancient History of Russia, Britain, and Rome. Facts, Statistics, Hypotheses.* Vol. 1, *Russia.* Vol. 2, *Britain and Rome.* Moscow, MSU Centre of Research and Pre-University Education. Two editions, 1995 and 1996. 672 p.

[*RBR*]:2. A somewhat adapted and revised version of the book came out in 1997: Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.

Nosovskiy. *Russia and Rome. How correct is our understanding of Eurasian history?* Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Olymp Publications, 1997. 2nd edition 1999. The next three volumes from this series of five were published in 2001. Vol. 1: 606 p. Vol. 2: 621 p. Vol. 3: 540 p. Vol. 4: 490 p. Vol. 5: 394 p.

[RBR]:3. A revised version of the first volume was published in 1997 as a separate book: Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology of Russia*. Moscow, Faktorial Publications, 1997. Re- editions 1998 and 1999. 255 p.

[RBR]:4. A new, substantially extended

and revised version of the first two-volume edition as a single volume:

Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.

Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology of Russia, Britain and Rome*. Moscow, Anvik, 1999. 540 p.

[*RBR*]:5. A new revised version of this book came out as a single volume:
Fomenko A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy.
Moscow, The Delovoi Express
Financial Publications, 2001. 1015 p.

• **BOOK FIVE: *The Empire*.**

[*Emp*]:1. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.
Nosovskiy. *The Empire (Russia, Turkey, China, Europe, Egypt. The*

New Mathematical Chronology of Antiquity). Moscow, Faktorial, 1996. Re-editions 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001 and 2002. 752 p.

• **BOOK SIX: *The Biblical Russia*.**

[BR]:1. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Mathematical Chronology of the Biblical Events*. Moscow, Nauka Publications, 1997. 407 p.

[BR]:2. A substantially revised and extended version: Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Biblical Russia. The Empire of Horde-Russia and the Bible. The New*

Mathematical Chronology of Antiquity. Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Faktorial, 1998. Vol. 1: 687 p. Vol. 2: 582 p.

[BR]:3. A somewhat condensed version, which nevertheless contained some important new material: Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Horde-Russia on the Pages of the Biblical Books*. Moscow, Anvik Publications, 1998. 430 p.

[BR]:4. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Biblical Russia. Selected Chapters I (The Empire of Horde-Russia and the Bible. The New Mathematical Chronology of Antiquity. History of the*

Manuscripts and Editions of the Bible. The Events of the XI-XII Century A.D. in the New Testament. The Pentateuch.). Moscow, Faktorial, 1999. 173p.

[BR]:5. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Biblical Russia. Selected Chapters II (The Empire of Horde-Russia and the Bible. The New Mathematical Chronology of Antiquity. History of the XIV-XVI Century in the Last Books of the Kings. The History of the XV-XVI Century in the Last Chapters of the Books of the Kings. History of the XV-XVI Century in the Books of Esther and Judith. The Reformation*

Epoch of the XVI-XVII Century).

Moscow, Faktorial Press, 2000. 223 p.

• **BOOK SEVEN: *Reconstruction.***

[Rec]:1. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History (The New Chronology)*. Book 1. Moscow, The Delovoi Express Financial Publishers, 1999. 735 p.

[Rec]:2. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History. The Research of 1999-2000 (The New Chronology)*. Moscow, The Delovoi Express

Financial Publishers, 1999. 615 p.

[Rec]:3. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History. Joan of Arc, Samson, and the History of Russia.* Moscow, The Delovoi Express Financial Publishers, 2002.

We have to point out that the publication of our books on the New Chronology has influenced a number of authors and their works where the new chronological

concepts are discussed or developed. Some of these are: L. I. Bocharov, N. N. Yefimov, I. M. Chachukh, and I. Y. Chernyshov ([93]), Jordan Tabov ([827], [828]), A. Goutz ([220]), M. M. Postnikov ([680]), V. A. Nikerov ([579:1]), Heribert Illig ([1208]), Christian Blöss and Hans-Ulrich Niemitz ([1038], [1039]), Gunnar Heinsohn ([1185]), Gunnar

Heinsohn and Heribert Illig ([1186]), Uwe Topper ([1462], [1463]).

Our research attracted sufficient attention to chronological issues for the Muscovite publishing house Kraft to print a new edition of the fundamental work of N. A. Morozov titled Christ, first published in 1924-1932.

Sources in Russian

- [1]. Abalakin, V. K. *The Essential Ephemeris Astronomy*. Moscow, 1979.
- [2]. Abbas, Shalabi. *The Entire Egypt, from Cairo to Abu-Simbel and Sinai*. 2nd extended Russian edition. Florence, Bonechi, 1996.
- [2:1]. Avadyaeva, E., and L. Zdanovich. *The Hundred Great Afflictions*. Moscow, Veche, 1999.
- [3]. Agathius. *The Reign of Justinian*. Moscow-Leningrad, USSR Academy of Sciences Publications, 1953. See also Agathius, Scholasticus. *Agathiae Myrinaei Historiarum libri quinque*.

Berolini, 1967.

- [4]. Mez, Adam. *The Muslim Renaissance*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966. German edition: Mez, A. *Die Renaissance des Islams*. Heidelberg, 1922.
- [5]. Azarevich, D. I. *The History of the Byzantine Law*. Yaroslavl, 1876-1877.
- [6]. Aydarova-Volkova, G. *The Priceless Experience. A Cultural Dialogue. Looking Across the Centuries*. The Kazan magazine, Issue 9-10 (1999): 13-21.
- [7]. Acropolite, George. *The Chronicle of the Great Logothete George*

Acropolite. St. Petersburg, 1863.

[8]. *The Historical Acts Compiled and Published by the Archaeographical Commission*. St. Petersburg, The State Document Preparation Expedition Typography. Vols. 1 and 2. 1841.

[9]. Nazarov, V. D., ed. *The Acts of the State of Russia. Archives of the Muscovite Monasteries and Cathedrals*. The XV – early XVII century. Moscow, The Ladimir Research and Publication Centre, 1998.

[10]. *Alexandria. A Novel about Alexander the Great Based on a Russian Chronicle of the XV*

century. Moscow-Leningrad, Nauka, 1966.

- [11]. Petrukhno, A. S., N. I. Shirinya, S. A. Gleybman, and O. IV. Zavgorodniaya. *Alexander's Village (Alexandrovskaya Sloboda, or, literally, "The Freeman's Village of Alexander")*. An Album. The Russian Federation Ministry of Culture. City of Alexandrov. The State Museum of Art, History, and Architecture of Alexander's Village. The City Council of the City of Alexandrov. 1996.

- [12]. *Alexander's Village (Alexandrovskaya Sloboda)*. The materials of a scientific and practical

conference. Vladimir, Golden Gate Publications, 1995.

[13]. Alexandrovsky, M. I. *A Historical Reference Book for the Churches of Moscow*. Moscow, The State Museum of History, Department of Visual Arts, the Architectural Graphics Fund, 1917 (with an additional written before 1942).

[14]. Alexeyev, M. P. *On the Anglo-Russian Relations in the Time of Yaroslav the Wise*. The Scientific Bulletin of the Leningrad State University (4, 1945): 31.

[15]. Alexeyev, Y. *My Monarch Sent Me to the Sultan*. The Rodina magazine, No. 2 (1997): 31-36.

[16]. Alessandro, Angelini. *Piero della Francesca*. The *Great Italian Masters* series. Moscow, Slovo, 1997. The Italian edition: Italy, Scala, Istituto Fotografico Editoriale, 1995.

[16:1]. [*Altarpieces*] Caterina Limentani Virdis and Mari Pietrogiovanna. *Altarpieces. The Art of the Early Renaissance*. Translated from Italian. Byely Gorod, 2002. Arsenale editrice, Italy, 2001.

[17]. *The Alphabetic Syntagm of Matthew Vlastar*. Translated from Greek by Rev. Nikolai Ilyinsky, a teacher from the Seminary School of Tauris. Simpheropol, 1892. A new

edition: Moscow, Galaxy
Publications, 1996.

- [18]. Alberti, L. *Leon Battista Alberti*.
A collection of essays. Moscow, the
USSR Academy of Sciences, Nauka,
1977. *Complete ed.* Oxford, Phaidon,
1977.
- [19]. Amalrik, A. S., and A. L. Mongayt.
The Essential Archaeology.
Moscow, Prosveshchenie, 1963.
- [19:0]. [Amartoles, George].
Matveyenko, V., and L. Shchegoleva.
The Chronicle of George the Monk.
Russian text, comments, indications.
Moscow, Bogorodskiy Pechatnik,
2000.

[19:1]. The catalogue of the exhibition
*500 Years Since the Discovery of
America*. The Hermitage. Russian
National Library. St. Petersburg,
Slavia-Interbook, Inc., 1993.

[20]. Amousin, I. D. *The Dead Sea
Scrolls*. Moscow, Nauka, 1960.

[21]. Amphitheatrov, A. *Collected
Works in 8 Volumes*. Vol. 4. St.
Petersburg, Prosveshchenie, 1911.

[22]. Anastasov, L. *A New Direction in
Science? Be careful! The Science
and Technology* magazine
(Moscow), No. 8 (1983): 28-30.

[23]. Müller, V. K., comp. *The English-
Russian Dictionary*. 70,000 words.

Moscow, The State National and Foreign Dictionary Publishing House, 1961.

[24]. Andreyeva, V., V. Kuklev, and A. Rovner. *An Encyclopedia of Symbols, Signs, and Emblems*. Moscow, Lokid/Myth/Ad Marginem, 1999.

[25]. Anninskiy, S. A. *The News of the Tartars in Europe Brought by the Hungarian Missionaries*. Included in *The Historical Archive*, 71-112. Moscow-Leningrad, The RAS Institute of History, RAS Publications, 1940.

[26]. *Antwerp and its Sights*. Antwerp, Editions THILL S.A. Brussels, 1999.

In Russian.

- [27]. Antonov, A. V. *Genealogical Murals of Late XVII Century*. The Archaeographical Centre. The Russian State Archive of Ancient Acts. *The Russian Historical Research*, No. 6. Moscow, the Archaeographical Centre Publications.
- [28]. Antonova, V. I., and N. E. Mneva. *The Catalogue of Ancient Russian Art from the Tretyakov Gallery*. Moscow, 1963. Vol. 1: p. 256; Vol 2: pp. 413 and 421.
- [29]. *The Apocryphal Jesus, Holy Family, and Christ Witness Legendry*. Svetsitskaya, I. S., and A.

P. Skogorev, comp. Moscow,
Kogelet, 1999.

[30]. Apollodorus. *The Mythological Library*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1972.
English edition: Apollodorus. *The Library*. London-New York: Loeb
Classical Library, 1921.

[30:1]. Arago, F. *The Biographies of the Famous Astronomers, Physicists, and Geometricians*. Books 1 and 2 (Vols. 1-3). Translated by D. Perevoshchikov. Moscow-Izhevsk, The Scientific Research Centre for Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 2000.

[31]. Arenkova, Y. I., and G. I. Mekhova. *The Don Monastery*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1970.

- [32]. Aristaenetus. *The Love Epistles*. Eustathius, Macrembolites. *The Story of Ismene and Istmenias*. Moscow-Leningrad, Nauka, 1965. Also see Aristaenetus. *The Love Epistles*. In W. Kelley. *Erotica*. London, Bohn's Classical Library, G. Bell & Sons, 1848. Eustathius, Macrembolites. *Ismene and Istmenias*. London, 1788.
- [33]. Zdanovich, G. B., ed. *Arkaim. Research. Prospects. Findings*. A collection of essays. From the series titled *The Historical Pages of Southern Ural*. The Arkaim Reserve works, State University of Chelyabinsk, the Specialized Arkaim Nature and Landscape Centre of

History and Archaeology. The State Reserve of Ilmen. Chelyabinsk, the Kamenny Poyas Creative Group, 1995.

[34]. Arnold, Y. *El Señor Kon-Tiki*. Moscow, Mysl, 1970.

[35]. Aronov, V. *The Elseviers (A History of Literary Art)*. Moscow, Kniga, 1975.

[36]. *The Chronicler of Archangelsk. A complete collection of Russian chronicles*, Vol. 37. Leningrad, Nauka, 1982.

[37]. Archangelskiy, Leonid. *The Samurai Steel*. An article for the magazine called *Magnum*. *The New*

Magazine on Arms (November-December 1998): 18-21.

- [38]. Avdousina, T. D., and T. D. Panov. *Archaeological Antiquities: The Muscovite Kremlin*. The Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture. Moscow, 1996.
- [39]. Serge, Archbishop. *The Complete Oriental Menology*. Vols. 1-3. Vladimir, Typography & Lithography of V. A. Parkov in Vladimir, 1901. Reprinted Moscow, Orthodox Encyclopaedia Centre of Ecclesiastic Research, Palomnik Publications, 1997.
- [40]. Archimedes. *The Works*. Moscow,

Fizmatgiz, 1962. English edition: Archimedes, *The Works of Archimedes*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1912.

[40:0]. Asov, A. I. *The Book of Veles*. Moscow, Menedzher, 1995, 2nd edition.

[40:00]. Asov, A. I., Konovalov, M. Y. *The Ancient Aryans. The Slavs. Russia*. Moscow, Veche, 2002.

[40:1]. Gentili, Augusto, William Barcham, and Linda Whiteley. *The National Gallery of London*. From the *The Great Museums of the World* series. Moscow, Slovo, 2001. A translation of the Italian edition Udine: Magnus Edizioni, 2000.

[41]. Nikitin, Afanasiy. *Voyage over the Three Sees. Published in the Literary Monuments of Old Russia. 2nd Half of the XV Century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1982.

[42]. Nikitin, Afanasiy. *Afanasiy Nikitin's Voyage over the Three Sees. 1466-1472.* Foreword, translation, text preparation and commentary by N. I. Prokofiev. Moscow, Sovietskaya Rossiya, 1980.

[43]. Akhmanova, O. S., and others. *Precise Methods of Language Study.* Moscow, 1961.

[44]. Bayev, K. L. *Copernicus.* From the *Celebrity Biographies* series, Issue 7

(55). Moscow, The Magazine and Newspaper Consociation, 1935.

[45]. Beyer, Rolf. *The Queen of Sheba*. From the *Mark In History* series. Rostov-on-Don, Fenix Publications, 1998. A translation from the German original by Beyer, Rolf. *Die Königin von Saba*. The *Question Mark* series, Gustav Lübbe Verlag GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach. 1987.

[46]. Balandin, R. K. *A Miracle or a Scientific Enigma? Science and Religion Discussing the Shroud of Turin*. Moscow, Znaniye, 1989. The *Question Mark* series, Issue 1, 1989.

[47]. Balandin, R., and L. Bondarev. *Nature and Civilization*. Moscow,

Mysl, 1988.

[48]. Baldin, V. I., and T. P.

Manushkina. *The Laura of Serge and The Trinity. The Architectural Set and the Collections of Ancient Russian Art of the XIV-XVII Century*. Moscow, Nauka, 1996.

[49]. Baranov, V. *Logic Isn't Facts*. The *Science & Technology* magazine (Moscow), No. 4 (1983): 24-28.

[50]. Baronius, C. *The Ecclesial and Secular Annals from the Birth of Christ and until the Year 1198*.

Typography of P. P. Ryabushinsky, from Baronius, *Annales ecclesiastici a Christo nato ad annum 1198*. Moscow, 1913.

- [51]. Bartenev, S. *The Moscow Kremlin in the Antiquity and Nowadays*. Moscow, Synodal Typography, 1912.
- [52]. de las Casas, Bartólome. *History of the Indias*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1968.
- [53]. Baskakov, N. A. *Russian Names of Turkic Origin*. Moscow, Nauka, The Main Oriental Literature Editing Board, 1979.
- [54]. Magarichev, Y. M., ed. and comp. *The Cultural and Historical Reserve of Bakhchisaray*. Simferopol, Tavria, 1995.
- [55]. Bakhshi, Iman. *Jagfar Tarikhy. A Collection of Bulgarian*

Manuscripts from 1680. Russian translation of the Bulgarian text by I. M. K. Nigmatoullin. Orenburg, The Orenburg Press Contact, KOPF, editorial board of the *Bulgaria Courier*, 1993.

[56]. Bashmakova, I. G., and G. S. Smirnova. *The Naissance and the Development of Algebra*. Published in the *Aperçus on the History of Mathematics* edited by B. V. Gnedenko. Moscow, MSU Publications, 1997.

[57]. Belenkiy, M. S. *Judaism*. Moscow, Gospolitizdat, 1966.

[58]. Bellosi, Luciano. *Giotto*. Moscow, Slovo Press, 1996. Translated from

the 1995 Italian edition by Scala,
Istituto Fotografico Editoriale.

- [59]. Belova, A. G. *The Historical Morphology of the Arabic Language*. Moscow, 1994.
- [59:0]. Belova G. A, Sherkova T. A. *Russians in the Land of Pyramids. Travellers, Scientists, Collectors*. Moscow, Aleteya, 2003.
- [59:1]. Belyavsky, V. A. *Legendary and Historical Babylon*. Moscow, Mysl, 1971.
- [60]. Belyavsky, M. T. *M. V. Lomonosov and the Foundation of the Moscow University (1755-1955)*. Edited by M. N. Tikhomirov.

Moscow, MSU Publications, 1955.

[61]. Belyaev, D. V. *Byzantine. Essays, Materials and Notes concerning Byzantine Antiquity*. Book III. St. Petersburg, 1891-1906.

[62]. Belyaev, L. A. *The Ancient Monasteries of Moscow According to Archaeological Data*. Moscow, The Russian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Archaeology. Research and materials concerning the archaeology of Moscow. Vol. 6. 1995.

[63]. Belyaev, Y. *100 Monsters of Antiquity*. An illustrated encyclopaedia of mythology. Moscow, Raritet, 1997.

[64]. Bémont, C., and G. Monod. *The Mediaeval History of Europe*. Petrograd, 1915. French edition: Bémont, C., and G. Monod. *Histoire de l'Europe au Moyen Âge*. Paris, 1921.

[64:1]. Berg, L. S. *The Discovery of Kamchatka and Bering's Expedition*. Moscow-Leningrad, The USSR Academy of Sciences Press, 1946.

[64:2]. Berg, L. S. *Essays on the History of Russian Geographical Discoveries*. Moscow-Leningrad, The USSR Academy of Sciences Press, 1946.

[65]. Berry, A. *Concise History of Astronomy*. Translated by S.

Zaimovskiy. Moscow-Leningrad,
GITTL, 1946.

- [66]. Archimandrite Nicephor. *The Biblical Encyclopedia (The Full Illustrated Biblical Encyclopedia)*. Moscow, The A. I. Snegiryova Typography, 1891. A modern reprint was published by the Laura of St. Serge and the Holy Trinity in 1990.
- [67]. *The Bible*. 10th edition. St. Petersburg, 1912.
- [68]. *The Bible. Books from the Old and the New Covenant in Russian Translation with Anagoges and Appendices*. Moscow, Moscow Patriarchy Press, 1968. There are numerous re-editions in existence, for

instance, the one published by the Russian Biblical Society in Moscow, 1995.

[69]. *The Bible. Books of the Holy Writ from the Old and the New Covenant.* Russian translation with appendices. 4th edition. Brussels, Life with God Press, 1989.

[70]. *The Bible, or the Books of the Holy Writ from the Old and the New Covenant with Anagoges.* 2nd edition. St. Petersburg, Synodal Typography, 1900. Reprinted by the Russian Biblical Society in Moscow, 1993. (This version of the Bible dates to the 1st half of the XVIII century and is therefore occasionally

called Elizabethan.)

- [71]. *Scorina's Bible*. A facsimile edition of the Bible published by Francisco Scorina in 1517-1519. Volumes 1-3. Minsk, The Petrus Brovka Byelorussian Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia Press, 1990.
- [72]. Bickerman, E. *Chronology of the Ancient World*. Moscow, Nauka, 1975. Translated from the English edition published in London by Thames & Hudson, 1968-1969.
- [73]. Biroulia, Y. N. *Russian Naval Charts of 1701-1750. Copies from originals (Atlas)*. St. Petersburg, The Military Navy Publications, 1993.

[74]. *The Book of Good Tidings.*

Interpretations of the Holy Gospel by St. Theophilactus, the Archbishop of Bulgaria. The Gospel According to Mark Interpreted. St. Petersburg, P. P. Soykin's Publications.

Reprinted St. Petersburg, Satis Press, 1993.

[75]. Blazhko, S. N. *A Course of Practical Astronomy.* Moscow, Nauka, 1979.

[76]. Blair, J. *Chronological Tables Spanning the Entire Global History, Containing Every Year since the Genesis and until the XIX Century, Published in English by G. Blair, a Member of the Royal Society,*

London. Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow University Press, 1808-1809. The English edition: *Blair's Chronological and Historical Tables, from the Creation to the Present Time, etc.* London, G. Bell & Sons, 1882.

[77]. Bobrovnitskaya, T. A. *The Royal Regalia of the Russian Rulers. The Kremlin in Moscow. Published to Commemorate the 500th Anniversary of the State Coat of Arms and the 450th Anniversary of the Inauguration of the First Russian Czar Ivan the Terrible.* Moscow, The Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and

Culture, 1997.

[78]. Bobrovnitsky. *The Origins and the Process of the Roman Catholic Liturgy*. Kiev, 1873.

[79]. Bogdanov, Ivan. *Name Lists of the Bulgarian Khans*. Sofia, Otechestvenia Front Press, 1981.

[80]. Gousseva, E., A. Lukashov, and others. *Our Lady of Vladimir*. A collection of materials. Exhibition catalogue. The State Tretyakovskaya Gallery, The Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture. Moscow, Avangard Press, 1995.

[80:1]. Boguslavskiy, V. V. *The Slavic*

Encyclopaedia. Vols. 1 and 2.
Moscow, OLMA-Press, 2001.

- [81]. Bozhilov, Ivan. *The Asen Dynasty (1186-1460). Genealogy and Prosopography*. Sofia, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Press, 1994.
- [82]. Bolingbroke. *Epistles on Historical Studies and their Utility*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.
- [83]. Bolotov, V. V. *Lectures on Ancient Ecclesial History*. Vols. 1-4. Published posthumously under the editorship of Prof. A. Brilliantov. St. Petersburg, 1907. Reprinted Moscow, Spaso-Preobrazhensky Monastery of Valaam, 1994.

- [84]. Bolkhovitinov, E. A. (Metropolitan Eugene). *The Concise Chronicle of Pskov*. Pskov, Otchina Press, 1993.
- [85]. *The Great Soviet Encyclopaedia*. Vols. 1-51. 2nd edition. Moscow, The Soviet Encyclopaedia Press, 1949-1957.
- [85:1]. *The Great Soviet Encyclopaedia*. Vols. 1-30. 3rd edition. Moscow, 1969-1978.
(Electronic version on 5 CD-ROMs.)
- [86]. *The Great Catechism*. Moscow, 7135 (1627 ad). Reprinted by the Royal Grodno typography in 7291 (1683 AD).
- [87]. *The Great German-Russian*

Dictionary. 2nd edition, Stereotyped.
Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1980.

[87:1]. *The Great Turkish-Russian Dictionary*. 20,000 words and word groups. The RAS Institute for Oriental Studies. 2nd edition.
Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1998.

[88]. *The Great Encyclopaedic Dictionary*. Moscow, The Great Russian Encyclopaedia Press, 1998.

[89]. Borisov, N. S. *Ivan Kalita*. The *Celebrity Biographies* series.
Moscow, Molodaya Gvardia, 1995.

[90]. Borisovskaya, N. *Engraved Ancient Maps and Plans of the XV-XVIII century*. *Cosmography, Maps,*

Star Charts, City and Battle Plans.
From the Pushkin State Museum of
Art Collection. Moscow, Galaktika
Press, 1995.

[91]. *Bosch, Hieronymus.* Self-titled
album of reproductions. Moscow,
Uniserv, 1995.

[91:1]. *Botticelli.* An album from the
Masters of Art series. Text by Elena
Carpetti. 1997, Giunti Gruppo
Editoriale, Florence, 2002. Russian
edition by Byely Gorod, Moscow,
2001.

[92]. Beaufort, Louis de. *Dissertation*
sur l'incertitude des cinq premiers
siècles de l'histoire Romaine.
Utrecht, 1738. Republished Paris,

Blot, 1886.

[93]. Bocharov, L. I., N. N. Yefimov, I. M. Chachoukh, and I. Y. Chernyshev. *The Conspiracy Against Russian History. (Facts, Mysteries, Versions)*. Moscow, Anvik, 1998.

[93:1]. Brant, Sebastian. *Ship of Fools*. Part of the *The World Literature Bibliothèque* series (Series 1, Vol. 33). Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1971.

[94]. Brownley, C. A. *Statistical Theory and Methodology in Science and Technology*. Moscow, Nauka, 1977.

[95]. Brashinskiy, I. B. *Looking for the*

Scythian Treasures. Leningrad, The USSR Academy of Sciences, Nauka, 1979.

[96]. Brodsky, B. *Kremlin – The Heart of the Fatherland*. Moscow, Izobrazitelnoye Iskusstvo, 1996.

[97]. Bronstein, I. N., and K. A. Semendyaev. *A Reference Book on Mathematics*. Moscow, Nauka, 1986.

[98]. Bronsten, V. A. *Claudius Ptolemy*. Moscow, Nauka, 1988.

[99]. Brugsch, Heinrich. *History of the Pharaohs*. Translated by G. K. Vlastov. Published in the series titled *The Chronicles and the Monuments of the Ancient Egypt*. St. Petersburg,

I. I. Glazounov's *Typography*, 1880.
English edition: *Egypt under the
Pharaohs. A History Derived
Entirely from the Monuments*.
London, J. Murray, 1891.

[99:1]. *Bruges: its Sights and Delights.
City Plan*. (Russian version). E.E.C.,
Editions Thill S. A., Brussels, 1997.

[100]. Bryusova, V. G. *Andrei Rublev*.
Moscow, Izobrazitelnoye Iskusstvo,
1995.

[101]. Bouganov, V. I. *Razin and his
Followers. Documents, Accounts of
the Contemporaries*. Moscow,
Nauka, 1995.

[102]. Bouganov, S. I. *Native*

*Historiography of Russian
Chronicles*. Moscow, Nauka, 1975.

- [103]. Bouzeskoul, V. P. *An
Introduction into Greek History.
Lectures*. Vol. 1. Petrograd, 1915.
- [104]. Boukreyeva, T. N. *The Basel
Museum of Arts*. Moscow,
Izobrazitelnoye Iskusstvo, 1987.
- [105]. Boulatov, A. M. *The Historical
Plans of Moscow*. Release III.
Moscow, Zhiraf, 2000.
- [106]. Burian, Y., and B. Moukhova.
The Enigmatic Etruscans. Moscow,
Nauka, 1970.
- [107]. Bouseva-Davydova, I. L. *The
Temples of the Muscovite Kremlin*:

Holy Relics and other Antiquities.
Moscow, The Nauka Int'l Academic
Publishing Co., 1997.

[108]. Boutkevich, T. I. *An Overview of
Russian Sects.* Kharkov, 1910.

[109]. Boutkov, P. *Defending the
Russian Chronicle of Nestor from
the Vituperation of the Sceptics.* St.
Petersburg, 1840.

[110]. Boutomo, S. I. *Radionuclear
Datings and the Construction of an
Absolute Chronological Scale of
Archaeological Monuments.* In
Archaeology and Natural Sciences.
Moscow, Nauka, 1965. 35-45.

[111]. Boutromeyev, V. *Global History*

in Individual Personalities. Late Middle Ages. Moscow, Olma, 1999.

[112]. Kalougin, V. I., comp. *Folk Tales and Legends.* Moscow, Sovremennik, 1991.

[113]. Bychkov, A. A., A. Y. Nizovsky, and P. Y. Chernosvitov. *The Conundrums of Ancient Russia.* Moscow, Veche, 2000.

[114]. Bychkov, V. V. *The Mediaeval Aesthetics of Russia. XI-XVII century.* Moscow, Mysl, 1992.

[114:1]. Bauval, Robert, and Adrian Gilbert. *The Orion Mystery. Unlocking the Secrets of the Pyramids.* Russian translation.

Moscow, Veche, 1996.

[115]. *Bulgaria. A Traveller's Map.*
Scale: 1:530000. Sofia, Datamap
Revue, 1997.

[116]. Wagner, G. K. *Soviet Union and
its Famous Works of Art. Old Cities
of Russia. A traveller's guide.*
Moscow, Iskusstvo, Edizion Leipzig,
1980.

[116:1]. Weinstein S., and M.Kryukov.
The Saddle and the Stirrup. The
Znaniye-Sila (Knowledge is Power)
magazine (Moscow), August 1985,
24-26.

[117]. Valishevsky, K. *Ivan the
Terrible.* Moscow, IKPA-press,

1989. Reprinted from Moscow, Obshchestvennaya Polza Typography, 1912.

[118]. Valishevsky, K. *Ivan the Terrible*. Moscow, Svarog, 1993.

[119]. Valishevsky, K. *The First Romanovs*. Moscow, Kvadrat, 1993.

[120]. Vasiliev, A. A. *The History of Byzantium. The Fall of Byzantium. The Palaeiologi Epoch (1261-1453)*. Leningrad, Academia, 1925.

[121]. *An Introduction into Special Historical Disciplines*. Moscow, MSU Publications, 1990.

[122]. Weber, George. *Universal History*. Moscow, 1892. English

edition: Weber, G. *Outline of Universal History from the Creation of the World to the Present Time*. London, 1851.

[122:1]. *Hungarian-Russian Dictionary*. 40,000 words. Moscow-Budapest, Russkiy Yazyk, The Hungarian Academy of Sciences Publishing House, 1974.

[123]. Weisman, A. D. *Greek-Russian Dictionary*. 5th edition. St. Petersburg, published by the author, 1899. Reprinted Moscow, Graeco-Latin Department of Y. A. Shichalin, 1991.

[124]. Weisman, A. D. *Latin-Russian Dictionary*. St. Petersburg: published

by the author, 1899. Reprinted
Moscow, Graeco-Latin Department
of Y. A. Shichalin, 1991.

[125]. Venelin, Y. *News of the
Varangians as Related by Arab
Scribes; their Alleged Crimes as
Seen by the Latter*. The Imperial
Moscow University Society for
History and Russian Antiquities
Readings, Book IV, Section V: 1-18.
1870.

[125:1]. Vereshchagin V. V.
*Vereschagin, the Artist. Napoleon I
in Russia, 1812*. Tver, the Sozvezdie
Agency of Tver, 1993.

[125:2]. Vermoush, G. *Diamonds in
World History and Stories about*

Diamonds. Moscow,
Mezhdunarodnye Otnosheniya, 1988.

[126]. Veselovsky, A. N. *Russians and Veltins in the Saga of Tidrec of Berne (Verona)*. St. Petersburg, Typography of the Imperial Academy of Sciences, 1906. A separate engraving from the *Russian Language and Belles Lettres Department Courier*, Vol. XI (1906), Book 3: 1-190.

[127]. Veselovsky, I. N. *Aristarchus of Samos – The Copernicus of the Antiquity*. Historical and astronomical research. Issue 7: 44. Moscow, Nauka, 1961.

[128]. Veselovsky, S. B. *A Research*

into the History of Oprichnina.

Moscow, 1963.

[129]. *The Russia Academy of Sciences Courier*, Vol. 68, No. 10 (October 1998). Moscow, Nauka.

[129:1]. Palaudirias, S. A., Editorial Escudo de Oro. *The Entire Antwerp*. In *The Entire Europe* Collection. Antwerp, published in Russian. Barcelona, 1998.

[129:2]. Bersnev, P. V., comp. *The Old Testament Apocrypha. The Book of the Jubilees. Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs*. Translated by A. V. Smirnov. Published in the *Alexandrian Library* series. St. Petersburg, Amphora, 2000.

[129:3]. Vzdornov, G. I. *Book Art in Old Russia. Handwritten Books in the North-Eastern Russia in the XII – Early XV century*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1980.

[130]. Widukind of Corvea. *The Deeds of the Saxons*. Moscow, Nauka, 1975. See also Widukind. *Sächsische Geschichten*. Translated by R. Schottin, foreword by W. Wattenbach. GV. Leipzig, 1882. Also see: Widukind. *Sächsische Geschichten*. New revision by Paul Hirsch. GV, Bd. 33, Leipzig, 1931.

[131]. *The Byzantine Book of the Eparch*. Moscow, Oriental Literature Publications, 1962. Also see *The*

Book of the Eparch. Le livre du préfet, with an introduction by Prof. Ivan Dulcev. “Reprint of ... the publication (by Jules Nicole) of the *Book of the Eparch*, to which is added ... a facsimile of the complete manuscript and Freshfield’s English translation.” 1970.

[132]. *Byzantine Historians. Dexippos, Eunapius, Olympiodorus, Malchus, Peter the Patrician, Menander, Candides, Nonnos, Theophanes the Byzantine*. St. Petersburg, 1858.

[133]. *Byzantine Legends*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1972.

[134]. Vilinbakhov, G. V. *The State Coat of Arms of Russia. 500 Years*.

St. Petersburg, Slavia. The State Hermitage. The Presidential State Heraldry Commission. The Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture, 1997.

[135]. Vilinbakhov, G., and T. Vilinbakhova. *St. George and his Image as Used in Russia*. St. Petersburg, Iskusstvo, 1995.

[136]. de Villehardouin, Geoffroy. *The Conquest of Constantinople*. Moscow, Nauka, 1993.

[137]. Vinogradov, V. K. *Theodosia. A Historical Aperçu*. Yekaterinodar, Kilius & Co Typography, 1902. (A reprint of the first part of the book is given in the historical and literary

almanac titled *Okoyem [Horizon]*, No. 2 for 1992, Theodosia.)

- [138]. Vittorio, Serra. *The Entire Rome. (Flowers. Churches. Museums. Monuments. Fountains. The Vatican. The Sistine Chapel. Tivoli. Ostia Antica)*. Bonechi Edizioni “Il Turismo.” Florence, 1994.
- [139]. Vladimirov, L. I. *The Omnified Literary History*. Moscow, Kniga, 1988.
- [140]. Vlasov, Sergei. *The Deeds of Constantine the Great*. First Experimental Typography of the State Committee of Russian Federation, Eleemosynary Institution “The Order of Constantine the Great”, 1999.

- [141]. Vnouchkov, B. C. *The Prisoner of Schliesselburg*. Yaroslavl, the Upper Volga Publications, 1988.
- [142]. Voyekova, I. N., and V. P. Mitrofanov. *Yaroslavl*. From the series titled *Museum Cities*. Leningrad, Avrora, 1973.
- [143]. *The Military Topographic Map of Moscow and its Environs* (1860). The map was published in the *Rarities of Russian Cartography* series. Moscow, Kartair, the scientific and editorial publishing house of I. R. Anokhin, 1998.
- [144]. *Around the Coliseum*. The *Izvestiya* newspaper, 18 May 1977.

[145]. *The Vologda Chronicle*. The Anthology of Ancient Russian Literature, Vol. 37. Leningrad, Nauka, 1982.

[145:1]. *The Land of Volokolamsk. Dedicated to 400 Years of Glorifying the Most Reverend Joseph of Volotsk*. Under the general editorship of Pitirim, the Metropolitan of Volokolamsk and Yurievsk. Moscow, Prosvetitel, 1994.

[146]. Volfkovich, S. I. *Nikolai Alexandrovich Morozov as a Chemist (1854-1946)*. The Journal of the USSR Academy of Sciences, Department of Chemistry, No. 5

(1947).

[147]. Volfkovich, S. I. *Nikolai Alexandrovich Morozov. His Life and Works on Chemistry*. The *Priroda (Nature)* magazine, No. 11 (1947).

[148]. Voronikhina, L. N. *Edinburgh. The Cities and Museums of the World* series. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1974.

[149]. Vostokov, A. *A Description of the Russian and the Slovenian Manuscripts of the Rumyantsev Museum as Compiled by Alexander Vostokov*. St. Petersburg, Typography of the Imperial Academy of Sciences, 1842.

- [150]. *The Chronicle of Ivan Timofeyev*. Prepared for printing, translated and commented by O. A. Derzhavina. Moscow-Leningrad, 1951.
- [151]. *Global History*. 10 volumes. Moscow, USSR Academy of Sciences, The Socio-Economic Literature Department Publications, 1958.
- [152]. *The Unified Library of Russia, or the Book Catalogue for an Exhaustive and Detailed Description of our Fatherland*. 2nd extended edition. Moscow, 1845.
- [153]. Maggi, G. and Valdes, G. *The Entire Turkey*. Florence, Casa

Editrice Bonechi, 1995.

- [154]. Wooley, L. *Ur of the Chaldees*. Moscow, Oriental Literary, 1961 (1972). English edition: Wooley, L. *Ur of the Chaldees*. London, Benn, 1950. See also: Wooley, L. *Excavations at Ur. A Record of Twelve Years*. London, Benn, 1955.
- [155]. Galfridus Monmutensis. *History of the Brits. The Life of Merlin*. Moscow, Nauka, 1984. English edition: *Histories of the Kings of Britain by Geoffrey of Monmouth*. Translated by L. A. Paton. London-New York, 1912. See also: Giles, J. A., ed. *Six Old English Chronicles*. London, 1848.

[156]. Garkavi, A. Y. *The Accounts of the Slavs and the Russians as Given by Muslim Authors (from mid-VII century until the End of the X century AD)*. St. Petersburg, 1870 (1872).

[157]. Genova, E., and L. Vlahova. *24 Church Plates from the Rila Monastery*. Sofia, Bulgarsky Khudozhnik, 1988.

[158]. *GEO*. A monthly magazine. No. 1 (January, 2000). Moscow, Gruner and Yar Ltd.

[159]. *Geographical Atlas*. Moscow, The General Council of Ministers, Department of Geodetics and Cartography. 1968.

[160]. Herberstein. *Baron Sigismund Herberstein. Notes on the Affairs of the Muscovites*. St. Petersburg, A. S. Souvorin's Press, 1908. *Rerum moscoviticarum commentarii*. Wien, S. l. et d., 1549. *Rerum moscoviticarum commentarii*. Basiliae, 1551. *Rerum moscoviticarum commentarii*. Basiliae, 1556. *Moscovia, der Hauptstat in Reissen*. Wien, 1557. Major, R. H., ed. *Notes upon Russia*. 2nd edition. New York, London Hakluite Society, 1963. Vol. 10: 1-116; Vol. 12: 3-174.

[161]. Herberstein, Sigismund. *Notes on Moscovia*. Moscow, MSU

Publications, 1988.

[161:1]. Herberstein. *Ziga Herberstein. Sigismund Herberstein – the Warrior, Statesman, Diplomat and Peacemaker*. An edition of the Dr. F. Preshern Society for Contact Development between Slovenia and Russia. Moscow Byelye Alvy Press, Bilio, Humar Press, 2000.

[162]. von Winkler, P. P., comp. *Coats of Arms of Cities, Provinces, Regions and Towns of the Russian Empire Included into the Complete Collection of Laws and Regulations between 1649 and 1900*. St. Petersburg: published by the book salesman Iv. Iv. Ivanov, 1899. New

edition: Moscow, Planeta, 1990.

[163]. Herodotus. *History*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1972. English edition: *The History of Herodotus*. From the series *Great Books of the Western World*. Vol. 5. Chicago, Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc., The University of Chicago, 1952 (2nd edition 1990). See also: Herodotus. *The Histories of Herodotus, etc.* London and New York, Everyman's Library, 1964.

[164]. Herzen, A. G., and Y. M. Mogarichev. *The Fortress of Gems*. Kyrk-Or, Chufut-Kale. Published as part of the series *The Archaeological Monuments of the Crimea*.

Simferopol, Tavria, 1993.

[165]. Herzen, A. G., and Y. M. Mogarichev. *Salachik. The Ouspensky Monastery. Bakhchisaray*. The State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture of Bakhchisaray. 1991.

[165:1]. Hertzman, Yevgeni. *The Lost Centuries of Byzantine Music*. The XX International Congress of Byzantine Scholars. St. Petersburg, The Humanitarian Academy Publishing Centre, 2001.

[166]. Gerchouk, Y. Y. *History of Drawing and Book Art*. Moscow, Aspect, 2000.

[167]. Gililov, I. *A Passion Play of William Shakespeare, or the Mystery of the Great Phoenix*. Moscow, “Artist. Rezhissyor. Teatr” Publications, 1997.

[168]. Glazounov, I. *Russia Crucified*. The *Our Contemporary* magazine, Issues 1-5, 7-9, 11 (1996). This material was subsequently published as a book.

[169]. Gnedenko, A. M., and V. M. Gnedenko. *For One's Comrades, or Everything about the Cossacks*. Moscow, The Int'l Fund of Slavic Writing and Culture. ARP Int. Co., 1993.

[170]. The A. V. Shchusev Museum of

Architecture, archive 1246/1-13.

- [171]. Golenishchev-Kutuzov, I. N. *The Mediaeval Latin Literature of Italy*. Moscow, Nauka, 1972.
- [172]. Golitsyn, N. S. *The Great Warlords of History*. Vol. 1. St. Petersburg, 1878.
- [173]. Golovanov, Y. *Etudes on Scientists*. Moscow, Molodaya Gvardiya, 1976.
- [174]. Golovin, B. N. *Language and Statistics*. Moscow, 1971.
- [175]. Goloubovsky, P. V. *The Pechenegs, the Torks, and the Polovtsy before the Tartar Invasion*. Kiev, 1884.

- [176]. Goloubtsov, A. P. *Selected Readings on Ecclesial Archaeology and Liturgy*. St. Petersburg, Stasis, 1995.
- [177]. Goloubtsova, E. S., and V. M. Smirin. "On the Attempts of Using the 'New Methods' of Statistical Analysis to Ancient Historical Material." *The Courier of Ancient History*, 1982, No. 1: 171-195.
- [178]. Goloubtsova, E. S., and G. A. Koshelenko. *Ancient History and the "New Methods."* *Historical Issues*, No. 8 (1982).
- [179]. Goloubtsova, E. S., and Y. A. Zavenyagin. *Another Account of the New Methods and the Chronology of*

Antiquity. Historical Issues, No. 12
(1983): 68-83.

- [180]. Homer. *Iliad*. Translated by N. I. Gnedich. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1969. See also: Homer, *The Iliad of Homer*. Chicago University Press, London, 1962.
- [180:1]. Homer. *The Odyssey of Homer*. New York, Harper & Row, 1967.
- [181]. Goneim, M. *The Lost Pyramid*. Moscow, Geographiz, 1959. English edition: Goneim, M. *The Lost Pyramid*. New York, Rinehart, 1956.
- [182]. Gorbachevsky, B. *Crosses, Fires, and Books*. Moscow, Sovetskaya

Rossiya, 1965.

- [183]. Gordeyev, A. A. *History of the Cossacks*. Vol. 1-4. Moscow, Strastnoi Boulevard, 1992.
- [184]. Gordeyev, N. V. *The Czar Cannon*. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1969.
- [185]. *The Towns and Cities of Russia. An Encyclopaedia*. Moscow, The Great Russian Encyclopaedia Publications, 1994.
- [186]. Gorsev, Gerome. *Notes on Russia. XVI – Early XVII century*. Moscow, MSU Press, 1990.
- [187]. *The State Armoury*. Album. Moscow, Sovetskiy Khudozhnik,

1988. A new edition by Galart Press, Moscow, 1990.

[188]. *The A. S. Pushkin Museum of Fine Arts*. Catalogue of paintings. Moscow, 1995, Mazzotta. Printed in Italy.

[189]. *The Ruler is a Friend of his Subjects, or Political Court Hortatives and Moralistic Speculations of Kan-Shi, Khan of Manchuria and China*. Collected by his son, Khan Yun-Jin. St. Petersburg, 1795.

[190]. Goulianitsky, N. F., ed. *The Urbanism of the Muscovite State of the XVI-XVII centuries*. Moscow, The Russian Academy of

Architecture. Stroyizdat, 1994.

[191]. *The Faceted Chamber in the Moscow Kremlin*. Leningrad, Aurora, 1982.

[192]. Granovsky, T. N. *Lectures on Mediaeval History*. Moscow, Nauka, 1986.

[193]. Grebelsky, Peter K., and Alexander B. Mirvis. *The House of the Romanovs. Biographical Information about the Members of the Reigning House, their Predecessors and Relations*. St. Petersburg, LIO Redaktor, 1992.

[194]. Mina, Gregory. *Uffizi and Pitti. The Art of the Florentine Galleries*.

Album. From the *Great Museums of the World* series. Moscow, Slovo, 1999. A translation of the Italian edition by Magnus Edizioni, Udine, Italy, 1994, 1996.

[195]. Gregorovius, F. *Mediaeval History of Athens*. St. Petersburg, 1900. German edition: Gregorovius, F. *Geschichte der Stadt Athen im Mittelalter*. Stuttgart, 1889.

[196]. Gregorovius, F. *Mediaeval History of Rome. The V-XVI century*. Vols. 1-5. St. Petersburg, 1902-1912. English edition: Gregorovius, F. *History of the City of Rome in the Middle Ages*. London, G. Bell & Sons, 1900-1909.

- [197]. Grekov, B. D., and A. Y. Yakubovsky. *The Golden Horde and its Decline*. Moscow-Leningrad, USSR Academy of Sciences, 1950.
- [198]. *Greece: Temples, Sepulchres and Treasures. The Lost Civilizations Encyclopaedia*. Translated from English by N. Belov. Moscow, Terra Publishing Centre, 1997. Original edition, Time-Life Books BV, 1994.
- [199]. Griбанov, E. D., and D. A. Balalykin. *Medicine of Moscow on the Medals of Imperial Russia*. Moscow, Triada-X, 1999.
- [200]. Nicephor, Gregoras. *Roman History, beginning from the*

Conquest of Constantinople by the Latins. St. Petersburg, 1862.

[201]. Grigorovich, V. *An Account of Travelling through European Russia.* Moscow, 1877.

[202]. Grigoriev, V. V. *Saray: The Capital of the Golden Horde, and the Issue of its Location.* St. Petersburg, 1845.

[203]. Grigoriev, G. L. *Who was Ivan the Terrible Really Afraid of? On the Origins of the Oprichnina.* Moscow, Intergraph Service, 1998.

[204]. Grigoulevich, I. R. *The History of the Inquisition.* Moscow, Nauka, 1970.

- [205]. Grigoulevich, I. R. *The Inquisition*. Moscow, Politizdat, 1985.
- [206]. Grishin, Yakov. *The Tartars of Poland and Lithuania (the Heirs of the Golden Horde)*. Kazan, The Tartar Publishing House, 1995.
- [207]. Groslie, B. *Borobudur. The Greatest Collection of Buddhist Sculpture in the World is being Destroyed by Erosion*. The UNESCO Courier, No. 6 (1968): 23-27.
- [208]. Gudzy, N. K. *History of Early Russian Literature*. Moscow, Uchpedgiz, 1938. English edition: New York, Macmillan & Co, 1949.

- [209]. Gouliaev, V. I. *Pre-Columbian Voyages to America. Myths and Reality*. Moscow, Mezhdunarodnye Otnoshenia, 1991.
- [210]. Gouliaev, V. I. *America and the Old World in the Pre-Columbian Epoch*. Moscow, Nauka, 1968.
- [210:1]. Gouliaev, V. I. *Following the Conquistadors*. Moscow, The USSR Academy of Sciences, Nauka, 1976.
- [211]. Gumilev, L. N. *Ancient Russia and the Great Steppe*. Moscow, Mysl, 1992.
- [212]. Gumilev, L. N. *In Search of the Figmental Kingdom (the Legend of the Kingdom of Presbyter Johannes)*.

Moscow, Tanais, 1994.

[213]. Gumilev, L. N. *Hunnu*. St. Petersburg: Time-Out-Compass, 1993.

[214]. Gumilev, L. N. *The Black Legend*. Moscow, Ekopros, 1994.

[215]. Gumilev L. N. *The Huns in China*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974.

[216]. Gumilev, L. N. *From Rus' to Russia*. Moscow, Ekopros, 1992.

[217]. Gourevich, A. Y. *The Mediaeval Cultural Categories*. Moscow, Kultura, 1972.

[218]. Gourevich, V. B. *An Introduction into Spherical Astronomy*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.

- [219]. Gouter, R. S., and Y. L. Polounov. *Girolamo Cardano*. From the *Founding Fathers of Science and Technology* series. Moscow, Znaniye, 1980.
- [220]. Goutz, Alexander K. *The True History of Russia*. Omsk, Omsk State University Press, 1999.
- [221]. D. *The Stirrup of Quiet Don: the Enigmas of the Novel*. Paris, YMCA Press, 1974.
- [222]. Davidenko, I. V. *The Word Was, The Word Is, The Word Shall Always Be... A Philological Fantasy*. Moscow, Russkiy Dvor Press, 1999.
- [223]. Dal, V. *An Explanatory*

Dictionary of the Living Russian Language. St. Petersburg-Moscow, The M. O. Wolf Society Press, 1912.

[224]. Dal, V. *An Explanatory Dictionary of the Living Russian Language.* St. Petersburg-Moscow, The M. O. Wolf Society Press, 1914. Reprinted Moscow, Citadel, 1998.

[225]. Dal, Vladimir. *An Explanatory Dictionary of the Living Russian Language.* Moscow, State National and Foreign Dictionary Publishing House, 1956.

[226]. Damascene, John. *Dialectic.* Moscow, 1862. See also: John of Damascus. *Dialectica.* New York, St. Bonaventure Franciscan Institute,

1953.

- [227]. Damascene, John. *Three Apologies against the Detractors of the Holy Icons or Effigies*. St. Petersburg, 1893. English edition: Baker, T. *John Damascene on Holy Images Followed by Three Sermons of the Assumption*. London, 1898.
- [228]. Dantas, G. *Parthenon in Peril*. The *UNESCO Courier*, No. 6 (1968): 16-18, 34.
- [229]. Dante, Alighieri. *Minor Œuvres*. Moscow, Nauka, 1968. Also see: Dante, Alighieri. *Opere Minori*. Florence, 1856.
- [230]. Dante, Alighieri. *The Divine*

Comedy. Translated from the Italian by A. A. Ilushin. Moscow, Philological Department of the M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 1995.

[231]. Darethes of Phrygia. *The History of the Destruction of Troy*. St. Petersburg, Aleteya, 1997.

[232]. Darkevich, V. P. *The Secular Art of Byzantium. Works of Byzantine Art in the Eastern Europe of the X-XIII century*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1975.

[233]. Darkevich, V. P. *The Argonauts of the Middle Ages*. Moscow, Nauka, 1976.

[233:1]. *The Gifts of the Magi – a Source of Bliss until Our Day.*

Translated from modern Greek by M. Klimenko. The Holy Mount Athon, the Monastery of St. Paul the Apostle. Information about this book was obtained from the *Holy Lamp* newspaper published by the Preobrazhensky Temple in the Bolshie Vyazyomy village, No. 1 (1996).

[234]. *The Gifts Made by the Imperial House of Russia to the Museum of History.* Catalogue of an exhibition. Moscow, The State Museum of History, Publishing Department. 1993.

[235]. Dowley, Tim. *The Biblical Atlas*. Three's Company & Angus Hudson Ltd., 1989. Russian translation: Moscow, The Russian Biblical Society, 1994.

[236]. Cameniata, Johannes. *Two Byzantine Chronicles of the X century. The Psamathian Chronicle; The Conquest of Thessalonica*. Moscow, Oriental Literature Publications, 1962. Also see: Cameniata, Joannes. *De Exicidio Thessalonicae*. In: Clugnet, L. *Bibliothèque hagiographique orientale*. Paris, 1901-1905.

[237]. Dvoretzky, I. K. *Latin-Russian Dictionary*. 50,000 words. Moscow,

Russkiy Yazyk, 1976.

- [237:1]. Deveuze, Lily. *Carcassonne*.
The *Golden Book* series (in Russian).
Florence, Bonechi, Central
Typography, 2000.
- [238]. Dementyeva, V. V. “*The Roman
History of Charles Rollen*” as Read
by a Russian Nobleman. *The Ancient
History Courier*, No. 4 (1991): 117-
122.
- [239]. Denisov, L. I. *The Orthodox
Monasteries of the Russian Empire*.
Moscow, 1908. 389-393.
- [240]. Jalal, Assad. *Constantinople.
From Byzantium to Istanbul*.
Moscow, M. & S. Sabashnikov,

1919. French edition: Jalâl, A. *Constantinople de Byzance à Stamboul*. Paris, 1909.

[241]. Jivelegov, A. K. *Dante Alighieri*. From the *Celebrity Biographies* series. Moscow, OGIZ, The Magazine and Newspaper Trust, 1933.

[242]. Jivelegov, A. K. *Leonardo da Vinci*. From the *Celebrity Biographies* series. Moscow, OGIZ, The Magazine and Newspaper Trust, 1935.

[243]. Giovanni, Villani. *The New Chronicle, or the History of Florence*. Moscow, Nauka, 1997.
Italian edition: *Cronica di Giovanni*

Villani a miglior lezione redotta coll'aiuto detesti a penna. Florence, Magheri, 1823; Rome, Multigrafica, 1980. Vols. 1-8.

[244]. Giovanni, Novelli. *The Shroud of Turin: The Issue Remains Open.* Translated from Italian. Moscow, Franciscan Press, 1998.

[245]. Giua, Michele. *The History of Chemistry.* Moscow, Mir, 1975. Italian original: Giua, Michele. *Storia della chimica, dell'alchimia alle dottrine moderne.* Chiantore, Turin, 1946; Union Tipografiko-Editrice Torinese, 1962.

[246]. Digests of Justinian. Selected fragments translated by I. S.

Peretersky. Moscow, Nauka, 1984.

[247]. Diehl, Ch. *History of the Byzantine Empire*. Moscow, IL, 1948. English edition: Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 1925.

[248]. Diehl, Ch. *Chief Problems of the Byzantine History*. Moscow, 1947. French edition: Diehl, Ch. *Les Grands Problèmes de l'Histoire Byzantine*. Paris, Armand Diehl Library, A. Colin, 1947.

[249]. Diels, H. *Ancient Technology*. Moscow-Leningrad, ONTI-GTTI, 1934.

[250]. Diophantes. *Arithmetics*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974. See also:

Diophantus, Alexandrinus. *Diophanti Alexandrini Opera Omnia, cum graecis commentaries*. Lipsiae: in aedibus B. G. Teubner, 1893-1895.

[251]. Diringer, D. *The Alphabet*. Moscow, IL, 1963. English edition: London, Hutchinson & Co., 1968.

[252]. Dietmar, A. B. *Ancient Geography*. Moscow, Nauka, 1980.

[253]. Yankov, V. P., comp. *Following the Roads of the Millennia*. A collection of historical articles and essays. Book four. Moscow, Molodaya Gvardia, 1991.

[254]. Drboglav, D. A. *Mysteries of Ancient Latin Hallmarks of IX-XIV*

century Swords. Moscow, MSU Press, 1984.

[255]. *Ancient Russian Icon Art*. Moscow, Kedr, 1993. From the collection of the Tretyakovskaya Gallery.

[256]. *Ancient Russian Literature. Depictions of Society*. Moscow, Nauka, 1991.

[257]. Bonhard-Levin, G. M., ed. *Ancient Civilizations*. A collection of essays. Moscow, Mysl, 1989.

[258]. Struve, V. V., and D. P. Kallistov., eds. *Ancient Greece*. Moscow, USSR Academy of Sciences, 1956.

[259]. Drews, Arthur. *The Christ Myth*. Vol. 2. Moscow, Krasnaya Nov', 1924. English edition by T. Fisher Unwin. London and Leipzig, 1910.

[260]. Drews, Arthur. *Did St. Peter the Apostle Really Exist?* Moscow, Atheist, 1924. See also: A. Drews. *Die Petrus-le-gende*. Jena, E. Diederichs, 1924.

[261]. Drümel, Johann Heinrich. *An Attempt of Proving the Ararat Origins of the Russians Historically as those of the First Nation after the Deluge*. St. Petersburg, 1785. A Russian translation of a German book published in Nuremberg in 1744.

[262]. Douboshin, G. N. *A Reference*

Book for Celestial Mechanics and Astrodynamics. Moscow, Nauka, 1976.

[263]. Doubrovsky, A. S., N. N. Nepeyvoda, and Y. A. Chikanov. *On the Chronology of Ptolemy's Almagest. A Secondary Mathematical and Methodological Analysis.* The *Samoobrazovanie (Self-Education)* magazine (Moscow), No. 1 1999.

[263:1]. Duby, Georges. *The Middle Ages (987-1460). From Hugo Capet to Joan of Arc.* Moscow, Mezhdunarodnye Otnosheniya, 2000. French original: Duby, Georges. *Le Moyen Âge. De Hugues Capet à*

Jeanne d'Arc (987-1460). Collection *Pluriel*. Hachette, 1987.

[264]. Dupuy, R. Ernest, and Trevor N. Dupuy. *The Harper Encyclopaedia of Military History. From 3500 BC to the Present*. Commentary by the Polygon Press. Vol. 1: 3500 bc-1400 ad. Vol. 2: 1400–1800. St. Petersburg-Moscow, Polygon-AST, 1997. English original published by Harper Collins.

[265]. Dürer, Albrecht. *Tractates. Diaries. Letters*. St. Petersburg, Azbuka, 2000.

[265:1]. [Dürer] *Albrecht Dürer. Engravings*. Moscow, Magma Ltd., 2001. First published in 1980 by

Hubschmidt et Bouret.

[265:2]. *The Jewish Encyclopaedia*.

Vols. 1-16. A reprint of the Brockhaus-Efron edition for the Society for Scientific Judaic Publications, St. Petersburg. Moscow, Terra-Terra, 1991.

[266]. *The Hebraic Text of the Old Testament (The Tanach)*. London, the British and Foreign Bible Society, 1977.

[267]. Eusebius Pamphilus. *Ecclesial History*. St. Petersburg, 1848. English edition: Eusebius Pamphilus. *History of the Church*. London, 1890.

[268]. Eusebius Pamphilus. *Eusebius Pamphilus, Bishop of the Palestinian Caesarea, on the Toponymy of the Holy Writ. St. Jerome of Strydon on the Hebraic Locations and Names.* Translated by I. Pomyalovsky. St. Petersburg, 1894. Latin edition: Eusebius Pamphilus. *Eusebii Pamphili Episcopi Caesariensis Onomasticon Urbium et Locorum Sacrae Scripturae.* Berolini, 1862.

[269]. Eutropius. *A Concise History Starting with the City's Creation.* From the *Roman Historians of the IV century* series. Moscow, Russian Political Encyclopaedia, 1997.

[270]. Yegorov, D. N. *An Introduction into the Mediaeval Studies. The Historiography and the Source Studies*. Vols. 1-2. Moscow, High Courses of Female Education, Department of History and Philosophy, Publishing Society.

[271]. Yermolayev, G. *Mystery of the "Quiet flows the Don."* Slavic and European Journal, 18, 3 (1974).

[272]. Yermolayev, G. *The True Authorship of the "Quiet flows the Don."* Slavic and European Journal, 20, 3 (1976).

[273]. Yefremov, Y. N., and E. D. Pavlovskaya. *Dating the "Almagest" by the Actual Stellar Movements.*

The USSR Academy of Sciences
Archive, Vol. 294, No. 2: 310-313.

[274]. Yefremov, Y. N., and E. D. Pavlovskaya. *Determining the Epoch of the Almagest Star Catalogue's Creation by the Analysis of the Actual Stellar Movements. (On the Problem of Ptolemy's Star Catalogue Authorship). The Historical and Astronomical Research.* Moscow, Nauka, 1989. 175-192.

[275]. Jambus, M. *The Hierarchical Cluster Analysis and Related Correspondences.* Moscow, Finances and Statistics, 1988. Also see: Kendall, M., and A. Stewart.

The Advanced Theory of Statistics
(4th edition). London, C. Griffin,
1977.

[275:1]. *Living History of the Orient*.
Collected works. Moscow, Znanie,
1998.

[276]. Zivkovic, Branislav. *Les
monuments de la Peinture Serbe
Médiévale*. Zivkovic, Branislav.
Zica. Les dessins des fresques.
Belgrade, Institut pour la protection
des monuments historiques de la
Republique de Serbie, 1985.

[277]. *The Art of Ancient Russia. XI –
early XIII century. Inlays, Frescoes,
Icons*. Leningrad, Khudozhnik
RSFSR, 1982.

[278]. Cellini, Benvenuto. *The Life of Benvenuto Cellini, the Son of Maestro Giovanni Cellini, a Florentine, Written in Florence by Himself*. Moscow, 1958. The English edition was published by Edito-Service in Geneva, 1968.

[278:1]. *The Hagiography of Reverend Sergiy (The Life and the Great Deeds of the Most Reverend and Blessed Father Sergiy the Thaumaturge, the Hegumen of Radonezh and the Entire Russia)*. Compiled by Hieromonk Nikon (subsequently an Archimandrite). 5th edition. The Laura of Serge and The Holy Trinity. Own typography. 1904.

- [279]. *The Life of Savva Storozhevsky*. Reprinted after an old XVII century edition. Published in the *Zvenigorod Region History Materials*, Issue 3. Moscow, The Archaeographical Centre, 1994.
- [280]. Zhitomirsky, S. V. *The Astronomical Works of Archimedes*. Historical and Astronomical Research, Issue 13. Moscow, Nauka, 1977.
- [281]. Zholkovsky, A. V. *Pasternak's Book of Books*. The *Zvezda (Star)* magazine, No. 12 (1997).
- [282]. Zabelin, I. E. *Quotidian Life of Russian Czarinas in the XVI and XVII centuries*. Novosibirsk, Nauka,

1992.

- [283]. Zabelin, I. E. *The History of Moscow*. Moscow, Svarog, 1996.
- [284]. Zabelin, I. E. *The History of Moscow*. Moscow, Stolitsa, 1990.
- [285]. Zabelin, I. E. *The Historical Description of the Stauropigial Monastery of Moscow*. 2nd edition. Moscow, 1893.
- [286]. Zaborov, M. A. *History of the Crusades in Documents and Materials*. Moscow, Vyshchaya Shkola, 1977.
- [287]. Zaborov, M. A. *Crusaders in the East*. Moscow, Nauka, Chief Editing Board of Oriental Literature, 1980.

[288]. Zavel'skiy, F. S. *Time and its Keeping*. Moscow, Nauka, 1987.

[289]. Porfiriev, G., ed. *The Mysteries and Conundrums of the "Quiet flows the Don."* Collected works. Samara, P.S., 1996.

[290]. *The Gospel Teachings*. Jordanville, the Rev. Job. Pogayevsky Typography, 1987.

[290:1]. Zaliznyak, A. A., and V. L. Yanin. *The XI century Psalm Book of Novgorod as the Oldest Book in Russia*. *The RAS Courier*, Vol. 71, No. 3 (2001): 202-209.

[291]. Zamarovsky, V. *Mysteries of the Hittites*. Moscow, Nauka, 1968. Also

see: Zamarovsky, V. *Za tajemstvom rise Chetitu*. Prague, 1964.

[291:1]. Zamkova, M. V. *Louvre. (The Masterpieces of World Art in your Home)*. Album. Moscow, Olma-Obrazovanie, 2002.

[292]. *Notes of the Russian and Slavic Archaeology Department of the Russian Archaeological Society*. Vol. XII. Petrograd, Typography of Y. Bashmakov & Co, 1918.

[293]. *Star Charts of the Northern and the Southern Hemisphere*. Edition: *Maru severni a jizni hvezdne oblohy*. Czechoslovakia, Kartografie Praha, 1971.

[294]. Kondrashina, V. A., and L. A. Timoshina, eds. *Zvenigorod Over Six Centuries*. A collection of articles. To the 600th anniversary of the Savvino-Storozhevsky monastery. The Moscow Oblast Administration Culture Committee. The Zvenigorod Museum of History, Arts, and Architecture. The Federal Archive Service of Russia. Russian State Archive of Ancient Acts. Moscow, URSS Press, 1998.

[294:1]. Zgura, V. V. *Kolomenskoye. An Aperçu of its Cultural History and Monuments*. Moscow, O.I.R.U., 1928.

[295]. Zelinskiy, A. N. *Constructive*

Principles of the Ancient Russian Calendar. The Context 1978 collection. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.

[296]. Zelinskiy, F. *Selected Biographies of Ideas*. Vols. I-IV. St. Petersburg, 1905-1922.

[297]. Zenin, D. *The Ancient Artillery: Truth and Fiction*. *The Science and Technology* magazine, No. 5 (1982): 25-29.

[298]. Zenkovsky, S. A. *Old Ritualists of Russia. The XVII century Religious Movements*. Moscow, Tserkov, 1995.

[299]. Zima, D., and N. Zima. *Nostradamus Deciphered*. Moscow,

Ripol Klassik, 1998.

[299:1]. *The Banner of Reverend Serge (Sergiy) of Radonezh*. Psaltyr, 1934. Reprinted by RIO Dennitsa, Moscow, 1991.

[300]. Zoubov, V. P. *Aristotle*. Moscow, The USSR Academy of Sciences Press, 1963.

[301]. *Ivan IV The Terrible*. Essays. St. Petersburg, Azbuka, 2000.

[301:1]. Ivanov, O. *The Zamoskvorechye: Chronicle Pages*. Moscow, V. Shevchouk Publications, Inc., 2000.

[302]. Idelson, N. *History of the Calendar*. Leningrad, Scientific

Publications, 1925.

[303]. Idries, Shah. *Sufism*. Moscow, 1993.

[304]. Ieger, Oscar. *Global History*. Vols. 1-4. St. Petersburg, A. F. Marx, 1894-1904.

[304:1]. Ieger, Oscar. *Global History*. Vols. 1-4. St. Petersburg, A. F. Marx, 1904. Amended and expanded. Faximile reprint: Moscow, AST, 2000.

[304:2]. Ieger, Oscar. *Global History*. Vols. 1-4. St. Petersburg, A. F. Marx, 1904. 3rd ed., amended and expanded. Faximile reprint: Moscow, AST, 2001; St Petersburg, Polygon,

2001.

[305]. *Jerusalem in Russian Culture*. Collected essays. Moscow, Nauka, 1994.

[306]. *Selected Letters of A. N. Roudnev to V. N. Leonova*. Frankfurt-am-Main, Nadezhda, 1981.

[306:1]. *A Representation of the Terrestrial Globe*. Russian map from the *Rarities of Russian Cartography* series. (There is no compilation date anywhere on the map. The publishers date it to mid-XVIII century, q.v. in the annotation). Moscow, the Kartair Cartographical Association, 1996.

[307]. Derevenskiy, B. G., comp. *Jesus*

Christ in Historical Documents.

From the *Ancient Christianity* series,
Sources section. St. Petersburg,
Aleteya, 1998.

[308]. Ouspensky, L. A. *Icon Art of Ancient Russia*. Album. Foreword by S. S. Averintsev, compiled by N. I. Bednik. St. Petersburg, Khudozhnik Rossii, 1993.

[309]. Ilyin, A. A. *The Classification of Russian Provincial Coins*. Issue 1. Leningrad, The State Hermitage, 1940.

[310]. Ilyin, M., and T. Moiseyeva. *Moscow and its Environs*. Moscow, 1979.

[311]. Ilyin, M. *The Ways and the Quests of an Arts Historian*. Moscow, Iskusstvo Publications, 1970.

[312]. Illarion. *On the Law and the Bliss*. Moscow, Stolitsa and Skriptoriy, 1994.

[312:1]. *The Names of Moscow Streets* (multiple authors). Under the general editorship of A. M. Pegov. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1972.

[313]. de la Vega, Inca Garcilazo. *History of the State of the Incas*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1974.

[314]. *Foreigners on Ancient Moscow. Moscow of the XV-XVII centuries*.

Collected texts. Moscow, Stolitsa, 1991.

[315]. of Hildesheim, Johann. *A Legend of the Three Holy Kings*. Translated from German. Moscow, Enigma-Aleteya, 1998. German edition: von Hildesheim, Johan. *Die Legende von den Heiligen Drei Königen*. Berlin, 1925.

[316]. *The Art of the Countries and the Peoples of the World. A Brief Scientific Encyclopaedia*. Vol. 1. Moscow, Soviet Encyclopaedia Publications, 1962.

[317]. *Islam: an Encyclopaedic Dictionary*. Moscow, Nauka, General Editing Board for Oriental

Literature, 1991.

- [318]. Martzyshevskaya, K. A., B. J. Sordo-Peña, and S. Mariñero. *Spanish-Russian and Russian-Spanish Dictionary*. Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1990.
- [319]. *Historical and Astronomical Research*. Moscow, Fizmatgiz, 1955.
- [320]. *Historical and Astronomical Research*. Issue 8. Moscow, Fizmatgiz, 1962.
- [321]. *Historical and Astronomical Research*. Issue 1. Moscow-Leningrad, 1948.
- [322]. *Historical Notes of Nicephorus Vriennius*. St. Petersburg, 1858.

- [323]. *History of Byzantium*. Vol. 1.
Moscow, Nauka, 1967.
- [324]. *History of Byzantium*. Vols. 2-3.
Moscow, Nauka, 1967.
- [325]. *History of the Orient*. Vol. 2.
Mediaeval Orient. Russian Academy
of Sciences, the Department of
Oriental Sciences. Moscow,
Vostochnaya Literatura, RAS, 1995.
- [326]. Kouzishchin, V. I., ed. *History of
the Ancient Orient*. Moscow, 1979.
- [327]. Kouzishchin, V. I., and A. G.
Bokshchanin., eds. *History of the
Ancient Rome*. Moscow, 1971.
- [328]. *History of Europe*. Published in
Europe as an initiative of Frederic

Delouche. A Collective of 12 European Historians. Minsk, Vysheyshaya Shkola; Moscow, Prosveshchenie, 1996. Translated from *Histoire de l'Europe*. Hachette, 1992.

[328:1]. *History of Europe. The Renaissance*. Moscow, Minsk, Harvest, AST, Inc., 2000.

[329]. Melnik, A. G., ed. *History and Culture of the Land of Rostov*. 1998. Collected essays. Rostov, The Rostov Kremlin State Museum and Reserve, 1999.

[330]. *History of the Inquisition in Three Volumes*. Vols. 1 and 2: Lee, Henry Charles. *History of the*

Inquisition in the Middle Ages. A reprint of the F. A. Efron, I. A. Brockhaus edition. 1911-1912. Vol. 3: Lozinsky, S. G. *History of the Spanish Inquisition.* A reprint of the F. A. Efron, I. A. Brockhaus edition. 1914. Moscow, The Ladomir Scientific and Publishing Centre, 1994.

[330:1]. *History of Moscow in the Documents of the XII-XVIII century from the Russian State Archive of Ancient Acts.* The Russian State Archive of Ancient Acts, Moscow Municipal Archive Association. Moscow, Mosgorarkhiv, 1997.

[331]. Sakharov, A. N., ed. *History of*

Moscow. From the Earliest Days until Our Time. Three volumes.

Moscow, the RAS Institute of Russian History, the Moscow Municipal Association, Mosgorarkhiv Press. Vol. 1: XII-XVII century. Vol. 2: XIX century. 1997.

[332]. *Russian History. From the Ancient Slavs to Peter the Great. Encyclopaedia for Children.* Vol. 5. Moscow, Avanta, 1995.

[333]. Udaltsov, A. D., E. A. Kosminsky, O. L. Weinstein, eds. *Mediaeval History.* Moscow, OGIZ, 1941.

[334]. Skazkin, S. D., ed. *Mediaeval*

History. Volumes 1-2. Moscow, 1977.

- [335]. *History of French Literature*. Collected essays. St. Petersburg, 1887. English edition: Demogeot, J., *History of French Literature*. London, Rivingstons, 1884 (1883).
- [336]. Helmolt, H., ed. *The History of Humanity. Global History*. Vols. 1-9. Translated from German. St. Petersburg: Prosveshchenie, 1896.
- [337]. Istrin, V. M. *I-IV Editions of the Explanatory Paleya*. St. Petersburg, The Imperial Academic Typography, 1907.
- [338]. Istrin, V. M. *The Chronicle of*

John Malalas in Slavic Translation.
A reprint of V. M. Istrin's materials.
Moscow, John Wiley & Sons, 1994.

[339]. Pouchkov, P. I., ed. *Extinct Nations*. Collected essays. Moscow, Nauka, 1988.

[340]. *Itogi (The Resume)*. Weekly magazine. No. 37 (223) (12 September 2000). Moscow, Sem Dney Press.

[341]. Duchich, Jovan. *Duke Sava Vladislavich. The First Serbian Diplomat at the court of Peter the Great and Catherine I*. Belgrade, Dereta, 1999.

[342]. Kazhdan, A. P. *The Origins and*

the Purport of Christianity.

Moscow, 1962.

[343]. Kazhdan, A. P. *The Social Compound of the Byzantine Ruling Class of the XI-XII century.*

Moscow, Nauka, 1974.

[344]. Kazakova, N. A. *Western Europe in Russian Written Sources of the XV-XVI century.* Leningrad, Nauka, 1980.

[345]. Kazamanova, A. N. *An Introduction to Ancient Numismatics.* Moscow, Moscow University Press, 1969.

[346]. *The Cossack Circle.* Quiet flows the Don. Special edition 1. Moscow,

Russkoye Slovo, 1991.

- [347]. Skrylov, A. I., and G. V. Gubarev. *The Cossack Dictionary and Handbook*. Cleveland, 1966. Reprinted Moscow, Sozidanie Ltd., 1992.
- [348]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Geometry of Mobile Star Configurations and the Dating of the Almagest*. Problems of stochastic model stability. Seminar works. The National System Research Institute, 1988. 59-78.
- [349]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Statistical Analysis and Dating*

of the Observations that the Almagest Star Catalogue is Based upon. Report theses of the 5th Int'l Probability Theory Conference in Vilnius, the Lithuanian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Vol. 3 (1989): 271-272.

[350]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Dating the Almagest by Variable Star Configurations.* The USSR AS Reports, Vol. 307, No. 4 (1989): 829-832. English translation published in Soviet Phys. Dokl., Vol. 34, No. 8 (1989): 666-668.

[351]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A*

*Retrospective Analysis of the
Almagest Star Catalogue and the
Problem of its Dating.* Preprint.
Moscow, National System Research
Institute, 1990. 60 p.

[352]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V.
Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A
Quantitative Analysis of the
Almagest Star Catalogue.* Pre-print.
Moscow, National System Research
Institute, 1990. 62 p.

[353]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V.
Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiy.
Dating the Almagest Star Catalogue.
Preprint. Moscow, National System
Research Institute, 1990. 58 p.

[354]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V.

Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiyy.
*Ptolemy's Star Catalogue Dated by
Mathematicians. Hypotheses,
Predictions, and the Future of
Science.* The Int'l Annual Journal.
No. 23 (1990): 78-92. Moscow,
Znaniye.

[355]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V.
Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiyy. *A
Statistical Analysis of the Almagest
Star Catalogue.* The USSR AS
Reports. Vol. 313, No. 6 (1990):
1315-1320.

[356]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V.
Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiyy.
*Dating the Almagest Star Catalogue.
A Statistical and Geometric*

Analysis. Moscow, Faktorial, 1995.

[356:1]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G. V. Nosovskiyy. *An Astronomical Analysis of Chronology. The Almagest. Zodiacs*. Moscow, The Delovoi Express Financial, 2000.

[357]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and S. T. Rachev. *New Methods of Comparing Volume Functions of Historical Texts*. Seminar works. Moscow, National System Research Institute, 1986. 33-45.

[358]. Kaleda, G. *The Shroud of Our Lord Jesus Christ. To the Centenary of the Manifestation of the Holiest*

of Relics, 1898-1998. 4th edition. Moscow, Zakatyevsky Monastery Press, 1998.

[358:1]. *Russia and the World on Russian Maps*. Moscow, published by Vneshtorgbank and the State Museum of History in 2001. Compiled by B. Sergeyev and A. Zaitsev. Maps from the collection of the State Museum of History, 16, Kuznetskiy Most, 103301, Moscow.

[359]. *The Stonework Chronicle of the old Moscow*. Moscow, Sovremennik, 1985.

[360]. Kamensky, A. B. *The Life and the Fate of the Empress Catherine the Great*. Moscow, Znanie, 1997.

- [361]. Kaneva, Katerina, Alessandro Cechi, and Antonio Natali. *Uffizi. A Guide and a Catalogue of the Art Gallery*. Scala/ Becocci, 1997. Moscow, Izobrazitelnoye Iskusstvo, 1997.
- [362]. Karamzin, N. M. *History of the State of Russia*. St. Petersburg, 1842. A reprint of the fifth edition that came out as 3 books with P. M. Stroyev's *Key* attached. Books I, II, III, IV. Moscow, Kniga, 1988, 1989.
- [363]. Karamzin, N. M. *History of the State of Russia* (Academic edition). Moscow, Nauka. Vol. 1: 1989. Vols. 2-3: 1991. Vol. 4: 1992. Vol. 5: 1993.

[364]. Karger, M. K. *Ancient Kiev. Essays on the History of the Material Culture of this Ancient Russian City*. Vol. 1. Moscow-Leningrad, The USSR AS Press, 1958.

[365]. Karger, M. *Novgorod the Great*. Moscow, The USSR Academy of Architecture. The Architectural History and Theory Institute. 1946.

[366]. *Karelin Andrei Osipovich. Legacy of an Artist*. Nizhni Novgorod, Arnika, 1994.

[367]. Karnovich, E. P. *Patrimonial Names and Titles in Russia*. St. Petersburg, 1886. Reprinted in Moscow, Bimpa Press, 1991.

[368]. Valcanover, Francesco.

Carpaccio. Album. Moscow, Slovo, 1996. The Italian edition was published in the *Great Masters of Italian Art* series. Florence, Scala, Istituto Fotografico Editoriale, Antella, 1989.

[369]. Karpenko. V. V. *The Names on the Sky at Night*. Moscow, Nauka, 1981.

[370]. Carpiceci, Alberto Carlo. *The Art and History of Egypt. 5000 Years of Civilization*. Russian edition. Florence, Casa Editrice Bonechi, 1997.

[371]. Carpiceci, Alberto Carlo. *The Art and History of Egypt. 5000 Years of*

Civilization. Florence, Bonechi, 1999.

[372]. Kartashev, A. V. *Essays on the History of Russian Church*. Vols. 1, 2. Moscow, Nauka, 1991.

[373]. Kartashev, A. V. *Essays on the History of Russian Church*. Moscow, Terra, 1992.

[374]. Carter, H. *The Tomb of Tutankhamen*. Moscow, Oriental Literature, 1959.

[375]. Quintus Curtius Rufus. *The Story of Alexander the Great*. Moscow, MSU Press, 1993.

[376]. Denisenko, D. V., and N. S. Kellin. *When Were the Famous*

Dendera Zodiacs Really Created?

An appendix to Fomenko, A. T.

Criticism of Traditional Chronology of Antiquity and the Middle Ages

(What Century is it Now?). Moscow,

MSU Publications, the MSU

Department of Mechanical

Mathematics, 1993. 156-166.

[377]. Fomenko, A. T., N. S. Kellin, and

G. V. Nosovskiyy. *The Issue of the*

Veracity of the "Ancient" History of Russia by M. V. Lomonosov.

Lomonosov or Miller? The Moscow

University Courier, Series 9:

Philology, No. 1 (1991): 116-125.

[378]. Kenderova, Stoyanka, and

Beshevliev, Boyan. *The Balkan*

*Peninsula on AlIdrisi's Map.
Palaeographic, Historical and
Geographical Research. Part 1.*
Sofia, 1990.

[379]. Ceram, C. *Gods, Graves and
Scholars*. Moscow, Inostrannaya
Literatura, 1960. English original:
London, Victor Gollancz in
association with Sidgwick &
Jackson, 1971.

[380]. Ceram, C. *Gods, Graves and
Scholars*. St. Petersburg,
Nizhegorodskaya Yarmarka, KEM,
1994.

[381]. Kibalova, L., O. Gerbenova, and
M. Lamarova. *An Illustrated
Encyclopaedia of Fashion*. Prague,

Artia, 1966.

[382]. Kinnam, Johann. *A Brief Review of the Reigns of John and Manuel Comneni*. St. Petersburg, 1859.

[383]. Kinzhalov, R. V. *The Ancient Mayan Culture*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1971.

[384]. Kiriaku, Georgios P. *Cyprus in Colours*. Limassol, Cyprus, K. P. Kiriaku (Books & Office Requisites) Ltd., 1987.

[385]. Kirpichnikov, A. N. *The Pages of the "Iron Book."* *Nauka I Zhizn (Science and Life)* magazine, No. 6 (1966): 49-55.

[385:1]. Kiselyova L. I. *What do the*

Mediaeval Chronicles Tell Us?
Leningrad, Nauka, 1978.

[386]. Kyetsaa, H. *The Battle for the
“Quiet flows the Don.”* Seanado-
Statica, 22, 1976.

[387]. Kyetsaa, H. *The Battle for the
“Quiet flows the Don.”* USA,
Pergamon Press, 1977.

[388]. Klassen, E. I. *New Materials for
the Studies of the Historical Dawn
of Slavs in General, and pre-Ryurik
Russo-Slavs in Particular, with an
Aperçu of the BC History of Russia.*
Issues 1-3. With the *Descriptions of
the Monuments Explaining the
History of the Slavs and the
Russians Compiled by Fadey*

Volansky and Translated by E. Klassen. Moscow University Press, 1854. Reprinted by Andreyev i Soglasie, St. Petersburg, 1995.

[389]. Klassovsky, V. *A Systematic Description of Pompeii and the Artefacts Discovered There.* St. Petersburg, 1848.

[390]. Klein, L. S. *Archaeology Controverts Physics.* The *Priroda (Nature)* magazine, No. 2 (1966): 51-62.

[391]. Klein, L. S. *Archaeology Controverts Physics (continued).* The *Priroda (Nature)* magazine, No. 3 (1966): 94-107.

[391:1]. Klengel-Brandt, E. *A Journey into the Old Babylon*. Moscow, Nauka, General Editing Board for Oriental Literature, the USSR AS, Institute of Oriental Studies, 1979. Translated from German: Klengel-Brandt, E. *Reise in das alte Babylon*. Leipzig, 1971.

[392]. Kligene N., and L. Telxnis. *Methods of Determining Change Points in Random Processes*. *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika* (Automatics and Telemechanics), No. 10 (1983): 5-56.

[393]. Klimishin, I. A. *Chronology and the Calendar*. Moscow, Nauka, 2nd edition, 1985.

- [394]. Klimishin, I. A. *Chronology and the Calendar*. Moscow, Nauka, 3rd edition, 1990.
- [395]. Klimishin, I. A. *The Discovery of the Universe*. Moscow, Nauka, 1987.
- [396]. Klyuchevsky, V. O. *Unreleased Works*. Moscow, Nauka, 1983.
- [397]. *The Book of the Mormon. Another Testament of Jesus Christ*. Translated by Joseph Smith, Jun. Salt Lake City, The Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter Day Saints, 1991. (Quoting the Russian translation of 1988).
- [398]. *The Book of Cosmas Indicopleustes*. Published by V. S.

Golyshenko and V. F. Doubrovina.
RAS, the V. V. Vinogradov Institute
of the Russian Language. Moscow,
Indrik, 1997.

[399]. Loparev, H. M., ed. *The Book of
the Pilgrim. Holy Places in Czar-
Grad Described by Anthony, the
Archbishop of Novgorod in 1200.*

“The Orthodox Palestinian
Collection,” Vol. 17, 3rd edition. St.
Petersburg, 1899.

[400]. *Literary Centres of the Ancient
Russia in the XI-XVI century.* St.
Petersburg, Nauka, 1991.

[401]. Knorina, L. V. *Linguistic Aspects
of the Hebraic Commentary
Tradition. Voprosy Yazykoznanania*

(*Linguistic Issues*), No. 1 (1997):
97-108.

[402]. Kowalski, Jan Wierusz. *Papacy and the Popes*. Moscow, Political Literature Publications, 1991. A translation of the Polish book *Poczet Papiezy*. Warsaw, 1985.

[403]. Kovalchenko, I. D. *The Use of Quantitative Methods and Computers in Historical Research*. The *Voprosy Istorii (Historical Issues)* journal, No. 9 (1984): 61-73.

[404]. Kogan, V. M. *The History of the House of Ryurikovichi*. St. Petersburg, Belvedere, 1993.

[405]. Kozlov, V. *A Case of Church*

Robbery. The Moskovskiy Zhurnal (Moscow Magazine), No. 7 (1991).

[406]. Kozlov, V. *Under the Flag of Nihilism. The Moskovskiy Zhurnal (Moscow Magazine), No. 6 (1991).*

[407]. Kozlov, V. P. *Falsification Mysteries. An Analysis of Historical Source Forgeries of the XVIII-XIX centuries. Moscow, Aspekt, 1996.*

[407:1]. Kozlov, V. T. *The 30-Year War. European Splendour. The Renaissance. Humanism. The Enlightenment. Moscow, The V. T. Kozlov Regional Public Fund for the Support and Development of Arts and Culture, 2001. 44.*

[408]. Kozlov, P. *Yaroslavl*. Yaroslavl, The Upper Volga Publishing House, 1972.

[409]. Kozlov, P. I., and V. F. Marov. *Yaroslavl. A Guide and a Reference Book*. Yaroslavl, The Upper Volga, 1988.

[410]. Kokkinoftas, Kostis and Theocharidis, Ioannis. “*Enkolpion.*” *A Brief Description of St. Kykkos Monastery*. Nicosia, The St. Kykkos Monastery Research Centre, 1995.

[411]. Kolodny, L. “Turbulence over the ‘Quiet flows the Don.’ Fragments of the Past: the Sources used for a Certain XX century Animad version. *Moskovskaya Pravda* (5 and 7

March, 1989).

- [412]. Rauschenbach, B .V., ed. *Bells. History and Contemporaneity*. Compiled by Y. V. Pukhnachev. The Scientific Counsel for World Culture History, the USSR AS. Moscow, Nauka, 1985.
- [413]. Kolosov, Vassily. *Perambulations in the Environs of the Simonov Monastery*. Moscow, 1806.
- [414]. Kolchin, B. A., and Y. A. Sher. *Absolute Archaeological Datings and their Problems*. Moscow, Nauka, 1972.
- [415]. Kohlrausch, F. *History of*

Germany. Vols. I, II. Moscow, 1860.
English edition: Kohlrausch, F. *A
History of Germany, from the
Earliest Period to the Present Time*.
New York, D. Appelton & Co, 1896.

[415:1]. Kolyazin, V. F. *From The
Passion Play Mystery to the
Carnival. The Histrionics of the
German Religious and Popular
Stage of the Early and the Late
Middle Ages*. Moscow, Nauka, 2002.

[416]. Archimandrite Palladius
Kafarov *Commentary on Marco
Polo's Voyage through Northern
China*. St. Petersburg, 1902.

[417]. Comnena, Anna. *The Alexiad*.
Moscow, Nauka, 1965. English

edition: Harmondsworth, Penguin, 1969.

[418]. Comnena, Anna. *The Alexiad*. St. Petersburg. Aleteya, 1996.

[419]. Comnena, Anna. *A Brief Account of the Deeds of King Alexis Comnenus*. St. Petersburg, 1859.

[420]. Kondakov, N. P. *The Iconography of Our Lady*. 3 volumes. Moscow, Palomnik. Vols. 1 and 2, 1998. Vol. 3, 1999.

[420:1]. Kondratov, Alexander. *The Mysteries of the Three Oceans*. Leningrad, Gidrometeoizdat, 1971.

[421]. Kondratyev, I. K. *The Ancient Moscow. A Historical Review and a*

Full List of the City's Monuments.
Moscow, Voenizdat, 1996.

[422]. Kondrashina, V. A. *The Savvino-Storozhevsky Monastery. 600 Years since the Foundation of the Coenoby of Rev. Savva.* An album of photographs. Moscow, Leto, 1998.

[423]. Koniskiy, G. (The Archbishop of Byelorussia). *The History of Russians, or the Lesser Russia.* The Moscow University Typography, 1846.

[424]. *Konstantin Mikhailovich from Ostrovitsa. The Notes of a Janissary.* Introduction, translation, and commentary by A. I. Rogov. Published in the *Monuments of*

Mediaeval History of the Nations of Central and Eastern Europe series. The USSR AS, Institute of Slavic and Balkan Studies. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.

- [425]. Konstantinov, N. *The Secret Alphabet of Stolnik Baryatinsky*. The *Nauka i Zhizn (Science and Life)* magazine, No. 10 (1972): 118-119.
- [426]. *Context 1978*. Collected works. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.
- [427]. *The Koran*. Moscow, Oriental Literature, 1963.
- [428]. *The Koran*. Translated by I. Y. Krachkovsky. Moscow, Raritet, 1990.

[429]. Al Rosha, Dr. Mohammed Said., ed. *The Koran*. 2nd edition, revised and enlarged by Valeria Prokhorova. Damascus-Moscow, The Al-Furkan Centre and Mikhar Corp., 2553, 10.2.95, 1996.

[430]. *The Ecclesial Law Book (Kormchaya) of 1620*. 256/238, The Manuscript Fund of the Russian National Library (Moscow).

[430:1]. Kornilov N. I., Solodova Y. P. *Jewels and gems*. Moscow, Nedra, 1983.

[431]. Korkh, A. S. *Mikhail Illarionovich Koutouzov*. The Moscow State Museum of History. n.d.

[432]. Korsh, M. *A Brief Dictionary of Mythology and Antiquities*. St. Petersburg, A. S. Souvorin, 1894. Reprinted: Kaluga, Amata, Golden Alley, 1993.

[433]. Kosambi, D. *The Culture and Civilization of Ancient India*. Moscow, Progress, 1968. English edition: Kosambi D. *The Culture and Civilization of Ancient India in Historical Outline*. London, Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1965.

[434]. Kosidowski, Z. *When the Sun was God*. Moscow, Nauka, 1968. Polish edition: Kosidowsky Z. *Gdy Slonce Bylo Bogiem*. Warsaw, 1962.

[435]. Kostomarov, N. I. *The Reign of*

the House of St. Vladimir. Moscow, Voenizdat, 1993.

[436]. Kostomarov, N. I. *The Age of Turmoil in Early XVII century Moscovia (1604-1613)*. Moscow, Charli, 1994.

[437]. Kostomarov, N. I. *Bogdan Khmel'nitsky*. Moscow, Charlie, 1994.

[437:1]. Kochergina, V. A. *Sanskrit-Russian Dictionary*. About 30.000 words. Moscow, Filologia, 1996.

[438]. Golubev, A. A., comp. *The Kostroma Region*. Moscow, Planeta, 1988.

[439]. Cramer, C. *Mathematical*

Methods of Statistics. Moscow, Mir, 1975. English original: Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 1958.

[440]. *The Concise Geographical Encyclopaedia*. Vol. 1, Moscow, State Academic Soviet Encyclopaedia Publications, 1960.

[440:1]. Krekshin, P. N. *A Criticism of the Freshly-Printed Book of 1761 about the Origins of Rome and the Actions of its People and Monarchs*. The reverse of the last sheet says: "Criticism by the Nobleman of the Great New Town Peter of Nicephor, son of Kreksha, in 1762, on the 30th day of September, St. Petersburg." The manuscript is kept in the State

Archive of the Yaroslavl Oblast as Manuscript #43 (431).

- [441]. *The Peasant War in Russia Led by Stepan Razin*. Collected documents. Vols. 1-4. Moscow, Academy of Sciences, 1954-1970.
- [442]. Luchinat, Christina Acidini. *Benozzo Gozzoli*. Published in the *Great Masters of Italian Art* series. Moscow, Slovo, 1996. Italian edition: Scala, Istituto Fotografico Editoriale, 1995.
- [443]. Kriesh, Elli G. *The Treasure of Troy and its History*. Moscow, Raduga, 1996. German original: Kriesh, Elli G. *Der Schatz von Troja und seine Geschichte*. Carlsen, 1994.

- [444]. Kryvelev, I. A. *The Excavations in the "Biblical" Countries*. Moscow, Sovietskaya Rossia, 1965.
- [445]. Kryvelev, I. A. *A Book about the Bible*. Moscow, Sotsekgiz, 1958.
- [446]. Krylov, A. N. *Newton and his Role in Global Science. 1643-1943*. The USSR Academy of Sciences. Moscow-Leningrad, USSR AS Publications, 1943.
- [447]. Xenophon. *History of the Hellenes*. Leningrad, Ogiz, 1935. English edition: Xenophon. *Hellenica*. In: W. Briggs, Tutorial Series, Books III, IV. London, 1894.
- [448]. Koublanov, M. M. *The New*

Testament. Research and Discoveries. Moscow, Nauka, 1968.

[449]. Koudriavtsev, M. P. *Moscow the Third Rome. A Historical and Urbanistic Research.* Moscow, Sol System, 1994.

[450]. Koudriavtsev, O. F., comp. *Russia in the First Half of the XVI century. A European View.* The Russian AS, Global History Institute. Moscow, Russkiy Mir, 1997.

[451]. Kouznetsov, V. G. *Newton.* Moscow, Mysl, 1982.

[452]. Koulakovsky, Y. A. *Byzantine History.* Vols. 1, 2. St. Petersburg, Aleteya, 1996.

- [453]. Koulikovsky, P. G. *Stellar Astronomy*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.
- [454]. Koun, N. A. *The Predecessors of Christianity*. Moscow, 1922.
- [455]. Kourbatov, L. G. *Byzantine History*. Moscow, Vyshaya Shkola, 1984.
- [456]. *The UNESCO Courier* magazine, No. 12 (1968).
- [457]. Koutouzov, B. *The Church Reform of the XVII century*. The *Tserkov (Church)* magazine (Moscow), Issue 1 (1992).
- [457:1]. Koutsenko, G., and Y. Novikov. *Make Yourself A Present of Health*. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy,

1988.

[458]. Cimpan, F. *The History of the Pi Number*. Moscow, Nauka, 1971 (1984). Romanian original: Cipman, F. *Istoria Numarului pi*. Bucharest, Tineret Press, 1965.

[458:1]. Cumont, Franz. *The Mysteries of Mithras. Magicum*. St. Petersburg, Eurasia, 2000. Original edition: Franz Cumont. *Les Mystères de Mithra. Magicum*. Brussels, H. Lamertin, 1913.

[459]. Lavissee, E., and A. Rambaud. *History of the Crusades*. Vols. I and II. Moscow, 1914. French original: *Histoire générale du IVe siècle à nos jours. L'Europe féodale, les*

croisades, 1095-1270. Paris, A. Colin & Cie, 1893-1901.

[460]. *The Lavrenty Chronicle*. (A complete compilation of Russian chronicles). V. 1. Moscow, Yazyki Russkoi Kulturi, 1997.

[461]. Lavrov, N. F. *A Guide to the Churches of Uglich*. Uglich, the Municipal Museum of Arts and History, 1994. A re-print from an 1869 original, Yaroslavl, the Province Typography.

[462]. Lazarev, V. N. *The Icon Art of Novgorod*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1969.

[462:1]. Lombroso. C. *Genius and Madness*. Moscow, Respublika,

1995.

- [463]. Lann, E. *A Literary Mystification*. Moscow, 1930.
- [464]. Lauer, Jean-Philippe. *The Mystery of the Egyptian Pyramids*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966. French edition: *Le Mystère des Pyramides*. Paris, Presses de la Cité, 1974.
- [465]. Deacon, Leon. *History*. Moscow, Nauka, 1988. See also: *Leonis Diaconi Caloensis Historiae libri decem*. E recensione C. B. Hasii. Bonnae, 1828.
- [466]. Levandovsky, A. P. *Charlemagne. From the Empire towards Europe*. Moscow, Soratnik,

1995.

- [467]. Levitan, E., and N. Mamouna. *The Star of Bethlehem*. The *Nauka i Zhizn (Science and Life)* magazine, No. 11 (1989).
- [468]. Levchenko, M. V. *Byzantine History*. Moscow-Leningrad, Ogiz, Sotsekgiz, 1940.
- [469]. *The Legend of Dr. Faustus*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978. Also see: *The History of the Damnable Life and Deserved Death of Doctor John Faustus*. London, G. Routledge; New York, E. P. Dutton, 1925.
- [470]. Lehmann. *An Illustrated History of Superstition and Sorcery from the*

Antiquity to Our Days. Moscow, Knizhnoe Delo, 1900. Also see:
Lehmann, A. *Overtø og trolldom fra de ældste til vore dage*.
Copenhagen, J. Frimodt, 1893-1896.

[471]. Lentsman, Y. A. *The Origins of Christianity*. Moscow, USSR AS Press, 1958.

[471:1]. *The Life and Art of Leonardo*. Moscow, Byely Gorod, 2001. Giunti Gruppo Editoriale, Florence, 2000.

[472]. Leonid. *A Systematic Description of A. S. Ouvarov's Russo-Slavic Manuscripts*. Moscow, 1894.

[473]. Leontyeva, G. A., Shorin, P. A. and Kobrin, V. B. *The Keys to the*

Mysteries of Clio. Palaeography, Metrology, Chronology, Heraldic Studies, Numismatics, Onomatology and Genealogy. Moscow, Prosveshchenie, 1994.

[473:1]. Leskov, A. M. *Burial Mounds: Findings and Problems.* Leningrad, Nauka, 1981.

[474]. Lesna, Ivan. *On the Ails of the Great.* Prague, Grafit, 1990.

[475]. Lesnoy, Sergei. *History of the Slavs Revised.* Melbourne, 1956.

[476]. Lesnoy, Sergei. *A Non-Distorted History of the Russians.* Vols. 1-10. Paris, 1957.

[477]. Lesnoy, Sergei. *Russia, where*

are you from? Winnipeg, 1964.

[477:0]. Lesnoy, Sergei. *The Book of Veles*. Moscow, Zakharov, 2002.

[477:1]. *A Chronicler of Hellas and Rome*. Vol. 1. The RAS Institute of Russian Literature (The House of Pushkin). St. Petersburg, Dmitry Boulanin, 1999.

[478]. Libby, W. F. *Carbon-14: a Nuclear Chronometer of Archaeology*. The *UNESCO Courier*, No. 7 (No. 139)(1968).

[479]. Libby, W. F. *The Radiocarbon Dating Method*. The International Peaceful Nuclear Energy Conference materials (Geneva), Vol. 16 (1987):

41-64.

- [480]. Libby, W. F. *Radiocarbon: an Atomic Clock*. The annual *Nauka i Chelovechestvo (Science and Humanity)* journal (1962): 190-200. Moscow, Znaniye.
- [481]. Libman, M., and G. Ostrovskiy. *Counterfeit Masterpieces*. Moscow, Sovetskiy Khudozhnik, 1966.
- [482]. Livy, Titus. *Roman History since the Foundation of the City*. 6 volumes. Translation and general editorship by P. Adrianov. Moscow, E. Herbeck Typography, 1897-1899.
- [483]. Livy, Titus. *Roman History since the Foundation of the City*. Vols. 1,

2 and 3. Moscow, Nauka, Vol. 1 (1989), Vol. 2 (1991), Vol. 3 (1993). English edition: Livy, Titus. *Works*. Cambridge, Mass; London, Heinemann, 1914.

[484]. Livraga, Jorge A. *Thebe*. Moscow, New Acropolis, 1995.

[485]. *Linguistic Encyclopedic Dictionary*. Moscow, Soviet Encyclopedia Publications, 1990.

[486]. Lipinskaya, Y., and M. Martsinyak. *Ancient Egyptian Mythology*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1983.

[487]. Litanus, Michalonis. *On the Customs of the Tartars, the*

Lithuanians and the Muscovites.
Moscow, MSU Publications, 1994.
See also: Michalonis Lituani. *De moribus tartarorum, lituanorum et moschorum fragmina X, multiplici historia referta et Johannis Lascii poloni De diis samagitarum, caeterorumque sarmatarum et falsorum christianorum. Item de religione armeniorum et de initio regiminis Stephani Batori.* Nunc primum per J. Jac. Grasserum, C. P. ex manuscripto authentico edita. Basileae, apud Conradum Waldkirchium, MDCXV, 1-41.

[488]. *Literary legacy. V. I. Lenin and A. V. Lunacharsky. Correspondence,*

Reports, Documents. Moscow,
Nauka, 1971.

[489]. Lifshitz, G. M. *Essays on Early Christianity and Biblical Historiography.* Minsk: Vysheyshaya Shkola, 1970.

[490]. Likhachev, N. P. *The Artistic Manner of Andrei Rublev.* St. Petersburg, 1907.

[490:1]. Likhacheva, E. A. *The Seven Hills of Moscow.* Moscow, Nauka, 1990.

[491]. Lozinsky, S. G. *History of the Spanish Inquisition.* St. Petersburg, Brockhaus and Efron, 1914.

[492]. Lozinsky, S. G. *History of the*

Papacy. Vols. I and II. Moscow, The Central TsS SWB Publications of USSR, 1934.

[493]. Lomonosov, M. V. *Selected Works*. Vol. 2. History, philology, poetry. Moscow, Nauka, 1986.

[493:1]. Gowing, Sir Lawrence. *Paintings in the Louvre*. Introduction by Michel Laclotte. Russian Translation by MK-Import, Ltd., Moscow, Mezhdunarodnaya Kniga, 1987. English edition: Stewart, Tabori & Chang, Inc., 1987.

[493:2]. Loades, D. *Henry VIII and his Queens*. The *Mark in History* series. Moscow, Feniks.

[494]. Pardi, J., comp. *The Pilot Chart of the Gibraltar and the Mediterranean*. Translated by I. Shestakov. Moscow, 1846.

[495]. Lourie, F. M. *Russian and Global History in Tables. Synchrony tables (XXX century BC – XIX Century). World Governors. Genealogical Tables. Glossary*. St. Petersburg, Karavella, 1995.

[496]. Louchin, A. A. *The Slavs and History*. An appendix to the *Molodaya Gvardia (Young Guard)* magazine, No. 9 (1997): 260-351.

[497]. Lyzlov, Andrei. *History of the Scythians*. Moscow, Nauka, 1990.

[497:1]. Liozzi, Mario. *History of Physics*. Moscow, Mir, 1970.

[498]. Lewis, G. C. *A Research of Ancient Roman History and its Veracity*. Hannover, 1852. German edition: *Untersuchungen über die Glaubwürdigkeit der altrömischen Geschichte*, Hannover, 1858.

[499]. Magi, Giovanna. *Luxor. The Valleys of the Kings, Queens, Noblemen and Craftsmen. Memnon's colossi. Deir-el-Bakhari – Medinet-Abu – Ramesseum*. Florence, Casa Editrice Bonechi via Cairoli, 1999.

[500]. Makariy (Boulgakov), the Metropolitan of Moscow and

Kolomna. *History of the Russian Church*. Books 1-7. Moscow, The Spaso-Preobrazhensky Monastery of Valaam Publications, 1994-1996.

[500:1]. Makariy, Archimandrite. *Ancient Ecclesial Monuments. History of the Hierarchy of Nizhniy Novgorod. The True Tales of Nizhniy Novgorod* series. Nizhniy Novgorod, Nizhegorodskaya Yarmarka, 1999.

[501]. Makarov, A. G., and S. E. Makarova. *The Scotch Thistle Blossom. Towards the Sources of the "Quiet flows the Don."* Moscow, Photocopied by the General Research Institute of Gas Industry, 1991.

- [502]. Makarov, A. G., and S. E. Makarova. *Around the "Quiet flows the Don." From Myth Creation to a Search for Truth*. Moscow, Probel, 2000.
- [502:1]. Machiavelli, Niccolo. *The Prince. Ruminations in re the First Decade of Titus Livy*. – St. Petersburg, Azbuka, 2002.
- [502:2]. Machiavelli, Niccolo. *The History of Florence*. – Leningrad, Nauka, 1973.
- [503]. Malalas, John. *The Chronicle*. Published by O. V. Tvorogov according to *The Chronographer of Sofia in the Works of the Ancient Russian Literature Department*, Vol.

37, pp. 192-221. Moscow, Nauka.
English edition: *The Chronicle of John Malalas*. Chicago, Chicago University Press, 1940.

[504]. Kantor, A. M., ed. *A Concise History of Fine Arts*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1981; Dresden, VEB Verlag der Kunst, 1981.

[504:1]. *The Compact Soviet Encyclopaedia*. Vols. 1-10. Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia, Inc., 1928.

[505]. Malinovskaya, L. N. *The Graveyard of the Khans (Mezarlyk)*. Bakhchisaray, the State Historical and Cultural Reserve, 1991.

- [506]. Malinovskiy, A. F. *A Review of Moscow*. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1992.
- [507]. *A Concise Atlas of the World*. Moscow, General Department of Geodetics and Cartography of the USSR Council of Ministers. 1979.
- [508]. Malver, A. *Science and Religion*. Russian translation by L. and E. Kroukovsky. N.p., 1925.
- [509]. Marijnissen, R. H., and P. Ruyffelaere. *Hieronymus Bosch*. Commentated album. Antwerp, Mercatorfonds, 1987, 1995. Russian translation by Mezhdunarodnaya Kniga. Moscow, 1998.

- [510]. Marco Polo. *A Book on the Diversity of the World*. The Personal Library of Borges. St. Petersburg, Amphora, 1999.
- [511]. Markov, A. A. *One of the Uses of the Statistical Method*. *The Academy of Sciences News*, Series 6, Vol. X, Issue 4 (1916).
- [512]. Martynov, G. *On the Origins of Roman Chronicles*. Moscow University Press, 1903.
- [513]. Massa, Isaac. *A Brief Report of the Beginning and the Origins of Modern Muscovite Wars and Unrest that Occurred Before 1610 in the Brief Time when Several Rulers Reigned*. Moscow, The Sergei

Doubnov Fund, Rita-Print, 1997.

[514]. Massa, Isaac. *A Brief Report on Moscovia*. Moscow, 1937.

[514:1]. Matveyenko, V. A., and L. I. Shchegoleva. *The Chronicle of George the Coenobite*. Russian text, comments, indications. Moscow, Bogorodskiy Pechatnik, 2000.

[515]. Matvievsckaya, G. P. *Albrecht Dürer the Scientist. 1471-1528*. A series of scientist biographies. Moscow, The USSR AS, Nauka, 1987.

[516]. Matvievsckaya, G. P. *As-Sufi*. In *Historical and Astronomical Research* (Moscow, Nauka), Issue 16

(1983): 93-138.

[517]. Matuzova, V. I. *Mediaeval English Sources*. Moscow, Nauka, 1979.

[518]. Vlastar, Matthew. *Collection of Rules Devised by Holy Fathers*. Balakhna, P. A. Ovchinnikov, The F. P. Volkov typography, 1908.

[519]. Smirnov B. L., editor and translator. *The Mahabharata*. Vols. 1-8. Tashkent, the Turkmenian SSR Academy of Sciences, 1955-1972. Vol. 1: two poems from the III book – *Nala and Savitri (The Greatness of Marital Virtue)* (2nd edition 1959); Vol. 2 – *The Bhagavad Gita* (1956); Vol. 3: *The Highlander* (1957); Vol.

4: *The Conversation of Markandhea* (1958); Vol. 5: *Mokshadharmā* (1961); Vol. 6: *A Journey Through the Treasuries* (1962); Vol. 7: *The Book of Bheeshma and the Book of the Battle of Maces* (1963); Vol. 8: *Attacking the Sleeping Ones* (1972). English edition: Chicago-London, Chicago University Press, 1973. Also see the edition by the Jaico Publishing House, Bombay, 1976.

[519:1]. *The Mahabharata. Narayana.* Issue V, book 2. 2nd edition. Translated and edited by Academician B. L. Smirnov of the Turkmenian SSR Academy of Sciences. The TSSR AS, Ashkhabad,

Ylym, 1984.

[519:2]. *The Mahabharata. The Four Tales*. Translated from Sanskrit by S. Lipkin. Interlineary by O. Volkova. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1969.

[520]. *The Mahabharata. The Ramayana*. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1974. Also see: *The Ramayana*. Madras, Periyar Self-Respect Propaganda Institution, 1972.

[520:1]. *The Mahabharata. Book 2. Sabhaparva, or the Book of the Congregation*. Translated from Sanskrit by V. I. Kalyanov. The *Literary Monuments* series.

Moscow-Leningrad, Nauka, 1962.

[520:2]. *The Mahabharata. Book 4. Virataparva, or the Book of Virata.* Translated from Sanskrit by V. I. Kalyanov. The *Literary Monuments* series. Leningrad, Nauka, 1967.

[520:3]. *The Mahabharata. Book 5. Udhiyogaparva, or the Book of Diligence.* Translated from Sanskrit by V. I. Kalyanov. The *Literary Monuments* series. Leningrad, Nauka, 1976.

[520:4]. *The Bhagavad Gita as it is.* Complete edition with authentic Sanskrit texts, Russian transliteration, word-for-word and literary translation, and extensive

commentaries. The Bhaktivedanta Book Trust. Moscow-Leningrad-Calcutta-Bombay-New Delhi, 1984. The first English edition of the Bhagavad Gita: Wilkins. *The Bhagavad Gita, or dialogs of Kreesna and Arjoon*. London, 1785. See also: Etgerton, F. *Bhagavad Gita*, Vols. 1-2. Harvard University Press, 1946 (with transcr. of the text).

[520:5]. *The Mahabharata. Book 7. Dronaparva, or the Book of Drona*. Translated from Sanskrit by V. I. Kalyanov. The *Literary Monuments* series. St. Petersburg, Nauka, 1993.

[520:6]. *The Mahabharata. Book 3. The Book of the Woods*

(*Aryanyakaparva*). Translated from Sanskrit by A. V. Vasilkov and S. L. Neveleva. The *Monuments of Oriental Literature* series. LXXX, 1987.

[520:7]. *The Burning of the Snakes. A Tale from the Indian Epic, the Mahabharata*. Translated by V. I. Kalyanov. Moscow, Goslitizdat, 1958.

[521]. Mezentsev, M. T. *The Fate of Novels (Concerning the Discussion on the "Quiet flows the Don" Authorship Problem)*. Samara, P.I.S. Press, 1994.

[522]. Medvedev, R. *Who Wrote the "Quiet flows the Don"?* Paris,

Christian Bourg, 1975.

[522:1]. Meyer, M. S., A. F. Deribas, and N. B. Shuvalova. *Turkey. The Book of Wanderings*. A historical guidebook. Project author S. M. Bourygin. Moscow, Veche, Khartia, 2000.

[523]. Melnikova, E. A. *Ancient Scandinavian Geographical Works*. Moscow, Nauka, 1986.

[524]. *Memoirs of Margaret de Valois*. Translated by I. V. Shevlyagina. Introduction and comments by S. L. Pleshkova. French original: *Mémoires de Marguerite de Valois*. Paris, The Library of P. Jannet, MDCCCLVIII. Moscow University

Press, 1995.

- [525]. *Methods of Studying the Oldest Sources on the History of the USSR Nations*. Collected articles. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.
- [526]. *Methodical Research of Absolute Geochronology. Report Theses of the 3rd Methodical Symposium of 1976*. Moscow, USSR AS Press, 1976.
- [527]. Meshchersky, N. A. *History of the Literary Russian Language*. Leningrad, 1981.
- [528]. Miceletti, Emma. *Domenico Ghirlandio*. Moscow, Slovo, 1996. Italian original: Italy, Scala, Istituto

Fotografico Editoriale, 1995.

[529]. Miller, G. F. *Selected Oeuvres on Russian History*. The *Monuments of Historical Thought* series. Moscow, Nauka, RAS, 1996.

[530]. *The World of the Bible*. Magazine. 1993/1(1). Published by the Russian Society of Bible Studies.

[531]. *The World of Geography. Geography and the Geographers. The Environment*. Moscow, Mysl, 1984.

[532]. Meletinsky, E. M., ed. *Dictionary of Mythology*. Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia, 1991.

[533]. *Myths of the World. An*

Encyclopaedia. Vols. 1 and 2.

Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia, 1980 (Vol. 1) and 1981 (Vol. 2).

[534]. Mikhailov, A. A. *The Eclipse Theory*. Moscow, Gostekhteorizdat, 1954.

[535]. Mikhailov, A. A. *This Peculiar Radiocarbon Method*. In *Science and Technology*, No. 8 (1983): 31-32.

[536]. Mokeyev, G. A. *Mozhaysk – A Holy Town for the Russians*. Moscow, Kedr, 1992.

[537]. Mokretsova, I. P., and V. L. Romanova. *French Miniature Illustrations of the XIII century in Soviet Publications. 1270-1300*.

Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1984.

[537:1]. Moleva, N. M. *True Muscovite Stories. A Hundred Addresses of Russian History and Culture*. To the 850-year anniversary of Moscow. Moscow, Znaniye, 1997.

[538]. Mommsen, T. *The History of Rome*. Moscow, 1936.

[539]. Mommsen, T. *The History of Rome*. Vol. 3. Moscow, Ogiz, 1941. English edition: London, Macmillan & Co, 1913.

[540]. Mongayt, A. L. *The Writing upon the Stone*. Moscow, Znaniye, 1969.

[541]. *Mongolian Sources Related to Dayan-Khan*. A compilation.

Moscow, Nauka, 1986

[541:1]. Mordovtsev, D. L. *Collected works*. Vols. 1-14. Moscow, Terra, 1995.

[542]. Morozov, N. A. *The Revelation in Thunder and Storm. History of the Apocalypse*. Moscow, 1907. 2nd edition Moscow, 1910. English translation: Northfield, Minnesota, 1941.

[543]. Morozov, N. A. *The History of the Biblical Prophecies and their Literary Characteristics. The Prophets*. Moscow, the I. D. Sytin Society Typography, 1914.

[544]. Morozov, N. A. *Christ. History*

of Humanity in the Light of Natural Scientific Studies. Vols. 1-7.

Moscow-Leningrad, Gosizdat, 1924-1932. Vol. 1: 1924 (2nd edition 1927), Vol. 2: 1926, Vol. 3: 1927, Vol. 4: 1928, Vol. 5: 1929, Vol. 6: 1930, Vol. 7: 1932. The first volume was published twice: in 1924 and 1927. Kraft Publications in Moscow made a reprint of all seven volumes in 1998.

[545]. Morozov, N. A. *An Astronomical Revolution in Historical Science*. The *Novy Mir (New World)* magazine, No. 4 (1925): 133-143. In reference to the article by Prof. N. M. Nikolsky.

[546]. Morozov, N. A. *Linguistic Ranges*. The AS Newsletter, Department of Russian Language and Literature. Books 1-4, Vol. XX, 1915.

[547]. Morozov, N. A. *On Russian History*. The manuscript of the 8th volume of the work *Christ*. Moscow, the RAS Archive. Published in Moscow by Kraft and Lean in the end of the year 2000, as *A New Point of View on Russian History*.

[547:1]. Morozov, N.A. *The Asian Christs. (History of Humanity in the Light of Natural Scientific Studies)*. Vol. 9 of the work titled *Christ*. Moscow, Kraft+ Ltd., 2003.

[547:2]. Morozov, N.A. *The Mirages of Historical Wastelands between Tigris and Euphrates. (History of Humanity in the Light of Natural Scientific Studies)*. Vol. 10 of the work titled *Christ*. Moscow, Kraft+ Ltd., 2002.

[548]. Fomenko A. T., and L. E. Morozova. *Quantitative Methods in Macro-Textology (with Artefacts of the XVI-XVII "Age of Troubles" Used as Examples)*. Complex methods in the study of historical processes. Moscow, the USSR Institute of History, Academy of Sciences, 1987. 163-181.

[549]. *Moscow*. An album. Moscow,

Avrora Press; St. Petersburg, 1996.

[550]. *Illustrated History of Moscow*.

Vol. 1. From the dawn of time until 1917. Moscow, Mysl, 1985.

[551]. *Moscow and the Moscow Oblast. City Plan. Topographical Map.*

1:200000. 3rd edition. Moscow, The Military Typography Headquarters Department, 1998.

[552]. *The Moscow Kremlin.*

Arkhangelsky Cathedral. Moscow, The Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture, 1995.

[553]. *The Moscow Kremlin.*

Ouspensky Cathedral. Moscow, The

Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture, 1995.

[554]. *The Moscow Chronicler.*

Compilation. Issue 1. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1988.

[555]. *The Moscow Oblast Museum of History in Istra. A Guide-book.*

Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1989.

[556]. *The Andrei Rublev Museum.* A

brochure. Published by the Central Andrei Rublev Museum of Ancient Russian Culture and Art in Moscow, 10, Andronyevskaya Square. n.d.

[557]. Mouravyev, M. V. *Novgorod the*

Great. A Historical Account and Guidebook. Leningrad: The State Historical Material Culture Academy Art Edition Popularization Committee, n.d.

[558]. Mouravyev, S. *History of the First Four Centuries of Christianity.* St. Petersburg, 1866.

[559]. Murad, Aji. *The Polovtsy Field Wormwood.* Moscow, Pik-Kontekst, 1994

[560]. Murad, Aji. *Europe, the Turkomans and the Great Steppe.* Moscow, Mysl, 1998

[561]. Mouratov, K. I. *Peasant War Led by E. I. Pougachev.* Moscow,

Prosveshchenie, 1980.

[562]. Mylnikov, A. S. *A Picture of a Slavic World as Viewed from the Eastern Europe. Ethnogenetic Legends, Conjectures, and Proto-Hypotheses of the XVI – Early XVIII century*. St. Petersburg, The Petersburg Oriental Studies Centre, 1996.

[563]. Mylnikov, A. S. *The Legend of the Russian Prince (Russo-Slavic Relations of the XVIII century in the World of Folk Culture)*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1987.

[564]. Malory, Thomas. *Le Morte d'Arthur*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974. English original taken from *The*

Works of Sir Thomas Malory edited by E. Vinaver, Oxford, 1947.

- [565]. Najip, E. N. *A Comparative Historical Dictionary of the XIV century Turkic Languages*. Book I. Moscow, 1979.
- [566]. *The Land of Smolensk*. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1971.
- [567]. Takeshi, Nagata. *The Magnetic Field of the Earth in the Past*. In *Nauka i Chelovechestvo (Science and Humanity)*. 1965 annual edition. Moscow, Znaniye. 169-175.
- [568]. Nazarevskiy, V. V. *Selected Fragments of Muscovite History. 1147-1913*. Moscow, Svarog, 1996.

[569]. Vyacheslav (Savinykh). *Concise History of the Andronicus Monastery*. Moscow, The Sudarium Temple of the Andronicus Monastery, 1999.

[570]. *The Scientific Research Museum of Architecture*. Moscow, 1962.

[571]. Neugebauer, O. *The Exact Sciences in Antiquity*. Moscow, Nauka, 1968. English edition in the series *Acta Historica Scientiarum Naturalism et Medicinalium*. Vol. 9. Copenhagen, 1957. New York, Harper & Bros., 1962.

[572]. Neuhardt, A. A., and I. A. Shishova. *The Seven Wonders of the Ancient World*. The USSR AS, the

Leningrad Department of the History Institute. Moscow-Leningrad, Nauka, 1966.

- [573]. Leping, A. A., and N. P. Strakhova, eds. *German-Russian Dictionary*. 80,000 words. Moscow, The State National and International Dictionary Publications, 1958.
- [574]. Nemirovskiy, A. I. *The Etruscans. From Myth to History*. Moscow, Nauka, 1983.
- [575]. Nemirovskiy, E. L. *The Literary World from the Dawn of History until the Early XX century*. Moscow, Kniga, 1986.
- [576]. Nemoyevskiy, Andrei. *Jesus the*

God. Petersburg, State Publishing House, 1920.

[577]. Nennius. *History of the Brits*.

From: Geoffrey of Monmouth.

History of the Brits. The Life of Merlin. Moscow, Nauka, 1984.

English edition: Nennius. *Historia Brittonum*. Galfridus Monemutensis (Geoffrey of Monmouth). *Historia Britonum. Vita Merlini. Six old English Chronicles*. Edited by J.A.Giles. London, 1848.

[577:1]. Nersesyan, L. V. *Dionysius the Icon Master and the Murals of the Feropontov Monastery*. Moscow, Severniy Palomnik, 2002.

[578]. Nechvolodov, A. *Tales of the*

Russian Land. Books 1 and 2.
Moscow, Svarog, 1997. A new
edition of the books published by the
State Typography of St. Petersburg in
1913.

[579]. Niese, B. *A Description of the
Roman History and Source Studies*.
German edition: *Grundriss der
römischen Geschichte nebst
Quellenkunde*. St. Petersburg, 1908.
German edition: Munich, 1923.

[579:1]. Nikerov, V. A. *History as an
Exact Science*. (Based on the
materials of A. T. Fomenko and G. V.
Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology*).
Moscow, Ecmo-Press, Yauza, 2002.

[580]. Nikolayev, D. *The Weapon that*

Failed to Save Byzantium. In Tekhnika i Nauka (Science and Technology), No. 9 (1983): 29-36.

[581]. Nikolayeva, T. V. *The Ancient Zvenigorod*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1978.

[582]. *Nikolai Aleksandrovich Morozov. In Bibliography of the Scientists of the USSR*. Moscow, Nauka, 1981.

[583]. *Nikolai Aleksandrovich Morozov, the Encyclopaedist Scientist. A collection of articles*. Moscow, Nauka, 1982.

[584]. *Nikolai Aleksandrovich Morozov. Biographical Stages and*

Activities. The USSR AS Courier, Nos. 7 and 8 (1944).

[585]. Nikolskiy, N. M. *An Astronomical Revolution in Historical Science. The Novy Mir (New World) magazine*, Vol. 1 (1925): 157-175. (In re. N. Morozov's oeuvre *Christ*. Leningrad, 1924.)

[586]. Nikonov, V. A. *Name and Society*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974.

[586:1]. *A Collection of Chronicles titled the Patriarchal, or the Nikon Chronicle*. The Complete Collection of Russian Chronicles (CCRC), Vols. IX-XIV. Moscow, Yazyki Russkoi Kultury, 2000.

[587]. *Novellino*. Literary monuments.
Moscow, Nauka, 1984.

[588]. Novozhilov, N. I. *The Meteorological Works of N. A. Morozov*. The *Priroda (Nature)* magazine, No. 10 (1954).

[589]. *The New Testament of Our Lord Jesus Christ*. Brussels, Life with God, 1965.

[590]. Nosovskiyy, G. V. *Certain Statistical Methods of Researching Historical Sources, and Examples of their Application*. Source study methods of Russian social thinking; historical studies of the feudal epoch. A collection of academic publications. Moscow, The USSR

History Institute, AS, 1989. 181-196.

[591]. Nosovskiy, G. V. *The Beginning of Our Era and the Julian Calendar*. Information processes and systems. Scientific and technological information, Series 2. Moscow, the National Science and Technology Information Institute, No. 5 (1992): 7-18.

[592]. Nosovskiy, G. V. *The True Dating of the Famous First Oecumenical Counsel and the Real Beginning of the AD Era*. An appendix of A. T. Fomenko's *Global Chronology*. Moscow, The MSU Mathematical Mechanics Department, 1993. 288-394.

- [593]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Determination of Original Structures in Intermixed Sequences*. Works of a vector and tensor analysis seminar. Moscow, MSU Press, Issue 22 (1985): 119-131.
- [594]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Some Methods and Results of Intermixed Sequence Analysis*. Works of a vector and tensor analysis seminar. Moscow, MSU Press, Issue 23 (1988): 104-121.
- [595]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Determining the Propinquity Quotient and Duplicate*

Identification in Chronological Lists. Report theses of the 5th International Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics Conference. Vilnius, The Lithuanian AS Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Vol. 4 (1989): 111-112.

[596]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Statistical Duplicates in Ordered Lists with Subdivisions. Cybernetic Issues.* Semiotic research. Moscow, Scientific Counsel for the Study of the General Problem of Cybernetics. The USSR AS, 1989. 138-148.

[597]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Duplicate Identification*

in Chronological Lists (The Histogram Method of Related Name Distribution Frequencies). Problems of stochastic model stability. Seminar works. Moscow, The National System Research Institute, 1989. 112-125.

- [598]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Statistical Research of Parallel Occurrences and Biographies in British Chronological and Historical Materials*. Semiotics and Informatics. Moscow, The National System Research Institute, Issue 34 (1994): 205-233.

- [599]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.

Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology and the Concept of the Ancient History of Russia, Britain and Rome. (Facts. Statistics. Hypotheses.)* Vol. 1: *Russia*. Vol. 2: *England, Rome*. Moscow, the MSU Centre of Research and Pre-University Education, 1995. 2nd edition: 1996.

[600]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Mathematical and Statistical Models of Information Distribution in Historical Chronicles*. The Mathematical Issues of Cybernetics. Physical and Mathematical Literature (Moscow, Nauka), Issue 6 (1996): 71-116.

[601]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.

Nosovskiy. *The Empire (Russia, Turkey, China, Europe and Egypt. New Mathematical Chronology of Antiquity)*. Moscow, Faktorial, 1996. Re-editions: 1997, 1998 and 1999.

[602]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Russia and Rome. The Correctness of Our Understanding of Eurasian History*. Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Olimp, 1997. 2nd edition: 1999.

[603]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology of Russia*. Moscow, Faktorial, 1997. Re-editions: 1998 and 1999.

[604]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Mathematical*

Chronology of Biblical Events.

Moscow, Nauka, 1997.

[605]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Biblical Russia*. Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Faktorial, 1998.

[606]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Horde-Russia as Reflected in Biblical Books*. Moscow, Anvik, 1998.

[607]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *An Introduction to the New Chronology (Which Century is it Now?)*. Moscow, Kraft and Lean, 1999.

[608]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V.

Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology of Russia, Britain and Rome*. Moscow, Anvik, 1999. A substantially revised and enlarged single-volume edition.

[608:1]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology of Russia, Britain and Rome*. Moscow, Delovoi Express Financial, 2001.

[609]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Biblical Russia. Selected Chapters I. (The Empire of Horde-Russia and the Bible. The New Mathematical Chronology of Antiquity. A History of Biblical Editions and Manuscripts. XI-XII century Events in the New Testament. The Pentateuch)*.

Moscow, Faktorial, 1999.

[610]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History (The New Chronology)*. Moscow, Delovoi Express Financial, 1999.

[611]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Old Criticisms and the New Chronology*. The *Neva* magazine (St. Petersburg), No. 2 (1999): 143158.

[612]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The Biblical Russia. Selected Chapters II. (The Empire of Horde-Russia and the Bible. History of the XIV-XVI century in the Final Chapters of the Books of Kings. XV-*

XVI century History of the Pages of the Books of Esther and Judith.

Reformation Epoch of the XVI-XVII century). Moscow, Faktorial, 2000.

[613]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *A Reconstruction of Global History. The Research of 1999-2000 (The New Chronology).* Moscow, Delovoi Express Financial, 2000.

[613:1]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *The New Chronology of Egypt. The Astronomical Dating of the Ancient Egyptian Monuments. The Research of 2000-2002.* Moscow, Veche, 2002.

[613:2]. Fomenko, A. T., and

Nosovskiy, G. V. *The New Chronology of Egypt. The Astronomical Dating of the Ancient Egyptian Monuments*. 2nd edition, re-worked and expanded. Moscow, Veche, 2003.

[614]. Newton, Robert. *The Crime of Claudius Ptolemy*. Moscow, Nauka, 1985. English original: Baltimore-London, John Hopkins University Press, 1977.

[615]. Olearius, Adam. *A Detailed Account of the Moscovian and Persian Journey of the Holstein Ambassadors in 1633, 1636 and 1639*. Translated from German by P. Barsov. Moscow, 1870.

[616]. Oleynikov, A. *The Geological Clock*. Leningrad, Nedra, 1975.

[617]. Orbini, Mavro. *A Historiographical Book on the Origins of the Names, the Glory and the Expansion of the Slavs. Compiled from many Historical Books through the Office of Marourbin, the Archimandrite of Raguzha*. Translated into Russian from Italian. Typography of St. Petersburg, 1722.

[618]. Orbini, Mavro. *Kingdom of the Slavs*. Sofia, Nauka i Izkustvo, 1983.

[618:1]. Oreshnikov, A. V. *Pre-1547 Russian Coins*. A reprint of the 1896 edition by the State Museum of

History. Russian State Archive of Ancient Acts. Moscow, The Archaeographical Centre, 1996.

[619]. Orlenko, M. I. *Sir Isaac Newton. A Biographical Aperçu*. Donetsk, 1927.

[620]. Orlov, A. S. *Certain Style Characteristics of Russian History Fiction of the XVI-XVII century*. In *Russian Philological News*, Vol. 13, Book 4 (1908): 344-379.

[621]. *The Ostrog Bible (The Bible, or the Books of the Old and the New Covenant, in the Language of the Slavs)*. Ostrog, 1581. Reprinted as *The Ostrog Bible*. The Soviet Culture Fund Commission for the Publication

of Literary Artefacts. Moscow-Leningrad, Slovo-Art, 1988. “The phototypic copy of the 1581 text was supervised by I. V. Dergacheva with references to the copies from the Scientific Library of A. M. Gorky Moscow State University.”

[622]. *National History from the Earliest Days and until 1917*. Encyclopaedia, Vol. 1. Moscow, The Great Russian Encyclopaedia Publications, 1994.

[623]. Bavin, S. P., and G. V. Popov. *The Revelation of St. John as Reflected in the Global Literary Tradition*. The catalogue of an exhibition organized in Moscow by

the Greek Embassy in 1994. A joint publication of the Greek Embassy and the State Library of Russia. Moscow, Indrik, 1995.

[623:1]. A postcard with an Egyptian zodiac. *The Creation Scene*. Egypt, El-Faraana Advertising & Printing, 2000.

[624]. *Historical and Folk Tale Aperçus. From Cheops to Christ*. A compilation. Translated from German. Moscow, 1890. Reprinted by the Moscow Int'l Translator School in 1993.

[625]. Pausanias. *A Description of Hellas, or a Voyage through Greece in II century AD*. Moscow, 1880.

English edition: Pausanius. *Guide to Greece*. Harmondsworth, Penguin, 1979.

[626]. Makarevich, G. V., ed. *The Architectural Monuments of Moscow. The Earthenware Town*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1989-1990.

[627]. Posokhin, M. V., ed. *The Architectural Monuments of Moscow. KitaiGorod*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1982.

[628]. Makarevich, G. V., ed. *The Architectural Monuments of Moscow. White Town*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1989.

[629]. Makarevich, G. V., ed. *The*

Architectural Monuments of Moscow. Zamoskvorechye. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1994.

[630]. *Artefacts of Diplomatic Relations with the Roman Empire.* Vol. 1. St Petersburg, 1851.

[631]. Rybakov, B. A., ed. *Artefacts of the Kulikovo Cycle.* St. Petersburg, RAS, The Institute of Russian History. Blitz, the Russo-Baltic Information Centre, 1998.

[632]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. The XI – Early XII century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1978.

[633]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient*

Russia. The XII century. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1980.

[634]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. The XIII century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1981.

[635]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. The XIV – mid-XV century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1981.

[636]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. Second Half of the XV century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1982.

[637]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. Late XV – Early XVI century.* Moscow,

Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1984.

[638]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. Mid-XVI century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1985.

[639]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. Second Half of the XVI century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1986.

[640]. *Literary Artefacts of Ancient Russia. Late XVI – Early XVII century.* Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1987.

[641]. *Significant Works in Russian Law.* Issue 2. Moscow, 1954.

[642]. *Significant Works in Russian Law.* Issue 3. Moscow, 1955.

- [643]. Pannekuk, A. *The History of Astronomy*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966.
- [644]. Parandowski, J. *Petrarch*. The *Inostrannaya Literatura (Foreign Literature)* magazine, No. 6 (1974). Also see: Parandowski, J. *Petrarca*. Warsaw, 1957.
- [645]. Paradisis, Alexander. *The Life and Labours of Balthazar Cossas (Pope John XXIII)*. Minsk, Belarus, 1980.
- [646]. Pasek. *A Historical Description of Simon's Monastery in Moscow*. Moscow, 1843.
- [647]. Romanenko, A. *The Patriarch Chambers of the Moscow Kremlin*.

Moscow, The Moscow Kremlin State Museum and Reserve for History and Culture, 1994.

[648]. Pahimer, George. *The Story of Michael and Andronicus Palaeologi. The Reign of Michael Palaiologos*. St. Petersburg, 1862.

[648:1]. Pashkov, B. G. *Holy Russia – Russia – The Russian Empire. The Genealogical Tree of the Principal Russian Clans (862-1917)*. Moscow, TsentrKom, 1996.

[649]. *The First Muscovite Princes*. In *Historical Portraits* series. Moscow, Ganna, 1992.

[650]. Perepyolkin, Y. A. *The Coup of*

Amenkhotep IV. Part 1. Books 1 and 2. Moscow, Nauka, 1967.

[651]. *The Correspondence of Ivan the Terrible and Andrei Kurbskiy*. In *Literary Landmarks* series.

Leningrad, Nauka, 1979. 2nd edition: Moscow, Nauka, 1993.

[652]. *The Song of Roland*. International Literature Collection. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1976. English edition by J. M. Dent & Sons, 1972.

[653]. Petrov, A. M. *The Great Silk Route. The Simplest, but Largely Unknown Facts*. Moscow, Vostochnaya Literatura, RAS, 1995.

[654]. Petruchenko, O. *Latin-Russian Dictionary*. Moscow, published by the V. V. Dumnov and the Heirs of Silayev Brothers, 1914. Reprinted by the Graeco-Latin Department of Y. A. Shichalin, 1994.

[654:1]. *The Maritime Voyage of St. Brendan (Navigation Sancti Brendani Abbatis saec X AD)*. St. Petersburg, Azbuka-Klassika, 2002. English translation: *Navigatio Sancti Brendani Abbatis from Early Latin Manuscripts*. Ed., introd. and notes: C. Selmer, Notre Dame, 1959.

[655]. *Plan of the Imperial Capital City of Moscow, Created under the Supervision of Ivan Michurin, the*

Architect, in 1739. The First Geodetic Plan of Moscow. The General Council of Ministers, Department of Geodetics and Cartography (the Cartographer Cooperative). Published together with a calendar for 1989.

[656]. Plano Carpini, G. del. *History of the Mongols.* William of Rubruck. *The Journey to the Oriental Countries. The Book of Marco Polo.* Moscow, Mysl, 1997. See also: *The Journey of William of Rubruck to the Eastern Parts of the World, 1253-55.* Prepared by W. W. Rockhill. 1900.

[657]. Plato. *Collected Works.* Vol. 3.

Moscow, Mysl, 1972. English edition: *The Works of Plato*. Bohn's Classical Library, 1848.

[658]. Pletnyova, S. A. *The Khazars*. Moscow, Nauka, 1976.

[659]. Pleshkova, S. L. *Catherine of Medici. The Black Queen*. Moscow, Moscow University Press, 1994.

[660]. Plutarch. *Comparative Biographies*. Vol. 1: Moscow, USSR AN Press, 1961; Vol. 2: Moscow, USSR AN Press, 1963; Vol. 3: Moscow, Nauka, 1964. English edition: Plutarch. *The Lives of the Noble Graecians and Romans*. In *Great Books of the Western World* series. Vol. 13. Encyclopaedia

Britannica, Inc. Chicago, University of Chicago, 1952 (2nd edition 1990). See also: Plutarch. *Plutarch's Lives*. London, Dilly, 1792.

[661]. Plyukhanova, M. B. *Subjects and Symbols of the Muscovite Kingdom*. St. Petersburg, Akropol, 1995.

[662]. *Kremlin. A Brief Guide*. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1960.

[663]. *The Yearly Chronicle*. Part 1. Text and translation. Moscow-Leningrad, The USSR AN Press, 1950.

[664]. *The Yearly Chronicle*. Published in the *Dawn of the Russian*

Literature series (XI – early XII century). Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1978. 23-277.

[665]. *The Tale of Varlaam and Ioasaph*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1985.

[666]. Likhachev, D. S., ed. *The Tale of the Kulikovo Battle. The Text and the Miniatures of the Authorized Compilation of the XVI century*. Published by the XVI century manuscript kept in the USSR Academy of Sciences Library (The Authorized Compilation of Chronicles, Osterman's Vol. III, sheet 3 – 126 reverse). Leningrad, Aurora, 1984.

[666:1]. Podosinov, A. V., and A. M. Belov. *Lingua Latina. Latin-Russian Dictionary*. About 15,000 words. Moscow, Flinta, Nauka, 2000.

[667]. Pokrovskiy, N. N. *A Voyage in Search of Rare Books*. Moscow, Kniga. 2nd edition, 1988.

[668]. Polak, I. F. *A Course of General Astronomy*. Moscow, Gonti, 1938.

[669]. Polybius. *History in 40 Volumes*. Moscow, 1899.

[670]. *The Complete Symphony of the Canonical Books of the Holy Writ*. St. Petersburg, The Bible For Everybody, 1996.

[671]. *The Complete Collection of*

Russian Chonicles. Vol. 33.
Leningrad, Nauka, 1977.

[672]. *The Complete Collection of Russian Chonicles*. Vol. 35.
Moscow, Nauka, 1980.

[673]. Polo, M. *The Journey*. Translated from French. Leningrad, 1940.

[674]. Poluboyarinova, M. D. *Russians in the Golden Horde*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.

[674:1]. [*Pompeii*]. *Pompeii*. Album. Authors: Filippo Coarelli, Emilio de Albentiis, Maria Paola Guidobaldi, Fabricio Pesando, and Antonio Varone. Moscow, Slovo, 2002. Printed in Italy.

[674:2]. [*Pompeii*]. Nappo, Salvatore. *Pompeii*. Album. From the *World Wonder Atlas* series. Moscow, Bertelsmann Media Moskau, 2001. English original: Salvatore Ciro Nappo. *Pompeii*. White Star, 1998, Vercelli, Italy.

[675]. Popovskiy, M. A. *Time Conquered. A Tale of Nikolai Morozov*. Moscow, Political Literature, 1975.

[676]. *The Portuguese-Russian and Russian-Portuguese Dictionary*. Kiev, Perun, 1999.

[677]. *The Successors of Marco Polo. Voyages of the Westerners into the Countries of the Three Indias*.

Moscow, Nauka, 1968.

[678]. Pospelov, M. *The Benediction of Reverend Sergei*. The *Moskva* magazine, 1990

[679]. Postnikov, A. V. *Maps of the Russian Lands: A Brief Review of the History of Geographical Studies and Cartography of Our Fatherland*. Moscow, Nash Dom – L'Age d'Homme, 1996.

[680]. Postnikov, M. M. *A Critical Research of the Chronology of the Ancient World*. Vols. 1-3. Moscow, Kraft and Lean, 2000. [A. T. Fomenko's remark: This book is a publication of a manuscript of more than 1000 pages written by Doctors

of Physics and Mathematics A. S. Mishchenko and A. T. Fomenko. It was edited by M. M. Postnikov, and came out signed with his name. He acknowledges this fact in the preface to Vol. 1, on page 6, albeit cagily.]

[681]. Fomenko A. T., and M. M. Postnikov. *New Methods of Statistical Analysis of the Narrative and Digital Material of Ancient History*. Moscow, Scientific Counsel for the Study of the General Problem of Cybernetics, USSR AS, 1980. 1-36.

[682]. Fomenko A. T., and M. M. Postnikov. *New Methods of Statistical Analysis of the Narrative*

and Digital Material of Ancient History. Scientific note of the Tartu University, works related to sign symbols. XV, Cultural Typology, Cultural Influence Feedback. Tartu University Press, Release 576 (1982): 24-43.

[683]. Postnikov, M. M. *The Greatest Mystification in the World?* In *Tekhnika i Nauka (Science & Technology)*, 1982, No. 7, pp. 28-33.

[684]. Potin, V. M. *Coins. Treasures. Collections. Numismatic essays*. St. Petersburg, Iskusstvo-SPb, 1993.

[685]. Potin, V. M. *Ancient Russia and the European States of the X-XIII century*. Leningrad, Sovetskiy

Khudozhnik, 1968.

[685:1]. Pope-Hennessy, John. *Fra Angelico*. Album. Moscow, Slovo, 1996. Scala, 1995, Istituto Fotografico Editoriale.

[686]. Pokhlyobkin, V. V. *The Foreign Affairs of the Holy Russia, Russia and the USSR over the 1000 Years in Names, Dates and Facts. A Reference Book*. Moscow, Mezhdunarodnye Otnosheniya, 1992.

[687]. *Merited Academician N. A. Morozov. Memoirs*. Vols. 1 and 2. The USSR Academy of Sciences. Moscow, USSR AS Press, 1962.

[688]. *Orthodox Art and the Savvino-*

Storozhevsky Monastery. Materials of scientific conferences dedicated to the 600th anniversary of the Savvino-Storozhevsky Monastery, 17 December 1997 and 22 September 1998. The Zvenigorod Museum of Architecture, History, and Arts. Zvenigorod, Savva Plus M, 1998.

[689]. Malinovskaya, N., ed. *Prado. Paintings*. Album. Translated from Spanish. Lunwerg Editores. Barcelona-Madrid, 1994. Russian translation: Moscow, MK-Import, 1999.

[690]. *Reverend Joseph Volotsky. The Illuminator*. Published by the Spaso-Preobrazhensky Monastery of

Valaam. Blessed by the Holiest Patriarch of Moscow and the Entire Russia, Alexiy II. Moscow, 1993.

[691]. Priester, E. *A Brief History of Austria*. Moscow, IL, 1952. German edition: *Kurze Geschichte Österreichs*. Vienna, Globus, 1946.

[692]. Prishchepenko, V. N. *The Pages of Russian History*. Vol. 1: 1988. Vol. 2: 2000. Moscow, Profizdat.

[693]. *Problems of Museum Collection Formation and Studies of the State Museum of Religious History*. Leningrad, The RSFSR Ministry of Culture, published by the State Museum of History of Religions, 1990.

- [694]. Procopius of Caesarea. *On the Buildings*. The *Vestnik Drevnei Istorii (Courier of Ancient History)* magazine, No. 14 (1939): 201-298. See also: Procopius of Caesarea. *On the Buildings of Justinian*. London, Palestine Pilgrim Society, 1888.
- [695]. Procopius. *The Gothic War*. Moscow, The USSR AS Press, 1950.
- [696]. Procopius. *The Gothic War. On the Buildings*. Moscow, Arktos, Vika-Press, 1996. See also: Procopius of Caesarea. *Procopius*. Vol. 7. London, William Heinemann; New York, Macmillan & Co. 1914-1940.
- [697]. Procopius of Caesarea. *The*

Persian War. The War with the Vandals. Arcane History. St. Petersburg, Aleteya, 1998.

[698]. Proskouriakov, V. M. *Johannes Gutenberg. The Celebrity Biographies* series. Moscow, the Literary Magazine Union, 1933.

[699]. Prokhorov, G. M. *The Tale of Batu-Khan's Invasion in Lavrenty's Chronicle.* Published as part of *The Russian Literary History Research. XI-XVII centuries.* Leningrad, Nauka, 1974.

[700]. *Book of Psalms.* Moscow, 1657.
(Private collection.)

[701]. *The book of Psalms with*

Appendices. Published in the *Great City of Moscow in the Year 7160 [1652 AD], in the Month of October, on the 1st Day*. New edition: Moscow, The Vvedenskaya Church of St. Trinity Coreligionist Typography, 1867.

[702]. Psellus, Michael. *Chronography*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978. English edition: *The Chronographia of Michael Psellus*. London, Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1953.

[703]. Pskovskiy, Y. P. *Novae and Supernovae*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974.

[704]. Ptolemy, Claudius. *Almagest, or the Mathematical Tractate in Thirteen Volumes*. Translated by I.

N. Veselovskiy. Moscow, Nauka, Fizmatlit, 1998.

[705]. Poisson, A., N. A. Morozov, F. Schwarz, M. Eliade, and K. G. Jung. *The Theory and Symbols of Alchemy. The Great Work*. Kiev, Novy Akropol, Bront Ltd., 1995.

[706]. Mashkov, I. P., ed. *A Guide to Moscow*. Moscow, The Muscovite Architectural Society for the Members of the V Convention of Architects in Moscow, 1913.

[707]. *The Voyage of Columbus. Diaries, Letters, Documents*. Moscow, The State Geographical Literature Press, 1952.

[708]. Putilov, Boris. *Ancient Russia in Personae. Gods, Heroes, People*. St. Petersburg, Azbuka, 1999.

[709]. Pushkin, A. *Collected Works*. Leningrad, The State Fiction Publishers, 1935.

[710]. *Pushkin A. in the Recollections of Contemporaries*. Two volumes. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1974.

[711]. *Pushkin's Memorial Places in Russia. A Guidebook*. Moscow, Profizdat, 1894.

[711:1]. Pylyaev, M. I. *The Old Petersburg. Accounts of the Capital's Past*. A reprint of A. S.

Souvorov's 1889 St. Petersburg edition. Moscow, IKPA, 1990.

- [712]. Lukovich-Pyanovich, Olga. *The Serbs . . . The Oldest of Nations*. Vols. 1-3. Belgrade, Miroslav, 1993-1994.
- [713]. Pietrangeli, Carlo. *Vatican*. From the *Great Museums of the World* series. Moscow, Slovo, 1998. A translation of the Italian edition by Magnus Editioni, Udine, 1996.
- [714]. *Five Centuries of European Drawings*. The drawings of old masters from the former collection of Franz König. The 1.10.1995-21.01.1996 exhibition catalogue. The Russian Federation Ministry of

Culture, The State A. S. Pushkin
Museum of Fine Art. Moscow-Milan,
Leonardo Arte (versions in Russian
and in English).

[715]. *The Radzivillovskaya Chronicle*.
The text. The research. A description
of the miniatures. St. Petersburg,
Glagol; Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1994.

[716]. *The Radzivillovskaya Chronicle*.
The Complete Collection of Russian
Chronicles, Vol. 38. Leningrad,
Nauka, 1989.

[717]. *Radiocarbon*. Collected articles.
Vilnius, 1971.

[718]. *The Imprecision of Radiocarbon
Datings*. The *Priroda (Nature)*

magazine, No. 3 (1990): 117. (*New Scientist*, Vol. 123, No. 1684 (1989): 26).

[719]. Radzig, N. *The Origins of Roman Chronicles*. Moscow University Press, 1903.

[720]. *The Book of Rank. 1457-1598*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966.

[721]. Razoumov, G. A., and M. F. Khasin. *The Drowning cities*. Moscow, Nauka, 1978.

[722]. Wright, J. K. *The Geographical Lore of the Time of the Crusades. A Study in the History of Medieval Science and Tradition in Western Europe*. Moscow, Nauka, 1988.

English original published in New York in 1925.

[722:1]. Reizer, V. I. *The Process of Joan of Arc*. Moscow-Leningrad, Nauka, 1964.

[723]. Fomenko, A. T., and S. T. Rachev. *Volume Functions of Historical Texts and the Amplitude Correlation Principle*. Source study methods of Russian social thinking historical studies of the feudal epoch. A collection of academic publications. Moscow, The USSR History Institute, AS, 1989. 161-180.

[724]. Rashid ad-Din. *History of the Mongols*. St. Petersburg, 1858.

[725]. Renan, J. *The Antichrist*. St. Petersburg, 1907. English edition: *Renan's Antichrist*. The Scott Library, 1899.

[726]. *Rome: Echoes of the Imperial Glory*. Translated from English by T. Azarkovich. The *Extinct Civilizations* series. Moscow, Terra, 1997. Original by Time-Life Books, 1994.

[727]. Rich, V. *Was there a Dark Age?* The *Khimia i Zhizn (Chemistry and Life)* magazine, No. 9 (1983): 84.

[728]. Riesterer, Peter P., and Roswitha Lambelet. *The Egyptian Museum in Cairo*. Cairo, Lehnert & Landrock, Orient Art Publishers, 1980. Russian

edition, 1996.

[729]. Robert of Clari. *The Conquest of Constantinople*. Moscow, Nauka, 1986. English edition: McNeal, E. H. *The Conquest of Constantinople of Robert of Clari*. Translated with introduction and notes by E. Holmes McNeal. New York, 1936. Records of Civilization: Sources and Studies. Vol. XXIII. Reprint: New York, 1964, 1969.

[730]. Rogozina, Z. A. *The Earliest Days of Egyptian History*. Issue 2. Petrograd, A. F. Marx Typography, n.d.

[731]. Rozhdestvenskaya, L. A. *The Novgorod Kremlin. A Guide-book*.

Lenizdat, 1980.

[732]. Rozhitsyn, V. S., and M. P. Zhakov. *The Origins of the Holy Books*. Leningrad, 1925.

[733]. Rozhkov, M. N. *A. Morozov – The Founding Father of the Dimension Number Analysis. The Successes of the Physical Sciences*, Vol. 49, Issue 1 (1953).

[734]. Rozanov, N. *History of the Temple of Our Lady's Birth in Staroye Simonovo, Moscow, Dedicated to its 500th Anniversary (1370-1870)*. Moscow, Synodal Typography on Nikolskaya Street, 1870.

[735]. Romanyuk, S. *From the History of Small Muscovite Streets*. Moscow, 1988.

[735:1]. Romanyuk, S. *From the History of Small Muscovite Streets*. Moscow, Svarog, 2000.

[735:2]. Romanyuk, S. *The Lands of the Muscovite Villages*. Part I. Moscow, Svarog, 2001.

[735:3]. Romanyuk, S. *The Lands of the Muscovite Villages*. Part II. Moscow, Svarog, 1999.

[736]. *The Russian Academy of Sciences. Personae*. Three books. Book 1: 1724-1917. Book 2: 1918-1973. Book 3: 1974-1999. Moscow,

Nauka, 1999.

[737]. Rossovskaya, V. A. *The Calendarian Distance of Ages*. Moscow, Ogiz, 1930.

[738]. *A Guide to the Paschalia for the Seminary Schools*. Moscow, The V. Gautier Typography, 1853. Reprinted in Moscow by Grad Kitezh in 1991.

[739]. Bleskina, O. N., comp. *An Illustrated book of Manuscripts of the USSR AS Library*. Catalogue for an exhibition of illustrated chronicles of the XI-XIX century written with roman letters. Leningrad, The USSR AS Library, 1991.

[740]. *Handwritten and Typeset Books*.

Collected Articles. Moscow, Nauka, 1975.

- [741]. *Manuscripts of the Late XV – early XVI century.* The Kirillo-Belozersk Collection, 275/532. The M. E. Saltykov-Shchedrin Public Library, St. Petersburg.
- [742]. Roumyantsev, A. A. *Methods of Historical Analysis in the Works of Nikolai Aleksandrovich Morozov.* The Scientific Institute of P. F. Lesgaft Notes, Vol. 10. Leningrad, 1924.
- [743]. Roumyantsev, A. A. *The Death and the Resurrection of the Saviour.* Moscow, Atheist, 1930.

[744]. Roumyantsev, N. V. *Orthodox Feasts*. Moscow, Ogiz, 1936.

[745]. *The Russian Bible. The Bible of 1499 and the Synodal Translation of the Bible*. Illustrated. 10 Vols. The Biblical Museum, 1992. Publishing department of the Muscovite Patriarchy, Moscow, 1992 (The Gennadievskaya Bible). Only the following volumes came out before the beginning of 2002: Vol. 4 (Book of Psalms), Vols. 7 and 8 (The New Testament), and Vol. 9 (Appendices, scientific descriptions). Vols. 7 and 8 were published by the Moscow Patriarchy in 1992; Vols. 4 and 9 published by the Novospassky

Monastery, Moscow, 1997 (Vol. 4),
1998 (Vol. 9).

[746]. *The Pioneer of Russian Printing. A Brief Biography. Ivan Fedorov's "Alphabet" Published in 1578.* In collaboration with Translesizdat Ltd. Blessed by the Editing Board of the Muscovite Patriarchy. Moscow, Spolokhi, 2000.

[747]. *Russian Chronographer of 1512.* The Complete Collection of Russian Chronicles, Vol. 22. St. Petersburg, 1911.

[748]. Knyazevskaya, T. B., comp. *Russian Spiritual Chivalry.* Collected articles. Moscow, Nauka, 1996.

[749]. Leyn, K., ed. *Russian-German Dictionary*. 11th stereotype edition. Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1991.

[750]. Dmitriev, N. K., ed. *Russian-Tartarian Dictionary*. The USSR AS, Kazan Affiliate of the Language, Literature and History Institute. Kazan, Tatknigoizdat, 1955.

[750:1]. Mustaioki, A., and E. Nikkilä. *Russian-Finnish Didactic Dictionary*. Abt. 12,500 words. Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1982.

[751]. Shcherba, L. V., and M. R. Matousevich. *Russian-French Dictionary*. 9th stereotype edition. Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia, 1969.

- [752]. Rybakov, B. A. *From the History of Ancient Russia and Its Culture*. Moscow, MSU Press, 1984.
- [753]. Rybakov, B. A. *The Kiev Russia and Russian Principalities. The XII-XIII century*. Moscow, Nauka, 1982, 1988.
- [754]. Rybakov, B. A. *The Kiev Russia and Russian Principalities*. Moscow, Nauka, 1986.
- [755]. Rybnikov, K. A. *History of Mathematics*. Moscow, MSU Press, 1974.
- [756]. Ryabtsevitch, V. N. *What the Coins Tell Us*. Minsk, Narodnaya Asveta, 1977.

[757]. Savelyev, E. P. *Cossacks and their History*. Vols. 1 and 2.

Vladikavkaz, 1991. A reprint of E. Savelyev's *Ancient History of the Cossacks*. Novocherkassk, 1915.

[758]. Savelyeva, E. A. *Olaus Magnus and his "History of the Northern Peoples."* Leningrad, Nauka, 1983.

[Olaus Magnus. *Historia de gentibus septentrionalibus*, 1555].

[759]. *Prince Obolensky's Almanach*. Part 1, Sections 1-7. N.p., 1866.

[760]. Suetonius Caius Tranquillius. *History of the Twelve Caesars*.

Moscow, Nauka, 1966. See also the English edition: New York, AMS Press, 1967; as well as the one titled

The Twelve Caesars. London, Folio Society, 1964.

[760:1]. *Collected Historical and Cultural Monuments of the Tatarstan Republic. Vol. 1. Administrative regions.* Kazan, Master Line, 1999.

[761]. *The General Catalogue of Slavic and Russian Handwritten Books Kept in USSR: The XI-XIII century.* Moscow, 1984.

[762]. *St. Stephen of Perm. The Old Russian Tales of Famous People, Places and Events* series. Article, text, translation from Old Russian, commentary. St. Petersburg, Glagol, 1995.

[763]. *Holy Relics of Old Moscow*.
Russian National Art Library.
Moscow, Nikos, Kontakt, 1993.

[763:1]. Stogov, Ilya, comp. *Holy Writings of the Mayans: Popol-Vukh, Rabinal-Achi*. Translated by R. V. Kinzhalov. With *The Report of Yucatan Affairs* by Brother Diego de Landa attached, translated by Y. V. Knorozov. The *Alexandrian Library* series. St. Petersburg, Amphora, 2000.

[764]. Semashko, I. I. *100 Great Women*. Moscow, Veche, 1999.

[765]. Sunderland, I. T. *Holy Books as Regarded by Science*. Gomel, Gomelskiy Rabochiy Western

Regional, 1925.

[766]. Sergeyev, V. S. *The History of Ancient Greece*. Moscow-Leningrad, Ogiz, 1934.

[767]. Sergeyev, V. S. *Essays on the History of the Ancient Rome*. Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Ogiz, 1938.

[768]. Sizov, S. *Another Account of the Three "Unidentified" Sepulchres of the Arkhangelsky Cathedral of the Moscow Kremlin. Materials and Research*. Iskusstvo (Moscow), No. 1 (1973).

[768:1]. Shevchenko, V. F., ed. *Simbirsk and its Past. An Anthology of Texts on Local History*. Oulianovsk,

Culture Studies Lab, 1993. The compilation includes the book by M. F. Superanskiy titled *Simbirsk and its Past (1648-1898). A Historical Account*, among others. Simbirsk, The Simbirsk Regional Scientific Archive Commission, The O. V. Mourakhovskaya Typography, 1899.

[769]. Sinelnikov, Vyacheslav (Rev. V. Sinelnikov). *The Shroud of Turin at Dawn of the New Era*. Moscow, Sretensky Friary, 2000.

[769:1]. Sinha, N. K., Banerjee, A. C. *History of India*. Moscow, Inostrannaya Literatura, 1954. English original: Calcutta, 1952.

[770]. Sipovskiy, V. D. *Native*

Antiquity: History of Russia in Accounts and Pictures. Vol. 1: IX-XVI century. St. Petersburg, The V. F. Demakov Typography, 1879, 1888. Vol. 2: XIV-XVII century. St. Petersburg, D. D. Poluboyarinov Publishing House, 1904. Reprinted: Moscow, Sovremennik, 1993.

[771]. *The Tale of the Mamay Battle*. Facsimile edition. Moscow, Sovetskaya Rossiya, 1980.

[772]. *A Tale of the Lord's Passion*. Part of the Russian handwritten collection of Christian works in Church Slavonic. Private collection. The XVIII-XIX century.

[772:1]. *The Scythians, the Khazars*

and the Slavs. Ancient Russia. To the Centenary since the Birth of M. I. Artamonov. Report theses for the international scientific conference. St. Petersburg, State Hermitage, the State University of St. Petersburg, the RAS Institute of Material Culture History.

[773]. Skornyakova, Natalya. *Old Moscow. Engravings and Lithographs of the XVI-XIX Century from the Collection of the State Museum of History.* Moscow, Galart, 1996.

[774]. Skromnenko, S. (Stroev, S. M.) *The Inveracity of the Ancient Russian History and the Error of the Opinions Deeming Russian*

Chronicles Ancient. St. Petersburg, 1834.

- [775]. Skrynnikov, R. G. *The Reign of Terror.* St. Petersburg, Nauka, 1992.
- [776]. Skrynnikov, R. G. *Ivan the Terrible.* Moscow, Nauka, 1975. The 2nd edition came out in 1983.
- [777]. Skrynnikov, R. G. *Boris Godunov.* Moscow, Nauka, 1983.
- [778]. Skrynnikov, R. G. *The State and the Church in Russia. The XIV-XVI Century. Eminent Figures in the Russian Church.* Novosibirsk, Nauka, Siberian Affiliate, 1991.
- [779]. Skrynnikov, R. G. *The Tragedy of Novgorod.* Moscow, Sabashnikov,

1994.

- [780]. Skrynnikov, R. G. *Russia before the "Age of Turmoil."* Moscow, Mysl, 1981.
- [781]. *The Slavic Mythology. An Encyclopaedic Dictionary.* Moscow, Ellis Luck, 1995.
- [781:0]. Tsepkov, A., comp. *The Slavic Chronicles.* St. Petersburg, Glagol, 1996.
- [781:1]. *A Dictionary of Russian Don Dialects, Vols. 1 and 2.* Rostov-on-Don, Rostov University Press, 1991.
- [782]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.* Edition 1. Moscow, Nauka, 1975.

- [783]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 2. Moscow, Nauka.
- [784]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 3. Moscow, Nauka.
- [785]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 5. Moscow, Nauka.
- [786]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 6. Moscow, Nauka, 1979.
- [787]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 7. Moscow, Nauka, 1980.
- [788]. *Dictionary of the Russian*

Language in the XI-XVII centuries.
Edition 8. Moscow, Nauka.

[789]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 11. Moscow, Nauka, 1986.

[790]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 13. Moscow, Nauka, 1987.

[791]. *Dictionary of the Russian Language in the XI-XVII centuries.*
Edition 19. Moscow, Nauka.

[792]. Smirnov, A. P. *The Scythians.*
The USSR AS Institute of
Archaeology. Moscow, Nauka, 1966.

[793]. Smirnov, F. *Christian Liturgy in the First Three Centuries.* Kiev,

1874.

- [794]. Soboleva, N. A. *Russian Seals*. Moscow, Nauka, 1991.
- [795]. *A Collection of State Edicts and Covenants*. Moscow, 1894.
- [796]. *The Soviet Encyclopaedic Dictionary*. Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia, 1979.
- [797]. *The Soviet Encyclopaedic Dictionary*. Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia, 1984.
- [797:1]. *The Great Treasures of the World*. Gianni Guadalupi, ed. Moscow, Astrel, AST, 2001. Italian original: *I grandi tesori – l'arte orafa dall' antico egitto all XX*

secolo. Edizioni White Star, 1998.

[798]. Solovyov, V. *Collected Works*.
Vol. 6. St. Petersburg, 1898.

[799]. Solovyov, S. M. *Collected Works*. Book 4, Vols. 7-8. Moscow, Mysl, 1989.

[800]. Solovyov, S. M. *Collected Works*. Book 6. Moscow, Mysl, 1991.

[800:1]. Solovyov, S. M. *The History of the Ancient Russia*. Moscow, Prosveshchenie, 1992.

[801]. Solonar, P. *Most Probably Fiction...* The *Tekhnika i Nauka* magazine, No. 4 (1983): 28-32.

[802]. *The Reports of the Imperial*

Orthodox Society of Palestine. April
1894. St. Petersburg, 1894.

[803]. Palamarchuk, Pyotr, comp.
*Fourty Times Fourty. A Concise
Illustrated History of All the
Churches in Moscow*. 4 volumes.
Moscow, Kniga i Biznes Ltd., Krom
Ltd., 1995.

[804]. Sotnikova, M. P. *The Oldest
Russian Coins of the X-XI century.
Catalogue and Study*. Moscow,
Banki i Birzhi, 1995.

[805]. *The Spaso-Andronikov
Monastery. A scheme. The Central
Andrey Roublyov Museum of Ancient
Russian Culture and Art*. Moscow,
MO Sintez, 1989.

- [806]. Spasskiy, I. G. *The Russian Monetary System*. Leningrad, Avrora, 1970.
- [807]. Spasskiy, I. G. *The Russian "Yefimki."* *A Study and a Catalogue*. Novosibirsk, Nauka, Siberian Affiliation, 1988.
- [808]. Speranskiy, M. N. *Cryptography in Southern Slavic and Russian Literary Artefacts*. Published in the *Encyclopaedia of Slavic Philology* series. Leningrad, 1929.
- [808:1]. Spiridonov, A. M., and O. A. Yarovoy. *The Valaam Monastery: from Apostle Andrew to Hegumen Innocent (Historical Essays of the Valaam Monastery)*. Moscow,

Prometei, 1991.

[809]. Spirina, L. M. *The Treasures of the Sergiev Posad State Reserve Museum of Art and History. Ancient Russian Arts and Crafts.* Nizhny Novgorod, Nizhpoligraf, n.d.

[810]. *Contentious Issues of Native History of the XI-XVIII century.* Report theses and speeches of the first readings dedicated to the memory of A. A. Zimin. 13-18 May, 1990. Moscow, The USSR AS, Moscow State Institute of Historical and Archival Science, 1990.

[811]. Brouyevich, N. G., ed. *220 Years of the USSR Academy of Sciences. 1725-1945.* Moscow-Leningrad, The

USSR AS Press, 1945.

- [812]. *Mediaeval Decorative Stitching. Byzantium, the Balkans, Russia.* Catalogue of an exhibition. The XVIII Int'l Congress of Byzantine Scholars. Moscow, 8-15 August, 1991. Moscow, The USSR Ministry of Culture. State Museums of the Moscow Kremlin, 1991.
- [813]. Sobolev, N. N., ed. *The Old Moscow.* Published by the Commission for the Studies of Old Moscow of the Imperial Archaeological Society of Russia. Issues 1, 2. Moscow, 1914 (Reprinted: Moscow, Stolitsa, 1993).

[814]. *A Dictionary of Old Slavic (by*

the X-XI century Manuscripts).

Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1994.

[815]. Starostin, E. V. *Russian History in Foreign Archives*. Moscow, Vysshaya Shkola, 1994.

[815:1]. Stelletsy, I. Y. *In Search of the Library of Ivan the Terrible*. The *Mysteries of Russian History* series. Moscow, Sampo, 1999.

[816]. Stepanov, N. V. *The New Style and the Orthodox Paschalia*. Moscow, 1907.

[817]. Stepanov, N. V. *The Calendarian and Chronological Reference Book (for the Solution of Chronographical Time Problems)*. Moscow, Synodal

typography, 1915.

[817:1]. Pletneva, S. A., volume ed. *The Eurasian Steppes in the Middle Ages*. Collected works. In the *USSR Archaeology* series. B. A. Rybakov, general ed. Moscow, Nauka, 1981.

[818]. Stingl, Miloslav. *Mysteries of the Indian Pyramids*. Transl. from Czech by I. O. Malevich. Moscow, Progress, 1982.

[819]. Strabo. *Geography*. Moscow, Ladomir, 1994. English edition: Jones, H.L. *The Geography of Strabo. With an English translation. I-VIII*. London, 1917-1932.

[820]. *Builders of the Burial Mounds*

and Dwellers of the Caves. The Extinct Civilizations encyclopaedia. Moscow, Terra, 1998. Translated from English by E. Krasoulin. Original edition: Time-Life Books BV, 1992.

[821]. Struyck, D. J. *A Brief Account of the History of Mathematics*. Moscow, Nauka, 1969.

[821:1]. Suzdalev, V. E. *Kolomenskoye – “Memory for Ages.”* Moscow, Praktik-A, 1993.

[822]. Sukina, L. B. *History of Esther in the Russian Cultrure of the Second Half of the XVII century*. Part of the compilation: Melnik, A. G., ed. *History and Culture of the land of*

Rostov. 1998. Collected essays.

Rostov, The Rostov Kremlin State
Museum and Reserve, 1999.

[823]. Suleimanov, Olzhas. *Az and Ya*.
Alma-Ata, Zhazushy, 1975.

[823:1]. Sukhoroukov, Alexander. *From
the History of Cards. The Cards
Don't Lie! The Bridge in Russia*
magazine, No. 11 (18) (2002), pp. 78-
80. Moscow, Minuvsheye.

[824]. Sytin, P. V. *From the History of
Russian Streets*. Moscow,
Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1958.

[825]. Sytin, P. V. *The Toponymy of
Russian Streets*. Moscow, 1959.

[826]. Samuels, Ruth. *Following the*

Paths of Hebraic History. Moscow, Art-Business-Centre, 1993.

[827]. Tabov, Jordan. *The Decline of Old Bulgaria*. Sofia, Morang, 1997. Russian transl.: Moscow, Kraft and Lean, 2000.

[828]. Tabov, Jordan. *The New Chronology of the Balkans. The Old Bulgaria*. Sofia, PCM-1, 2000.

[828:1]. Tabov, Jordan. *When did the Kiev Russia Become Baptized?* St. Petersburg, Neva. Moscow, Olma, 2003.

[829]. Rakhmanliev, R., comp. *Tamerlane. The Epoch. The Person. The Actions*. Collected works.

Moscow, Gourash, 1992.

[830]. Tantlevskiy, I. R. *History and Ideology of the Qumran Community*. St. Petersburg, the RAS Institute of Oriental Studies, 1994.

[830:1]. Tate, Georges. *The Crusades*. Moscow, Olimp, Astrel, Ast, 2003.

[831]. *Tartarian-Russian Didactic Dictionary*. Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1992.

[832]. Tatishchev, V. N. *Collected Works in Eight Volumes*. Moscow, Ladomir, 1994-1996.

[833]. Tacitus, Cornelius. *Collected Works*. Vols. I, II. Leningrad, Nauka, 1969. English ed.: *The Works of*

Tacitus. London, Cornelii Taciti
Historiarum libri qui supersunt.
Published by Dr. Carl Heraeus. 4th
ed.: Leipzig, G. Teubner, 1885.

[834]. *The Works of Maxim the
Confessor*. The œuvres of the Holy
Fathers in Russian translation. Vol.
69. The Moscow Seminary Academy,
1915.

[835]. *The Works of Nicephor, the
Archbishop of Constantinople*.
Moscow, 1904.

[836]. *The Works of Nile, the Holy
Pilgrim of Sinai*. The œuvres of the
Holy Fathers in Russian translation.
Vols. 31-33. The Moscow Seminary
Academy, 1858-1859.

- [837]. *The Works of St. Isidore the Pelusiote*. The œuvres of the Holy Fathers in Russian translation. Vols. 34-36. The Moscow Seminary Academy, 1859-1860.
- [838]. Tvorogov, O. V. *Ancient Russia: Events and People*. St. Petersburg, Nauka, 1994.
- [839]. Tvorogov, O. V. *The Ryurikovichi Princes. Short Biographies*. Moscow, Russkiy Mir, 1992.
- [840]. Tereshchenko, Alexander. *A Final Study of the Saray Region, with a Description of the Relics of the Desht-Kipchak Kingdom*. Scientific Notes of the Imperial

Academy of Sciences, the 1st and 3rd Department. Vol. 2. St. Petersburg, 1854. 89-105.

[841]. Tikhomirov, M. N. *Old Moscow. The XII-XV century. Mediaeval Russia as the International Crossroads. XIV-XV century.* Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1992.

[842]. Tikhomirov, M. N. *Russian Culture of the X-XIII century.* Moscow, 1968.

[843]. Tikhomirov, M. N. *Mediaeval Moscow in the XIV-XV century.* Moscow, 1957.

[844]. Tokmakov, I. F. *A Historical and*

Archaeological Description of the Moscow Stauropigial Monastery of St. Simon. Issues 1 and 2, Moscow, 1892-1896.

[845]. Lopukhin, A. P., ed. *Explanatory Bible, or the Commentary to all of the Books of the Holy Writ, from both the Old and the New Covenant.* Vols. 1-12. Petersburg, published by the heirs of A. P. Lopukhin, 1904-1913. (2nd edition: Stockholm, the Bible Translation Institute, 1987).

[846]. Toll, N. P. *The Saviour's Icon from K. T. Soldatenkov's Collection.* Moscow, 1933.

[847]. Tolochko, P. P. *The Ancient Kiev.* Kiev, Naukova Dumka, 1976.

- [848]. Tolstaya, Tatyana. *The River Okkerville. Short Stories*. Moscow, Podkova, 1999.
- [849]. Troels-Lund, T. *The Sky and the Weltanschauung in the Flux of Time*. Odessa, 1912. German edition: Troels-Lund, T. *Himmelsbild und Weltanschauung im Wandel der Zeiten*. Leipzig, B. G. Teubner, 1929.
- [850]. Tronskiy, I. M. *The History of Ancient Literature*. Leningrad, Uchpedgiz, 1947.
- [850:1]. Trofimov, Zhores. *The N. M. Karamzin Memorial in Simbirsk. Known and Unknown Facts*. Moscow, Rossia Molodaya, 1992.

[851]. *Trojan Tales. Mediaeval Courteous Novels on the Trojan War by the Russian Chronicles of the XVI and XVII century.* Leningrad: Nauka, 1972.

[851:1]. Thulsi Das. *The Ramayana, or Ramacharitamanasa. The Multitude of Rama's Heroic Deeds.* Translated from Hindi by Academician A. P. Barannikov. Moscow-Leningrad, The USSR AS, Institute of Oriental Studies. Published by the USSR Academy of Sciences in 1948.

[852]. Tunmann. *The Khans of Crimea.* Simferopol, Tavria, 1991.

[853]. Turaev, B. A. *The History of the Ancient Orient.* Moscow, Ogiz,

1936.

- [854]. Shcheka, Y. V. *The Turkish-Russian Dictionary*. Abt. 18,000 words. 3rd stereotype edition. Moscow, Citadel, 2000.
- [855]. Turkhan, Gian. *Istanbul. Gate to the Orient*. Istanbul, Orient, 1996 (in Russian).
- [855:1]. *Turkey. The Book of Wanderings. A Historical Guidebook*. Moscow, Veche, Khartia, 2000.
- [856]. *A Millennium since the Baptism of Russia*. The materials of the International Ecclesian and Historical Conference (Kiev, 21-28 July, 1986).

Moscow, Moscow Patriarchy, 1988.

[857]. Ouzdennikov, V. V. *Russian Coins. 1700-1917*. Moscow, Finances and Statistics, 1986.

[857:1]. *The Ukrainian Books Printed in Cyrillics in the XVI-XVII century*. A catalogue of editions kept in the V. I. Lenin State Library of USSR. Issue I. 1574 – 2nd half of the XVII century. Moscow, The State V. I. Lenin Library of the Lenin Order. Rare books department. 1976.

[858]. *The Streets of Moscow. A Reference Book*. Moscow, Moskovskiy Rabochiy, 1980.

[859]. *The Ural Meridian. Topical*

Itineraries. A Reference Guide-book.
Chelyabinsk, The Southern Ural
Press, 1986.

- [860]. Ousanovich, M. I. *The Scientific Foresight of N. A. Morozov. The Successes of Chemistry*, Vol. 16, Issue 3 (1947).
- [861]. Ouspensky, D. N. *Modern Problems of Orthodox Theology.* The *Moscow Patriarchy* magazine, No. 9 (1962): 64-70.
- [862]. *The Writ. The Pentateuch of Moses (from the Genesis to the Revelation).* Translation, introduction, and comments by I. S. Shifman. Moscow, Respublika, 1993.

[863]. Fyson, Nance. *The Greatest Treasures of the World. An Atlas of the World's Wonders*. Moscow, Bertelsmann Media Moskau, 1996. Mondruck Graphische Betriebe GmbH, Güntherslau (Germany), 1996. Translated from the English edition published by AA Publishing (a trading name of Automobile Association Development Limited, whose registred office is Norfolk House, Priestly Road, Basing-stoke, Hampshire RG24 9NY).

[864]. Falkovich, S. I. *Nikolai Alexandrovich Morozov, His Life and Works on Chemistry*. The *Priroda (Nature)* magazine, No. 11

(1947).

- [865]. Falkovich, S. I. *Nikolai Alexandrovich Morozov as a Chemist (1854-1946)*. The USSR AS Courier, Chemical Studies Department, No. 5 (1947).
- [866]. Fasmer, M. *An Etymological Dictionary of the Russian Language*. Vols. 1-4. Translated from German. Moscow, Progress, 1986-1987.
- [867]. [Fedorov]. *Ivan Fedorov [The Alphabet]*. A facsimile edition. Moscow, Prosveshchenie, 1974.
- [868]. Fedorov, V. V., and A. T. Fomenko. *Statistical Estimation of Chronological Nearness of*

Historical Texts. A collection of articles for the *Problems of stochastic model stability* magazine. Seminar works. The National System Research Institute, 1983. 101-107. English translation published in the *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, Vol. 32, No. 6 (1986): 668-675.

[869]. Fedorov-Davydov, G. A. *The Coins of the Muscovite Russia*. Moscow, MSU Press, 1981.

[870]. Fedorov-Davydov, G. A. *The Coins of the Nizhny Novgorod Principality*. Moscow, MSU Press, 1989.

[870:1]. Fedorov-Davydov, G. A. *Burial Mounds, Idols and Coins*.

Moscow, Nauka, 1968.

[871]. Fedorov-Davydov, G. A. *Eight Centuries of Taciturnity*. The *Nauka i Zhizn (Science and Life)* magazine, No. 9 (1966): 74-76.

[872]. Fedorova, E. V. *Latin Epigraphics*. Moscow University Press, 1969.

[873]. Fedorova, E. V. *Latin Graffiti*. Moscow University Press, 1976.

[874]. Fedorova, E. V. *Imperial Rome in Faces*. Moscow University Press, 1979.

[875]. Fedorova, E. V. *Rome, Florence, Venice. Historical and Cultural Monuments*. Moscow University

Press, 1985.

- [876]. Theophilactus Simocattas. *History*. Moscow, Arktos, 1996.
- [876:1]. Fersman, A. E. *Tales of Gemstones*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974.
- [877]. Flavius, Joseph. *The Judean War*. Minsk, Belarus, 1991.
- [878]. Flavius, Joseph. *Judean Antiquities*. Vols. 1, 2. Minsk, Belarus, 1994.
- [879]. *Florentine Readings: The Life and Culture of Italy. Summer Lightnings*. Collected essays, translated by I. A. Mayevsky. Moscow, 1914.
- [880]. Florinsky, V. M. *Primeval Slavs*

according to the Monuments of their Pre-Historic Life. Tomsk, 1894.

[881]. Voigt, G. *The Renaissance of the Classical Literature.* Vols. I and II. Moscow, 1885. German edition: *Die Wiederbelebung des classischen Altertums oder das erste Jahrhundert des Humanismus.* Berlin, G. Reimer, 1893.

[882]. Foley, John. *The Guinness Encyclopaedia of Signs and Symbols.* Moscow, Veche, 1996. Original by Guinness Publishing Ltd., 1993.

[883]. Fomenko, A. T. "On the Calculations of the Second Derivative of Lunar Elongation." The

problems of the mechanics of navigated movement. *Hierarchic systems*. The Inter-University Collection of Scientific Works. Perm, 1980. 161-166.

[884]. Fomenko, A. T. "Several Statistical Regularities of Information Density Distribution in Texts with Scales." *Semiotics and Informatics*. Moscow, The National Scientific and Technical Information Institute Publication, Issue 15 (1980): 99-124.

[885]. Fomenko, A. T. *Informative Functions and Related Statistical Regularities*. Report theses of the 3rd International Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics Conference in

Vilnius, the Lithuanian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, 1981, Volume 2, pages 211-212.

[886]. Fomenko, A. T. *Duplicate Identification Methods and some of their Applications*. In *Doklady AN SSSR* (The USSR Academy of Sciences), Vol. 256, No. 6 (1981): 1326-1330.

[887]. Fomenko, A. T. *On the Qualities of the Second Derivative of Lunar Elongation and Related Statistical Regularities*. The Issues of Computational and Applied Mathematics. A collection of academic works. The Academy of

Sciences of the Soviet Republic of Uzbekistan. Tashkent, Issue 63 (1981): 136-150.

[888]. Fomenko, A. T. *New Experimental Statistical Methods of Dating the Ancient Events and their Applications to the Global Chronology of the Ancient and Mediaeval World*. Pre-print. Order No. 3672, No. BO7201. Moscow, State Committee for Radio and TV Broadcasting, 1981. 1-100. English translation: Fomenko, A. T. *Some new empirical-statistical methods of dating and the analysis of present global chronology*. London, The British Library, Department of

Printed Books. 1981. Cup. 918/87.

[889]. Fomenko, A. T. *Calculating the Second Derivative of Lunar Elongation and Related Statistical Regularities in the Distribution of Some Astronomical Data*. In *Operational and Automatic System Research*, Issue 20 (1982): 98-113. Kiev University Press.

[890]. Fomenko, A. T. *Concerning the Mystification Issue*. In *Science and Technology*, No. 11 (1982): 26-29.

[891]. Fomenko, A. T. *New Empirico-Statistical Method of Ordering Texts and Applications to Dating Problems*. In *Doklady AN SSSR* (The USSR Academy of Sciences

Publications), Vol. 268, No. 6
(1983): 1322-1327.

[892]. Fomenko, A. T. *Distribution Geometry for Entire Points in Hyperregions*. The Vector and Tensor Analysis Seminar works (Moscow, MSU Press), Issue 21 (1983): 106-152.

[893]. Fomenko, A. T. *The Author's Invariant of Russian Literary Texts. Methods of Qualitative Analysis of Narrative Source Texts*. Moscow, The USSR History Institute (The USSR Academy of Sciences), 1983. 86-109.

[894]. Fomenko, A. T. *The Global Chronological Map*. In *Chemistry*

and Life, No. 11 (1983): 85-92.

[895]. Fomenko, A. T. *New Methods of the Chronologically Correct Ordering of Texts and their Applications to the Problems of Dating the Ancient Events.*

Operational and Automatic System Research (Kiev University Press), Issue 21 (1983): 40-59.

[896]. Fomenko, A. T. *Methods of Statistical Processing of Parallels in Chronological Text and the Global Chronological Map.*

Operational and Automatic System Research (Kiev University Press), Issue 22 (1983): 40-55.

[897]. Fomenko, A. T. *Statistical*

Frequency Damping Analysis of Chronological Texts and Global Chronological Applications.

Operational and Automatic System Research (Kiev University Press), Issue 24 (1984): 49-66.

[898]. Fomenko, A. T. *New Empirico-Statistical Method of Parallelism Determination and Duplicate Dating*. Problems of stochastic model stability. Seminar works. The National System Research Institute, Moscow, 1984. 154-177.

[899]. Fomenko, A. T. *Frequency Matrices and their Applications to Statistical Processing of Narrative Sources*. Report theses of the

“Complex Methods of Historical Studies from Antiquity to Contemporaneity” conference. The Commission for Applying Natural Scientific Methods to Archaeology. Moscow, The USSR History Institute (The USSR Academy of Sciences), 1984. 135-136.

[900]. Fomenko, A. T. *Informative Functions and Related Statistical Regularities*. Statistics. Probability. Economics. The *Academic Statistical Notes* series. Vol. 49. Moscow, Nauka, 1985. 335-342.

[901]. Fomenko, A. T. *Duplicates in Mixed Sequences and the Frequency Damping Principle*. Report theses of

the 4th Int'l Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics Conference in Vilnius, the Lithuanian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Vol. 3. 1985. 246-248.

[902]. Fomenko, A. T., and L. E. Morozova. *Several Issues of Statistical Annual Account Source Processing Methods*. Mathematics in mediaeval narrative source studies. Moscow, Nauka, 1986. 107-129.

[903]. Fomenko, A. T. *Identifying Dependencies and Layered Structures in Narrative Texts*. Problems of stochastic model stability. Seminar works. The National System Research Institute,

1987. 33-45.

- [904]. Fomenko, A. T. *Methods of Statistical Analysis of Narrative Texts and Chronological Applications. (The Identification and the Dating of Derivative Texts, Statistical Ancient Chronology, Statistics of the Ancient Astronomical Reports)*. Moscow, Moscow University Press, 1990.
- [905]. Fomenko, A. T. *Statistical Chronology*. New facts in life, science and technology. The *Mathematics and Cybernetics*” series, No. 7. Moscow, Znanie, 1990.
- [906]. Fomenko, A. T. *Global Chronology. (A Research of*

Classical and Mediaeval History. Mathematical Methods of Source Analysis.) Moscow, MSU Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, 1993.

[907]. Fomenko, A. T. *A Criticism of the Traditional Chronology of Antiquity and the Middle Ages (What Century is it Now?)*. A précis. Moscow, MSU Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, 1993.

[908]. Fomenko, A. T. *Methods of Mathematical Analysis of Historical Texts. Chronological Applications*. Moscow, Nauka, 1996.

[909]. Fomenko, A. T. *The New Chronology of Greece. Antiquity in*

the Middle Ages. Vols. 1 and 2.

Moscow, MSU Centre of Research and Pre-University Education, 1996.

[910]. Fomenko, A. T. *Statistical Chronology. A Mathematical View of History. What Century is it Now?* Belgrade, Margo-Art, 1997.

[911]. Fomenko, A. T. *Methods of Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts. Chronological Applications*. Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Kraft and Lean, 1999.

[912]. Fomenko, A. T. *New Methods of Statistical Analysis of Historical Texts. Applications to Chronology*. Vol. 1, Vol. 2. Vol. 3: Fomenko, A. T. *Antiquity in the Middle Ages*.

(*Greek and Bible History*). Published in the series *Russian Studies in Mathematics and Sciences*. Scholarly Monographs in Russian. Vol. 6-7. Lewiston-Queenston-Lampeter, The Edwin Mellen Press, 1999.

[912:1]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *Demagogism instead of Scientific Analysis*. The RAS Courier, Vol. 9, No. 19 (2000): 797-800.

[912:2]. Fomenko, A. T., and G. V. Nosovskiy. *In Re the "Novgorod Datings" of A. A. Zaliznyak and V. L. Yanin*. The RAS Courier, Vol. 72, No. 2 (2002): 134-140.

[912:3]. Fomenko, T. N. *The*

*Astronomical Datings of the
“Ancient” Egyptian Zodiacs of
Dendera and Esne (Latopolis). In:
Kalashnikov, V. V., G. V. Nosovskiyy,
and A. T. Fomenko. The
Astronomical Analysis of
Chronology. The Almagest. Zodiacs.
Moscow, The Delovoi Express
Financial, 2000. 635-810.*

[913]. *The Epistle of Photius, the Holy
Patriarch of Constantinople, to
Michael, Prince of Bulgaria, on the
Princely Incumbencies. Moscow,
1779. See also: Photius. Patriarch of
Constantinople, Epistola ad
Michaelem Bulgarorum Regem. In:
Roman Spicilegium. Rome, 1839-*

1844.

- [914]. Cardini, Franco. *Origins of the Mediaeval Knightage*. A condensed translation from Italian by V. P. Gaiduk. La Nuova Italia, 1982. Moscow, Progress Publications, 1987.
- [914:1]. France, Anatole. *Selected Short Stories*. Leningrad, Lenizdat, 1959.
- [915]. Pototskaya, V. V., and N. P. Pototskaya. *French-Russian Dictionary*. 12th stereotype edition. Moscow, Sovetskaya Encyclopaedia. 1967.
- [916]. Godfrey, Fr. O. F. M. *Following Christ*. Israel, Palphot Ltd.,

Millennium 2000, 2000.

[917]. Frazer, J. *Attis*. Moscow, Novaya Moskva, 1924. English ed.: *Adonis, Attis, Osiris*. London, Macmillan & Co, 1907.

[918]. Frazer, J. *Golden Bough*. Release 1. Moscow-Leningrad, Ogiz, 1931.

[919]. Frazer, J. *Golden Bough*. Releases 3, 4. Moscow, Atheist, 1928.

[920]. Frazer, J. *The Folklore in the Old Testament. Studies in Comparative Religion*. Moscow-Leningrad, Ogiz, The State Social Economics, 1931. English original: London, Macmillan & Co., 1918.

[921]. Fren, H. M. *Coins of the Khans of Juchiev Ulus of the Golden Horde*. St. Petersburg, 1832.

[922]. Frumkina, R. M. *Statistical Methods of Lexical Studies*. Moscow, 1964.

[923]. Thucydides. *The History of the Peloponnesian War*. Eight books. Translated by F. G. Mishchenko. Vols. 1, 2. Vol. 1: books 1-4. Vol. 2: books 5-8. Moscow, 1887-1888. English edition published in the series "*Great Books of the Western World*. Vol. 5. Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc. Chicago, The University of Chicago, 1952 (2nd edition 1990). See also the Penguin

Books edition. London, 1954.

[924]. Thucydides. *History*. Leningrad, Nauka, 1981.

[925]. von Senger, Harro. *Stratagems. On the Chinese Art of Life and Survival*. Moscow, Progress, 1995.

[926]. Herrmann, D. *The Pioneers of the Skies*. Translated from German by K. B. Shingareva and A. A. Konopikhin. Moscow, Mir, 1981.
German edition: Herrmann, Dieter B. *Entdecker des Himmels*. Leipzig-Jena-Berlin, Urania-Verlag, 1979.

[927]. Chlodowski, R. I. *Francesco Petrararch*. Moscow, Nauka, 1974.

[928]. *The Pilgrimage of Hegumen*

Daniel. Literary Monuments of Ancient Russia. XII Century. Moscow, Khudozhestvennaya Literatura, 1980. 25-115.

[929]. *Afanasy Nikitin's Voyage over the Three Seas. 1466-1472.*

Moscow-Leningrad, the Academy of Sciences, Literary Masterpieces, The USSR AS Publications, 1948.

[930]. Hollingsworth, Mary. *Art in the History of Humanity*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1989. Russian translation of the edition titled *L'Arte Nella Storia Dell'Uomo*. Saggio introduttivo di Giulio Carlo Argan. Firenze, Giunti Gruppo Editoriale, 1989.

[931]. *The Kholmogory Chronicle. The Dvina Chronicler. The Complete Collection of Russian Chronicles, Vol. 33.* Leningrad, Nauka, 1977.

[932]. Khomyakov, A. S. *Collected Works in Two Volumes. A supplement to the Issues of Philosophy. Vol. 1. Works on historiosophy.* Moscow, the Moscow Fund of Philosophy, Medium Press, 1994.

[933]. Aconiatus, Nicetas. *History Beginning with the Reign of John Comnenus.* St. Petersburg, 1860. Also see the *Historia* by Nicetas Aconiatus in J. P. Migne's *Patrologiae cursus completes.*

Series graeca. Vol. 140. Paris, 1857-1886.

[934]. Aconiatus, Nicetas. *History Beginning with the Reign of John Comnenus (1186-1206)*. The *Byzantine Historians* series, Vol. 5. St. Petersburg, 1862. Also see the *Historia* by Nicetas Aconiatus in J. P. Migne's *Patrologiae cursus completes. Series graeca*. Vol. 140. Paris, 1857-1886

[935]. Hogue, John. *Nostradamus. The Complete Prophecies*. First published in Great Britain in 1996 by Element Books Ltd., Shaftesbury, Dorset. Moscow, Fair-Press, The Grand Publishing and Trading House,

1999.

- [935:1]. Boutenev, Khreptovich.
*Florence and Rome in Relation to
Two XV-century Events in Russian
History. A Concise Illustrated
Account Compiled by Khreptovich
Boutenev, Esq.* Moscow, 1909.
- [936]. *Christianity. An Encyclopaedic
Dictionary.* The Encyclopaedic
Dictionary of Brockhaus and Efron.
New Encyclopaedic Dictionary of
Brockhaus and Efron. The Orthodox
Encyclopaedia of Theology. Vols. 1-
3. Moscow, The Great Russian
Encyclopaedia, 1993.
- [937]. Pokrovskiy, N. N., ed.
Christianity and the Russian Church

of the Feudal Period (Materials).
Novosibirsk, Nauka, Siberian
Affiliation, 1989.

[938]. Istrin, V. M., ed. *The Chronicle of John Malalas (A Slavic Translation)*. St. Petersburg, 1911.

[939]. *The Chronographer*. Russian National Library, the Manuscript Section. Rumyantsevsky Fund, 457.

[940]. *The Lutheran Chronographer*. Private collection, 1680.

[941]. Rantsov, V. L., comp. *The Chronology of Global and Russian History*. St. Petersburg, Brockhaus-Efron, 1905. Reprinted in Kaliningrad: Argument, Yantarny

Skaz, 1995.

[942]. *The Chronology of Russian History. An Encyclopaedic Reference Book*. Moscow, Mezhdunarodnye Otnosheniya, 1994.

[943]. Prakhov, Adrian, ed. *The Treasures of Russian Art*. A Monthly Almanac of the Imperial Society for Supporting Fine Arts. Year IV, No. 2-4, No. 5 (1904). Issue 5: The Relics of the Savvino-Storozhevsky monastery. Historical review by Alexander Ouspensky. Reprinted in Moscow, Severo-Print Typography, 1998. To the 600th anniversary of the Savvino-Storozhevsky stauropigial friary.

[944]. Khoudyakov, M. G. *Accounts of the History of the Kazan Khanate*. Kazan, State Publishing House, 1923. Reprinted in: *On the Junction of Continents and Civilizations*. Moscow, Insan, 1996. Published separately: Moscow, Insan, SFK, 1991.

[945]. Kjetsaa, G., S. Gustavsson, B. Beckman, and S. Gil. *The Problems of the "Quiet flows the Don's" Authorship. Who Wrote the "Quiet flows the Don"?* Moscow, Kniga, 1989. Translated from the Solum Forlag edition. Oslo-New Jersey, Humanities Press.

[946]. Zeitlin, Z. *Galileo*. *The Celebrity*

Biographies series, Issue 5-6. The Literary Magazine Association, Moscow, 1935.

[947]. Petrov, Leonid, comp. *The Dictionary of Ecclesial History. (A Referential Theological Dictionary, Predominantly Oriented At Ecclesial History)*. St. Petersburg, the Province Department Typography, 1889. Reprinted: the Sretenskiy Monastery, 1996.

[948]. Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Dialogues. On the State. On the Laws*. Moscow, Nauka, 1966. English edition: Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Works*. Cambridge, Mass; Harvard University Press; London,

Heinemann, 1977.

[949]. Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Three Tractates on the Art of Rhetoric*. Moscow, Nauka, 1972. English edition: Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Works*. Cambridge, Mass; Harvard University Press; London, Heinemann, 1977.

[950]. Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *On the Old Age. On Friendship. On Responsibilities*. Moscow, Nauka, 1972. English edition: Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Old Age and Friendship...* London, Cassel's National Library, 1889.

[951]. Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Philosophical Tractates*. Moscow,

Nauka, 1985. English edition: Cicero, Marcus Tullius. *Works*. Cambridge, Mass; Harvard University Press; London, Heinemann, 1977.

[952]. Chagin, G. N. *The Ancient Land of Perm*. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1988.

[953]. Chekin, L. S. *The Cartography of the Christian Middle Ages in the VIII-XIII century*. Moscow, Oriental Literature, RAS, 1999.

[953:1]. Chernetsov, A. V. *The Gilded Doors of the XVI century. The Cathedrals of the Moscow Kremlin and the Trinity Cathedral of the Ipatyevsky Monastery in Kostroma*. Moscow, The RAS, Nauka, 1992.

- [954]. Chernin, A. D. *The Physics of Time*. Moscow, Nauka, 1987.
- [955]. Chernykh, P. Y. *A Historical and Etymological Dictionary of the Modern Russian Language*. Vols. 1, 2. Moscow, Russkiy Yazyk, 1993.
- [955:1]. Chernyak, E. B. *The Mysteries of France. Conspiracy, Intrigue, Mystification*. Moscow, Ostozhye Press, 1996.
- [955:2]. Chernyak, E. B. *The Time of the Conspiracies Long Forgotten*. Moscow, Mezhdunarodnye Otnosheniya, 1994.
- [956]. Chertkov, A. D. *On the Language of the Pelasgians that used to*

Inhabit Italy, and its Comparison to Ancient Slavic. The periodical edition of the Moscow Society for the Historical Studies of Russian Antiquities, Book 23. Moscow, 1855.

[957]. Chertkov, A. D. *A Description of Ancient Russian Coins.* Moscow, Selivanovsky Typography, 1834.

[958]. Cinzia, Valigi. *Rome and the Vatican.* Narni-Terni, Italy, Plurigraf, 1995.

[959]. Chistovich, I. *Textual Corrections of the Slavic Bible Before the 1751 Edition.* (Article 2). *The Orthodox Review*, Vol. 12 (May Book, 1860): 41-72.

- [960]. Chistyakov, A. S. *The Story of Peter the Great*. Reprint. Moscow, Buklet, Dvoynaya Raduga, 1992.
- [961]. Chistyakova, N. A., and N. V. Voulikh. *The History of Ancient Literature*. Moscow, Vyshaya Shkola, 1972.
- [962]. *Imperial Society for History and Russian Antiquities Readings*. Book I, Part 5. 1858.
- [963]. *The Miraculous Icons of Our Lady*. Sisterhood of the Holy Martyr Elizabeth, the Great Princess. 103287. Moscow, 40, 2nd Khutorskaya St., 1998.
- [964]. [Champollion] *J. F. Champollion*

and Egyptian Hieroglyphs

Deciphered. Collected works under the general editorship of I. S.

Katznelson. Moscow, Nauka, 1979.

[965]. Chantepie de la Saussaye, D. P.

Illustrated History of Religions.

Moscow, 1899. English edition:

Manual of the Science of Religion.

London-New York, Longmans, Green and Co., 1891.

[966]. Chantepie de la Saussaye, D. P.

Illustrated History of Religions.

Vols. 1 and 2. Moscow, Spaso-

Preobrazhensky Stauropigial

Monastery of Valaam, reprinted in

1992.

[967]. Shakhmatov, A. A. *Manuscript*

Description. The Radzivilovskaya Chronicle, or the Chronicle of Königsberg. Vol. 12. Articles on the text and the miniatures of the manuscript. St. Petersburg, Imperial Antiquarian Bibliophile Society, CXVIII, 1902.

[968]. Shevchenko, M. Y. *The Star Catalogue of Claudius Ptolemy: Special Characteristics of Ancient Astronomical Observations.* Historico-Astronomical Research. Issue 17. Moscow, Nauka, 1988. 167-186.

[969]. *Masterpieces among the Paintings in the Museums of the USSR. The Art of Ancient Russia.*

The Renaissance Art. Issue 1.
Moscow, Goznak, 1974.

[970]. Sheynman, M. M. *Belief in the Devil in the History of Religion*.
Moscow, Nauka, 1977.

[971]. Shakespeare. *Collected Works in Five Volumes*. From the *Library of Great Writers* series under the editorship of S. A. Vengerov. St. Petersburg, Brockhaus-Efron, 1902-1904.

[972]. Shakespeare, William. *The Complete Works in Eight Volumes*. Under the editorship of A. Smirnov and A. Anixt. Moscow, Iskusstvo, 1960.

- [973]. Shakespeare, William. *King Richard III. Tragedy in Five Acts*. Translated by Georgy Ben. St. Petersburg, Zvezda, 1997.
- [974]. *600th Anniversary of the Kulikovo Battle*. Brochure. Vneshtorgizdat, Moscow State Museum of History. 1980.
- [975]. Shilov, Y. A. *The Proto-Homeland of the Aryans. History, Tradition, Mythology*. Kiev, Sinto, 1995.
- [976]. Shiryayev, A. N. *Consecutive Statistical Analysis*. Moscow, Nauka, 1976.
- [977]. Shiryayev, E. E. *Belarus: White*

Russia, Black Russia and Lithuania on the Maps. Minsk, Science & Technology, 1991.

[978]. Shklovsky, I. S. *Supernovae*. Moscow, 1968 (1st edition).
Moscow, Nauka, 1976 (2nd edition).
English edition: London-New York, Wiley, 1968.

[979]. Schlezer, A. L. *Public and Private Life of Augustus Ludwig Schlezer as Related by Himself*. In the *Imperial Academy of Sciences, Russian Language and Literature Department* series, Vol. 13. St. Petersburg, 1875.

[980]. Shlyapkin I. A. *Description of the Manuscripts of the Spaso-*

Yefimiev Monastery in Suzdal. The Masterpieces of Ancient Literature, Issue 4, No. 16. St. Petersburg, 1881.

[981]. Spilevskiy A. V. *The Almagest and Chronology. The Ancient History Courier, No. 3 (1988): 134-160.*

[982]. Schulmann, Eliezer. *The Sequence of Biblical Events.* Translated from Hebrew. Moscow, the Ministry of Defence Publications, 1990.

[983]. Shchepkin, V. N. *Russian Palaeography.* Moscow, Nauka, 1967.

[984]. Shcherbatov, M. M. *Russian*

History from the Dawn of Time. St. Petersburg, 1901.

[985]. Eulia, Chelebi. *The Book of Travels. Campaigns of the Tatars and Voyages through the Crimea (1641-1667).* Simferopol, Tavria, 1996.

[985:1]. Eisler, Colin (Leman, Robert). *The Museums of Berlin.* Moscow, Colin Eisler and Little, Brown and Company, Inc. Compilation. Slovo, the *World's Greatest Museums* series, 2002 (1996).

[985:2]. Eisler, Colin. *The Art of the Hermitage.* Moscow, Biblion, 2001.

[986]. Aitken, M. J. *Physics and*

Archaeology. Moscow, IL, 1964.
English original: New York,
Interscience Publishers, 1961.

[987]. Ehlebracht, Peter. *Tragedy of the
Pyramids. Egyptian Shrines
Plundered for 5000 Years*. Moscow,
Progress, 1984. German original:
*Haltet die Pyramiden Fest! 5000
Jahre Grabraub in Ägypten*.
Düsseldorf-Vienna, Econ, 1980.

[987:1]. Englund, Peter. *Poltava. How
an Army Perished*. Moscow, Novoye
Literaturnoye Obozrenie, 1995.
Original: Stockholm, Bokförgalet
Atlantis, 1988.

[988]. *The Encyclopaedic Dictionary*.
Vols. 1-82; supplementary volumes

1-4. St. Petersburg, Brockhaus and Efron, 1890-1907.

[988:0]. Brockhaus, F. A., and I. A. Efron. *The Encyclopaedic Dictionary*. St. Petersburg, 1898. Reprinted: St. Petersburg, Polradis, 1994.

[988:1]. *Encyclopaedia for Children*. Vol. 7: *Art*. Moscow, Avanta-plus, 1997.

[989]. *The Encyclopaedia of Elementary Mathematics. Book 1. Arithmetics*. Moscow-Leningrad, the State Publishing House of Theoretical Technical Literature, 1951.

[990]. Artamonov, M. I., ed. *The*

Hermitage. Album. Leningrad,
Sovetskiy Khudozhnik, 1964.

[991]. Ern, V. *The Revelation in
Thunder and Storm. Anatomy of N.
A. Morozov's Book*. Moscow, 1907.

[991:1]. *The Art of Goldsmithery in
Russia*. Album. Moscow, Interbook-
Business, Yural Ltd, 2002.

[992]. Yuvalova, E. P. *German
Sculpture of 1200-1270*. Moscow,
Iskusstvo, 1983.

[993]. Yanin, V. L. *I Sent You a Birch-
Rind Epistle*. Moscow, MSU Press,
1965. A revised edition: Moscow,
1998.

[993:1]. Jannella, Cecilia. *Simone*

Martini. Album. Moscow, Slovo, 1996. Scala, 1995, Istituto Forografico Editoriale.

[994]. Ponomaryov, A. M., ed. *Yaroslavl. History of the City in Documents and First-Hand Materials from First References to 1917*. Yaroslavl, Upper Volga Publications, 1990.

[995]. *Yaroslavl. Map 0-37 (1:1,000,000)*. The General Council of Ministers, Department of Geodetics and Cartography, 1980.

[996]. *Yaroslavl. Monuments of Art and Architecture*. Yaroslavl: Upper Volga Publications, 1994.

Sources in foreign languages

- [997]. Chrysostomos, Abbot. *The Holy Royal Monastery of Kykko Founded with a Cross*. Limassol, Cyprus, Kykko Monastery, printed by D. Couvas & Sons, Ltd., 1969.
- [998]. *ABC kulturnich pamatek Ceskoslovenska*. Prague, Panorama, 1985.
- [999]. Abulafia, David. *Frederick II. A Medieval Emperor*. New York-Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1988.
- [1000]. Abu Mashar. *De magnis coinctiombus*. Augsburg, Erhard Ratdolt (The Pulkovo Observatory

Library), 1489.

[1001]. Adam, L. *North-West American Indian Art and its Early Chinese Parallels*. *Man*, Volume 36, No. 2-3 (1936): 45.

[1002]. Puech, Aime. *St. Jean Chrisostome et les mœurs de son temps*. Paris, 1891.

[1003]. Albright, W. F. *From the Stone Age to Christianity*. 7th edition. New York, 1957.

[1004]. Albumasar. *De Astrú Scientia*. 1515. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1005]. Alibert, Louis. *Dictionnaire Occitan-Francais. Selon les parles*

languedociens. Toulouse, Institut d'études Occitanes, 1996.

[1006]. *A List of Books on the History of Science*. 2nd supplement, Part 3. Astronomy. Chicago, The J. Crerar Library, 1944.

[1007]. Allen, Phillip. *L'Atlas des Atlas. Le monde vu par les cartographes*. Brepols, 1993.

[1008]. *Almagestu Cl. Ptolemaei Phelusiensis Alexandrini*. Anno Virginei Partus, 1515.

[1009]. *America. Das frühe Bild der Neuen Welt. Ausstellung der Bayerischen Staatsbibliothek München*. Munich, Prestel Verlag,

1992.

- [1009:1]. Silverman, David P., ed. *Ancient Egypt*. New York, Oxford University Press, 1977.
- [1010]. Thorpe, B., ed. *Ancient Laws and Institutes of England...* Volume 1. London, 1840. 198.
- [1011]. Anke, Victor. *The Life of Charlemagne*. Aachen, Einhard Verlag, 1995.
- [1012]. *Annales de la Société Royale d'Archéologie de Bruxelles. Fondée a Bruxelles en 1887. Mémoires, rapports et documents*. Publication périodique. Tome 41e. Secrétariat Général. Musée de la Porte de Hal

Bruxelles. 1937.

[1013]. Apianus, P. *Cosmographicus Liber Petri Apiani mathematici studiose collectus*. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library). Landshutae, impensis P. Apiani, 1524.

[1013:1]. Arellano, Alexandra. *All Cuzco. Peru*. Fisa Escudo de Oro. Centre of Regional Studies of the Andes Bartolomé de las Casas, Lima, Peru. Instituto de Investigacion de la Facultad de Turismo y Hotelria, Universidad San Martin de Porres. 1999.

[1014]. Arnim, H. *Sprachliche Forschungen zur Chronologie der platonischen Dialoge*. Volume 269.

Appendix 3. Sitzungen Wiener
Akademie, 1912.

- [1015]. Wolff, Arnold. *Cologne Cathedral. Its history – Its Works of Art*. Greven Verlag Köln GmbH, 1995.
- [1016]. Wolff, Arnold, Rainer Gaertner, and Karl-Heinz Schmitz. *Cologne on the Rhine with City Map*. Cologne, Verlagsgesellschaft GmbH, 1995.
- [1017]. Wolff, Arnold. *The Cologne Cathedral*. Cologne, Vista Point Verlag, 1990.
- [1017:0]. Sachs, Abraham J. *Astronomical Diaries and Related Texts from Babylonia*. Compiled and

edited by Hermann Hunger. Volume 1: Diaries from 652 BC to 262 BC. Volume 2: Diaries from 261 BC to 165 BC. Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften Philosophisch-Historische Klasse Denkschriften, 195. Bad. Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Vienna, 1988.

[1017:1]. Walker, Christopher, ed. *Astronomy before the Telescope*. Foreword by P. Moore. British Museum Press, 1996.

[1018]. Palairret, Jean. *Atlas Méthodique, Composé pour l'usage de son altesse sérénissime monseigneur le prince d'Orange et*

de Nassau stadhouder des sept provinces unies, etc. etc. etc. Se trouve à Londres, chez Mess. J. Nourse & P. Vaillant dans le Strand; J. Neaulme à Amsterdam & à Berlin; & P. Gosse à La Haye. 1755.

[1019]. *Atlas Minor sive Geographia compendiosa in q.v. a Orbis Terrarum paucis attamen novissimis Tabvlis ostenditvr. // Atlas Nouveau, contenant toutes les parties du monde, Où font Exactly Remarques les Empires Monarchies, Royaumes, Etats, Republiques, &c, &c, &c. Receuillies des Meilleurs Auteurs.*
Amsterdam: Regner & Josue Ottens,

n.d.

[1020]. Auè, Michèlé. *Discover Cathar country. Le Pays Cathare*. Toulouse, MSM, 1992.

[1021]. Bacharach. *Astronomia*. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library), 1545.

[1022]. Bailly, J. S. *Histoire de l'astronomie ancienne depuis son origine jusqu'à l'établissement de l'école d'Alexandrie*. Paris, 1st edition 1775, 2nd edition 1781.

[1023]. Baily, F. *An account of the life of Sir John Flaemsteed*. London, 1835.

[1024]. Baily, F. *The Catalogues of Ptolemy, Ulugh Beigh, Tycho Brahe,*

Halley and Hevelins, deduced from the best authorities. Royal Astr. Soc. Memoirs, XIII (1843): 1-248.

[1025]. Bakker, I., I. Vogel, and T. Wislanski. *TRB and other C-14 Dates from Poland.* *Helinium*, IX, 1969.

[1025:1]. Baldauf, Robert. *Historie und Kritik. (Einige kritische Bemerkungen.)*. Basel: Friedrich Reinhardt, Universitätsbuchdruckerei, 1902.

[1026]. Bartholomaeus, Angicus. *De proprietatibus rerum.* lib. XV, cap. CXXXI. Apud A. Koburger. Nurenbergi, 1492,

[1027]. Barron, Roderick. *Decorative Maps. With Forty Full Colour Plates*. London, Bracken Books, 1989.

[1028]. Basilica, Sainte Cécile. *Albi. As de Cœur Collection. Guided Visit*. Albi, France: Apa-Poux S. A. Albi, 1992.

[1028:1]. Bély, Lucien. *Discovering the Cathars*. France, Éditions Sud Ouest, 2001.

[1029]. Bennet, J.A. *The Divided Circle. A History of Instruments for Astronomy Navigation and Surveying*. Christie's, Oxford, Phaidon, 1987.

- [1030]. de Sainte-Maure, Benoit. *Chronique des ducs de Normandie par Benoit*. Publee... par C. Fahlin, t. I. In: Bibliotheca Ekmaniana universitatis regiae Upsaliensis, Uppsala, 1951. 8-11.
- [1031]. del Castillo, Conquistador Bernal Días. *The Discovery and Conquest of Mexico*. New Introduction by Hugh Thomas. New York, Da Capo Press. 1996.
- [1032]. Bernard, Lewis. *The Middle East. A brief History of the Last 2000 Years*. New York, Simon & Schuster, 1997.
- [1033]. *Bibliography of books and papers published in 1963 on the*

History of Astronomy. Moscow:
Nauka, 1964.

[1034]. Binding, Rudolf G. *Der Goldene Schrein. Bilder deutschen Meister auf Goldgrund*. Leipzig, 1934.

[1035]. Blaeu, Joan. *Novus Atlas Sinensis*, 1655. Faksimiles nach der Prachtausgabe der Herzog von der August Bibliothek Wolfenbüttel. Herausgegeben von der Stiftung Volkswagenwerk Hannover. Mit Beiträgen von Hans Kauffmann und Yorck Alexander Haase, und einem Geleitwort von Gotthard Gambke. Verlag Müller und Schindler, 1973.

[1036]. *Le Grand Atlas de Blaeu. Le Monde au XVIIe siècle*. Introduction,

descriptions et choix des cartes par John Goss. Ancien conseiller-expert cartographe chez Sotheby's. Avant-propos de Peter Clark. Conservateur à la Royal Geographical Society. Adaptation Française de Irmina Spinner. Publié avec le concours de la Royal Geographical Society. Paris: Gründ, 1992. Les cartes originales de *Grand Atlas de Blaeu*. *Le monde au XVIIe siècle* ont été publiées par Blaeu dans son *Atlas Major* publié à Amsterdam en 1662. L'édition originale 1990 par Studio Editions sous le titre original *Blaeu's Grand Atlas of the 17th Century World*. Première édition française 1992 par Librairie Gründ, Paris.

[1037]. Bloch, M. *La societe féodale*. Paris, 1968.

[1038]. Blöss, Christian, and Hans-Ulrich Niemitz. *C14-Crash. (Das Ende der Illusion mit Radiokarbonmethode und Dendrochronologie datieren zu können)*. Gräfelfing, Mantis Verlag, 1997.

[1039]. Blöss, Christian, and Hans-Ulrich Niemitz. *The Self-Deception of the C14 Method and Dendrochronology*. *Zeitensprünge* 8 (1996) 3 361-389. Mantis Verlag, January 1997.

[1040]. Bode, J.E. *Claudius*

Ptolemäeus, Astronom zu Alexandrien im zweyten Jahrhundert. Beobachtung und Beschreibung der Gestirne und der Bewegung. Vergleichnungen der neuern Beobachtungen von J.E.Bode. With a historical review and commentary. Berlin und Stettin, 1795.

[1041]. Boll, F. *Studien über Claudius Ptolemäus*. Leipzig, 1894.

[1042]. Bonhoeffer, Dietrich. *Das Geheimnis der Heiligen Nacht*. Kiefel Verlag, Wuppertal/Gütersloh, Germany, 1995.

[1043]. Bonnet, C. *Geneva in Early Christian times*. Geneva, Foundation

des Clefs de Saint-Pierre, 1986.

[1044]. Boquet, F. J. C. J. *Historie de l'Astronomie*. Paris, Payot, 1925.

[1045]. Borman, Z. *Astra*. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library). 1596.

[1045:1]. [Bosch] *Tout l'œuvre peint de Jérôme Bosch*. Introduction par Max J.Friedländer. Documentation par Mia Cinotti. Paris, Flammarion, 1967.

1045:2 [Bosch] Fraenger, Wilhelm. *Hieronymus Bosch*. VEB Verlag der Kunst Dresden, 1975.

[1046]. Boszkowska, Anna. *Tryumf Luni i Wenus. Pasja Hieronima Boscha*. Wydawnictwo Literackie,

Krakow, 1980.

[1047]. Bourbon, Fabio. *Lithographien von Frederick Catherwood. Die Mayas. Auf den Spuren einer versunkenen Kultur*. White Star, Via Candido Sassone, 22/24 13100, Vercelli, Italien, 1999.

Deutschsprachige Ausgabe: Karl Mükker Verlag, Danziger Strasse 6, 91052 Erlangen.

[1048]. Brahe, T. *Tychonis Brahe Dani Opera omnia*. Ed. J. L. E. Dreyer. 15 Volumes. Copenhagen, 1913-1929.

[1049]. Brahe, T. *Equitis Dani Astronomorum Coryhaei Vita*. Authore Petro Gassendo. Regio ex Typographia Adriani Vlac. MDCLV.

[1049:1]. Lehane, Brendan (texte),
Richard Novitz (photographies).
Irlande. London, Flint River, 1997;
Paris, Booking Int'l, 1997.

[1050]. Brenon, Anne. *Le vrai visage du
Catharisme*. Toulouse, Ed.
Loubatières, 1988.

[1050:1]. *British Museum. A Guide to
the First, Second and Third
Egyptian Rooms. Predynastic
Human Remains, Mummies, Wooden
Sarcophagi, Coffins and Cartonnage
Mummy Cases, Chests and Coffers,
and other Objects connected with
the Funerary Rites of the Ancient
Egyptians*. Third Edition, Revised
and Enlarged. With 3 coloured and

32 half-tone plates. British Museum, 1924.

[1050:2]. *British Museum. A Guide to the Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Egyptian Rooms and the Coptic Room. A series of Collections of Small Egyptian Antiquities, which illustrate the Manners and Customs, the Arts and Crafts, the Religion and Literature, and the Funeral Rites and Ceremonies of the Ancient Egyptians and their Descendants, the Copts, from about B.C. 4500 to A.D. 1000.* With 7 plates and 157 illustrations in the text. British Museum, 1922.

[1050:3]. *British Museum. A Guide to*

the Egyptian Collections in the British Museum. With 53 plates and 180 illustrations in the text. British Museum, 1909.

[1051]. Brodsky, B. E., and B. S. Darkhovsky. *Nonparametric Methods in Change-Point Problems.* The Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.

[1051:1]. Brodrick, M., and A. A. Morton. *A Concise Dictionary of Egyptian Archaeology. A handbook for students and travellers.* London, 1902. 2nd edition 1923, 3rd edition 1924. Reprint: Chicago, Aries, 1980.

[1052]. Brooke, Christopher. *From Alfred to Henry III. 871-1272.* The

Norton Library History of England.
New York, London, W. W. Norton &
Company, 1961, 1968, 1969.

[1053]. Broughton, T. R. S. *The
Magistrates of the Roman Republic*.
Volumes 1, 2. London, 1951-1960.

[1053:1]. [Bruegel] Gerhard W. Menzel.
Pieter Bruegel der Ältere. Leipzig,
VEB E. A. Seemann, Buch- und
Kunstverlag, 1966; 2 Auflage, 1974.

[1053:2]. Bovi, Arturo. *Bruegel. The
life and work of the artist illustrated
with 80 colour plates*. A Dolphin Art
Book. London, Thames and Hudson,
1971. Reprinted 1974.

[1054]. Brugsch, H. *Recueil de*

Monuments Egyptiens, dessinés sur lieux. Leipzig, 1862-1865.

[1055]. Buck, C. E., W. G. Gavanagh, and C. D. Litton. *Bayesian Approach to Interpreting Archaeological Data.* Series: Statistics in Practice. John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

[1056]. Bustos, Gerardo. *Yucatan and its Archaeological Sites.* Mexico, Monclém; Florence, Casa Editrice Bonechi, 1992.

[1057]. Cagnat, R. *Cours d'épigraphie latine.* 4e éd. Paris, 1914.

[1058]. Campbell, Tony. *Early Maps.* New York, Abbeville Press Publishers, 1981.

[1059]. Campos, José Guerra, and Jesús Precedo Lafuente. *Guide to the Cathedral of Santiago de Compostela*. Spain, Aldeasa, División Palacios y Museos, 1993.

[1060]. Cantacuzeny, Ioannis. *Opera Omnia. Patrologiae curcus completus. Series graeca*. T. CLIII, CLIV. J.-P. Migne, 1866.

[1060:1]. *Carcassonne (The City of Carcassonne. Cathar Castles)*. Production Leconte. Editions Estel-Blois. B. P. 45 - 41260 La Chaussée-Saint-Victor. Printed in E.E.C.

[1060:2]. *Cathares. Les ombres de l'Histoire. Carcassone: Histoire d'une Cité unique*. In: Pyrénées

(Magazine). Une publication de Milan Presse. 2001. Éditions Milan et les auteurs. Ariège Pyrenées. (A special edition of the magazine dedicated to Cathar history).

[1061]. *Cathedral and Metropolitan Church of St. Stephen in Vienna*. Germany, Verlag Schnell & Steiner Regensburg, 1995.

[1061:1]. *Cathédrale de l'Annonciation. Le Kremlin de Moscou*. Les Musées d'Etat du Kremlin de Moscou, 1990.

[1062]. Cauville, S. *Le Zodiaque d'Osiris*. Peeters, Uitgeverij Peeters, Bondgenotenlaan 153, B-3000 Leuven.

[1062:1]. Cauville, S. *Dendara. Les chapelles osiriennes*. (5 vols.) Institut français d'archéologie orientale du Caire, 1977.

[1063]. Chabas, F. *Mélanges égyptologiques. Deuxième série*. Ägyptolog. Zeitschrift. 1868. S. 49.

[1064]. Champfleury. *Histoire de la Caricature au Moyen Age*. Paris, 1867-1871.

[1064:0]. Chapront-Touze, M., and J. Chapront. Lunar ephemeris computation software. (Program ELP2000-85, version 1.0, Fortran 77). Bureau des Longitudes, URA 707. 1988. Available online.

[1064:1]. *Château de Chillon*. Booklet.
Château de Chillon, Veytaux
(www.chillon.ch), 2000.

[1065]. Childress, David Hatcher. *Lost Cities of Atlantis, Ancient Europe & the Mediterranean*. Stelle, Illinois 60919 USA, Adventures Unlimited Press, 1996.

[1066]. Chirikov, B. V., and V. V. Vecheslavov. *Chaotic dynamics of comet Halley*. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, Volume 221, No. 1 (1989): 146-154.

[1067]. Chmelarz, Eduard. *Die Ehrepforte des Kaisers Maximilian I*. Unterscheidheim 1972. Verlag Walter Uhl. Jahrbuch der

Kunsthistorischen Sammlungen des
Allerhöchsten Kaiserhauses.
Herausgegeben unter Leitung des
Oberstkämmerers seiner
Kaiserlichen und Königlichen
Apostolischen Majestät. Ferdinand
Grafen zu Trauttmansdorff-Weinsberg
vom K. K. Oberstkämmerer-Amte.
Vierter Band. Mit 39 Kupfertafeln in
Heliogravure und Radierung, 100
Holzschnittafeln und 56 Text-
Illustrationen in Heliogravure,
Holzschnitt und Zinkographie. Als
Beilage: 16 Holzschnitte der
Ehrenpforte des Kaisers Maximilian
I. Wien, Druck und Verlag von Adolf
Holzhausen, K. K. Hofbuchdrucker,
1886.

[1068]. Stubbs, W., ed. *Chronica magistri Rogeri de Houedone*. RS, N 51, Volume II. London, 1869, page 236. English translation: *The Annals of Roger de Hoveden, comprising the history of England and of other countries of Europe from A.D. 732 to A.D. 1201*. Tr. H. T. Riley, Volumes 1-2. London, Bohn's Antiquarian Library, 1853.

[1069]. Pestman, P.W. *Chronologie égyptienne d'après les textes démotiques*. Papyrologia Lugduno-Batava edidit Institutum Papyrologicum Universitatis Lugduno-Batavae Moderantibus M. David et B. A. von Groningen.

Volume 15. Lugdunum Batavorum,
1967.

[1070]. Cipolla, Carlo M. *Money, Prices and Civilization in the Mediterranean World. 5-17 century.* Princeton, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.

[1071]. *Claudii Ptolemaei Magnae Constructionis, id est perfectae coelestium motuum pertractationis. Lib. XIII. Theonis Alexanrini in eosdem Commentariorum Libri XI;* Basileal apud Ioannem Waledrum. C. priv. Caes. ad Quinquennium. 1538.

[1072]. *Claudii Ptolemaei Phelusiensis Alexandrini.* Anno Salutis, 1528.

[1073]. *Claudii Ptolemaei Pelusiensis Alexandrini omnia quae extant opera*. 1551.

[1074]. Clemens, Jöcle. *Speyer Cathedral*. Regensburg, Verlag Scgnell & Steiner GmbH Regensburg, 1997.

[1075]. Clinton, H.F. *Fasti Hellenici, a Civil and Literary Chronology from the Earliest Times to the Death of Augustus*. Oxford, 1830-1841.

[1076]. Copernici, N. *Revolutionibus Orbium Caelestium*. Lib. VI. Ed. by G. Loachimi. Thoruni, 1873.

[1077]. Corbinianus. *Firmamentum Firmianum*. (The Pulkovo

Observatory Library). 1731.

[1078]. Cordier, H. *Marco Polo and His Book*. Introductory notices. In: *The Travels of Marco Polo*. The complete Yule-Cordier. Volumes 1 and 2. New York, Dover, 1993.

[1078:1]. Wytfliet, Cornelius. *Descriptionis Ptolemaicae Augmentum sive Occidentis notitia brevis commentario*. Louvain 1597. With an introduction by R. A. Skelton. *Theatrum Orbis Terrarum*. A Series of Atlases in Facsimile. 1st Series, Vol. V. Amsterdam, N. Israel, Meridian, 1964.

[1079]. Costard, G. *The History of Astronomy with its Application to*

Geography, History and Chronology. London, J. Lister, 1967.

[1080]. Harmon, Craig. *The Natural Distribution of Radiocarbon and the Exchange Time of Carbon Dioxides between Atmosphere and Sea*.

Volume 9. *Tellus*. 1957. 1-17.

[1081]. Harmon, Craig. *Carbon-13 in Plants and the Relationships between Carbon-13 and Carbon-14 Variations in Nature*. *J. Geol.*, 62 (1954): 115-149.

[1081:1]. El Mahdy, Christine. *Mummies, Myths and Magic in Ancient Egypt*. Thames and Hudson, 1989.

- [1082]. Crowe, C. *Carbon-14 activity during the past 5000 years. Nature*, Volume 182 (1958): 470.
- [1083]. Danit Hadary-Salomon, ed. *2000 Years of Pilgrimage to the Holy Land*. Israel, AC Alfa Communication Ltd., 1999.
- [1084]. *Das Münster zu Bonn. The Bonn Minster*. Former Collegiate Church of SS. Cassius and Florentius. Series: Kleine Kunstführer. Achnell, Art Guide No. 593 (of 1954). Second English edition 1997. Regensburg, Germany, Verlag Schnell & Steiner GmbH Regensburg, 1997.
- [1085]. David, Daniel. *Let There be Light. William Tyndale and the*

Making of the English Bible. A British Library Exhibition at The Huntington. 19 November, 1996 - 7 February, 1997. London, The British Library, 1994.

[1086]. Davidovits, Joseph. *Alchemy and Pyramids. The Book of Stone.* Vol. 1. France-USA, Geopolymer Institute, 1983.

[1087]. Davidovits, Joseph. *Alchemy and Pyramids.* Translated from French by A. C. James and J. James. Rev. ed. *Que le Khnoum protège Khéops constructeur de pyramide.* Saint Quentin, France, 1983; Miami Shores, Fla., USA, Institute for Applied Archaeological Science,

Barry University, 1984.

[1088]. Davidovits, Joseph. *Amenhotep, Joseph and Solomon*. 1st ed. Miami Shores, Fla., U.S.A., Geopolymer Institute, Institute for Applied Archaeological Science, Barry University, 1984.

[1089]. Davidovits, Joseph. *Que le dieu Khnoum protège Khéops constructeur de pyramide: histoire de la civilisation Égyptienne de 3500 é 1500 ans avant J.-C.* Saint-Quentin, 1978.

[1090]. Davidovits, Joseph. *Le calcaire des pierres des Grandes Pyramides d'Égypte serait un béton géopolymère vieux de 4.600 ans.*

Résumé des cours-conférences tenus en 1983 et 1984. *Revue des Questions Scientifiques*, Volume 156(2) (1986): 199-225.

[1091]. Davidovits, Joseph. *No more than 1,400 workers to build the Pyramid of Cheops with manmade stone*. 3rd Int. Congress of Egyptologists. Toronto, Canada: paper AA-126, publié dans Appendix 3 de Davidovits, 1983.

[1092]. Davidovits, Joseph, and Margie Morris. *The Pyramids: an Enigma Solved*. New York, Hippocrene Books, 1988. New York, Dorset Press, 1989, 1990.

[1093]. Davidovits J., J. Thodez, and

Gaber M Hisham. *Pyramids of Egypt Made of Man-Made Stone, Myth or Fact?* Symposium on Archeometry 1984, Smithsonian Institution, abstract 26-27. Washington, D.C., USA, 1984.

[1094]. Davies, Nartin. *The Gutenberg Bible*. London, The British Library, 1996.

[1095]. Degrassi, A. *Fasti Capitolini*. 1954; I Fasti consolari dell'impero romano, 1952.

[1096]. Delambre, J. B. *Histoire de l'Astronomie*. 2 Volumes. Paris, 1817.

[1097]. Delambre, J. *Histoire de*

l'Astronomie moderne. 2 Volumes.
Paris, 1821.

[1098]. *Della origine et ruccessi degli Slavi, oratione di M. V. Pribevo, Dalmatino da Lesena, etc. et hora tradotta della lingua Latina nell'Italiana da Bellisario Malaspalli, da Spalato.* Venetia, 1595.

[1099]. *Der Marienschrein im Dom zu Aachen.* Die Publikation dieses Sonderheftes erfolgt durch die Grünenthal GmbH, Aachen. Domkapitel, 2000.

[1100]. *Description de l'Égypte. Publiée sous les ordres de Napoléon de Bonaparte. Description de*

l'Égypte ou recueil des observations et des recherches qui ont été faites en Égypte pendant l'expédition de l'Armée française publié sous les ordres de Napoléon Bonaparte.
Bibliothèque de l'Image. Inter-Livres. 1995.

[1101]. Desroches-Noblecourt, Christiane. *Life and Death of Pharaoh Tutankhamen*. London, Penguin Books, 1963.

[1101:1]. *Deutschland. Germany. Allemagne. Germania*. Euro Map. Halwag AG, Bern, Printed in Switzerland-Germany 4-26 AK.

[1102]. Dheily, J. *Dictionnaire Biblique*. Ed. Desclec. Tournai, 1964. 193.

[1103]. *Dialogus Historicus Palladii episcopi Helenopolis cum Theodoro*. Patrologiae Cursus Completus. Patrologiae Graecae. T. LVII. J.-P. Migne, 1858.

[1104]. *Die Bibel. Oder die Ganze Heilige Schrift des Alten and Neuen Testaments*. Nach der Überetzung Martin Luthers. Württembergische Bibelanstalt, Stuttgart. 1967.

1105. *Die Weihnachtsgeschichte. Nacherzählt in Bildern aus der Bibliotheca Apostolica Vaticana*. Stuttgart, Zürich, Belser Verlag, 1993.

[1106]. *Dom Betrachtung. Die Hochgräber im Kölner Dom*. 4.

Herausgeber, Dompfarramt –
Dompfarrer Rolf Breitenbruch,
Domkloster 3, 50667, Köln.

[1107]. Douais, C. *L'Inquisition, ses origines, sa procédure*. Paris, 1906.

[1108]. Dreyer, J. L. E. *On the Origin of Ptolemy's Catalogue of Stars*. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, No. 77 (1917): 528-539.

[1109]. Dreyer, J. L. E. *On the Origin of Ptolemy's Catalogue of Stars*. Second Paper. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, No. 78 (1918): 343-349.

[1110]. Duden. *Ethymologie*:

Herkunftswörterbuch der deutschen Sprache. Mannheim, Wien; Dudenverlag, Zürich, 1989.

[1111]. Duncan, A.J. *Quality Control and Industrial Statistics*. NY, Irwin, 1974.

[1112]. Dupont-Sommer, A. *Les écrits essentiels découverts près de la Mer Morte*. Paris, 1957.

[1113]. Dupuis, C. *The Origin of All Religious Worship*. New Orleans, 1872.

[1114]. Duvernoy, Jean. *Le catharisme*. Volume I: *La religion des Cathares*. Volume II: *Histoire des Cathares*. Toulouse, Private, 1976 and 1979.

Re-published 1986.

- [1115]. Duvernoy, Jean, Paul Labal, Robert Lafont, Philippe Martell, and Michel Roquebert. *Les Cathares en Occitanie*. Fayard, 1981.
- [1116]. Van Ermen, Eduard. *The United States in Old Maps and Prints*. Wilmington USA, Atomium Books, 1990.
- [1116:1]. *Égypte*. Large album with photographs. Paris, Molière, Art Image, 1998.
- [1117]. Eichler, Anja-Franziska. *Albrecht Dürer. 1471-1528*. Cologne, Könemann Verlagsgesellschaft GmbH, 1999.

[1118]. *Encyclopaedia Britannica; or, a Dictionary of Arts and Sciences, compiled upon a new Plan. In which the different Sciences and Arts are digested into distinct Treatises or Systems; and the various Technical Terms, etc. are explained as they occur in the order of the Alphabet. Illustrated with one hundred and sixty copperplates. By a Society of Gentlemen in Scotland. In 3 volumes.* Edinburgh, A. Bell and C. Macfarquhar, 1771.

[1118:1]. *Encyclopaedia Britannica.*
On-line version, 2001.

[1119]. Evans, James. *On the Origin of the Ptolemaic Star Catalogue.* Part

1. *Journal for the History of Astronomy*, Volume 18, Part 3, No. 54 (August 1987): 155-172.

[1120]. Evans, James. *On the Origin of the Ptolemaic Star Catalogue*. Part 2. *Journal for the History of Astronomy*, Volume 18, Part 4, No. 55 (November 1987): 235-277.

[1121]. Liebermann, F., and R. Pauli, Eds. *Ex Annalibus Melrosensibus*. MGH SS, T.XXVII. Hannoverae, 1885. 439.

[1121:1]. Winship, Betsy, and Sheila Stoneham, eds. *Explosives and Rock Blasting*. Field Technical Operations. Atlas Rowder Company. Dallas, Texas, Marple Press, 1987.

- [1122]. Fatih, Cimok. *Hagia Sophia*.
Istanbul, A turizm yayinlari, 1995.
- [1123]. Fatih, Cimok. *Hagia Sophia*.
Istanbul, A turizm yayinlari, 1985.
- [1124]. Fergusson, G. I. *Reduction of
Atmospheric Radiocarbon
Concentration by Fossil Fuel
Carbon Dioxide and the Mean Life
of Carbon Dioxide in the
Atmosphere*. London, Proc. Royal
Soc., 243 A, pages 561-574. 1958.
- [1125]. Filarete, Antonio Averlino.
Tractat über die Baukunst. Vienna,
1890.
- [1126]. Fischer, Fr. *Thucydides
reliquiae in papyris et membranis*

aigiptiacis servatae. Lipsiae, 1913.

[1127]. Verlag, Dr. Ludwig Reichert.
*Flüsse im Herzen Europas. Rhein-
Elbe-Donau*. Kartenabteilung der
Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin.
Preussischer Kulturbesitz.
Wiesbaden, 1993.

[1128]. Fomenko, A.T. *The Jump of the
Second Derivative of the Moon's
Elongation. Celestial Mechanics*,
Volume 29 (1981): 33-40.

[1129]. Fomenko, A. T. *Some New
Empirico-Statistical Methods of
Dating and the Analysis of Present
Global Chronology*. The British
Library. Department of Printed
Books. Cup. 918/87. 1981.

[1130]. Fomenko, A.T. *New Empirico-Statistical Dating Methods and Statistics of Certain Astronomical Data*. The theses of the First International Congress of the International Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability Theory. Volume 2. Moscow, Nauka, 1986. 892.

[1131]. Fomenko, A.T. *Duplicates in Mixed Sequences and a Frequency Duplication Principle. Methods and Applications*. Probability theory and mathematical statistics. Proceeding of the 4th Vilnius Conference (24-29 June 1985). Volume 16. Utrecht, Netherlands, VNU Science, 1987.

439-465.

- [1132]. Fomenko, A.T. *Empirico-Statistical Methods in Ordering Narrative Texts. International Statistical Review*, Volume 566, No. 3 (1988): 279-301.
- [1133]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G.V. Nosovskiy. *When was Ptolemy's Star Catalogue in "Almagest" Compiled in Reality?* Preprint. No. 1989-04, ISSN 0347-2809. Dept. of Math., Chalmers Univ. of Technology, The University of Goteborg. Sweden.
- [1134]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G.V. Nosovskiy. *When was Ptolemy's Star Catalogue*

*in "Almagest" Compiled in Reality?
Statistical Analysis. Acta
Applicandae Mathematicae. Volume
17. 1989. 203-229.*

[1135]. Fomenko, A. T. *Mathematical
Statistics and Problems of Ancient
Chronology. A New Approach. Acta
Applicandae Mathematicae. Volume
17. 1989. 231-256.*

[1136]. Fomenko, A. T., Kalashnikov V.
V., Nosovskiy G. V. *Geometrical
and Statistical Methods of Analysis
of Star Configurations. Dating
Ptolemy's Almagest. USA, CRC
Press, 1993.*

[1137]. Fomenko, A. T. *Empirico-
Statistical Analysis of Narrative*

Material and its Applications to Historical Dating. Volume 1: *The Development of the Statistical Tools*. Volume 2: *The Analysis of Ancient and Medieval Records*. The Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.

[1138]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G.V. Nosovskiyy. *The dating of Ptolemy's Almagest based on the coverings of the stars and on lunar eclipses*. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae. Volume 29. 1992. 281-298.

[1139]. Fomenko, A. T., V. V. Kalashnikov, and G.V. Nosovskiyy. *Statistical analysis and dating of the*

observations on which Ptolemy's "Almagest" star catalogue is based.
In: *Probability theory and mathematical statistics*. Proc. of the Fifth Vilnius Conference. Volume 1. Moklas, Vilnius, Lithuania. VSP, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1990. 360-374.

[1140]. Fomenko, A. T., and S. T. Rachev. *Volume Functions of Historical Texts and the Amplitude Correlation Principle*. Computers and the Humanities. Vol. 24. 1990. 187-206.

[1141]. Manuel, Frank E. *Isaac Newton, the Historian*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, The Belknap Press,

1963.

- [1142]. Franke, Peter Robert, and Ilse Paar. *Die Antiken Münzen der Sammlung Heynen. Katalog mit Historischen Erläuterungen.* Landschaftsmuseum Krefeld-Burglinn. Rheinland-Verlag, Köln, in Kommission bei Rudolf Habelt Verlag, Bonn. 1976.
- [1143]. de Landa, Friar Diego. *Yucatan before and after the Conquest.* Translated with notes by William Gates. San Fernando, Atrio de San Francisco, 1993.
- [1144]. Fricke, W., and A. Koff *FK4*. No.10. Heidelberg, Veröf. Astr. Inst., 1963.

[1145]. Fuchs, W. *Nach allen Regeln der Kunst. Diagnosen über Literatur, Musik, bildende Kunst. Die Werke, ihre Autoren und Schöpfer*. Stuttgart, Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt., 1968.

[1146]. Fuchs, W. *Mathematical Theory of Word-Formation*. London, 1955.

[1147]. Fulton, Alexander. *Scotland and her Tartans. The Romantic Heritage of the Scottish Clans and Families*. Colour Library Books Ltd., Sandbach, Cheshire; Godalming, Surrey, 1991.

[1148]. Fussbroich, Helmut. *St. Maria Lyskirchen in Köln*. Rheinische Kunststätten. Heft 60. Rheinischer

Verein für Denkmalpflege und
Landschaftsschutz. Köln, Neusser
Druckerei und Verlag GmbH, 1992.

[1149]. Gabovitsch, Eugen. *Newton als
geistiger Vater der
Chronologiekritik und
Geschichtsrekonstruktion (neben
Hardoin)*. Bemerkungen zum Artikel
von Uwe Topper in Synesis Nr.
4/1999. Efodon-Synesis (Germany)
Nov/Dez. 1999, Nr. 6/1999, S. 29-
33.

[1150]. Gabovitsch, Eugen. *Die Grosse
Mauer als ein Mythos: Die
Errichtungsgeschichte der
Chinesischen Mauer und ihre
Mythologisierung*. Efodon-Synesis

(Germany), Nov/Dez. 1999,
Nr.6/1999, S. 9-21.

[1151]. Gadol, J. *Leon Battista Alberti*.
Chicago, London, 1969.

[1152]. Gassendi. *Nicolai Copernici
vita*. A supplement to the edition
titled *Tychonis Braheii, equitis Mani,
astronomorum copyrhaei vita*.
XDCLV.

[1152:1]. El Gayar, El Sayed, and M. P.
Jones. *Metallurgical Investigation
of the Iron plate found in 1837 in
the Great Pyramid at Gizeh, Egypt*.
In: *Journal of the Historical
Metallurgy Society*, Volume 1
(1989): 75-83.

[1153]. Gingerich, O. *Ptolemy Revisited: A Reply to R. R. Newton. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, No.22 (1981): 40-44.

[1154]. Ginzler, F. K. *Spezieller Kanon der Sonnen- und Mondfinsternisse für das Ländergebiet der klassischen Altertumswissenschaften und den Zeitraum von 900 vor Chr. bis 600 nach Chr.* Berlin, Mayer & Müller, 1899.

[1155]. Ginzler, F.K. *Handbuch der Mathematischen und Technischen Chronologie*. Bd. I-III. Leipzig, 1906, 1911, 1914.

[1156]. Ginzler, F. K., and A. Wilkens.

Theorie der Finsternisse. Encykl. der Wissenschaften. Bd. VI, 2. S. 335. 1908.

[1157]. Girou, Jean. *Simon de Monfort*. Paris: La Colombe, 1953.

[1158]. Della Fina, Giuseppe M., *Luoghi e tempi Etruschi schede di ricerca*. Firenze: Fatatrac, 1989.

[1159]. Gladwin, H. *Men out of Asia*. NY, 1949.

[1160]. Goss, John. *Kartenkunst: Die Geschichte der Kartographie*. Deutsche Ausgabe: Georg Westermann Verlag, Braunschweig, 1994. German translation of the English edition: Goss, John. *The Mapmaker's Art*. A

History of Cartography. London,
Studio Editions Ltd.

[1160:1]. Granier, J., and S. Gagnière.
*Avignon. (The city at Sunset. The
Popes' Palace. The Saint Benezet
bridge)*. English edition. Éditions du
Boumian, Monaco.

[1161]. Grasshoff, Gerd. *The History of
Ptolemy's Star Catalogue*. New
York, Springer Verlag, 1990.

[1162]. Grienberger, C. *Catalogus
Veteres affixarum longitudines et
latitudines cum novis conferens*.
Romae apud B. Zannetum, 1612. (The
Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1163]. Grierson, Philip. *Coinage and*

Money in Byzantine Empire.

Spoletto, 1961.

[1164]. Grierson, Philip. *Monnaies du Moyen Âge*. Fribourg, 1976.

[1165]. Grimme, Ernst Günther. *Der Dom zu Aachen. Architektur und Ausstattung*. Aachen, Einhard-Verlag, 1994.

[1166]. Grollenberg, L. N. *Atlas of the Bible*. NY, 1956.

[1167]. Gualberto, Zapata Alonzo. *An Overview of the Mayan World. With a Synthesis of the Olmec, Totonac Zapotec, Mixtec, Teotihuacan, Toltec and Aztec Civilizations*. Mexico, Merida, 1993.

[1167:1]. *Guide to Edo-Tokyo Museum* (English edition). Edited by Edo-Tokyo Museum. Japan Broadcast Publishing Co., Ltd. Printed in Japan by Toppan Printing Co., Ltd.

[1168]. *Gutenberg-Bibel. Geschichtliche Bücher des Alten Testaments. Die bibliophilen Taschenbücher. Dortmund, Harenberg Kommunikation, 1977.*

[1169]. *Gutenberg Bibel (1452-1455)*. Reprinted 1968 by Verlag Konrad Köbl. 8022 Grünwald bei München, Hubertusstrasse 13. Firma Elektra, Reprografischer Betrieb, Kjeld Höjring, Niedernhausen/Ts. Printed in Germany.

- [1170]. Schneider, Dr. Cornelia.
Gutenberg-Dokumentation.
Information Mittelalter. Das Buch vor Gutenberg (I). Gutenberg-Museum Mainz, 1990.
- [1171]. Schneider, Dr. Cornelia.
Gutenberg-Dokumentation.
Information Mittelalter. Das Buch vor Gutenberg (II). Gutenberg-Museum Mainz, 1990.
- [1172]. *Haack Geographisch-Kartographischer Kalender.*
Germany, Haack Gotha, VEB Hermann Haack Geographisch-Kartographische Anstalt Gotha, 1983.
- [1172:1]. *Haack Geographisch-Kartographischer Kalender.*

Germany, Haack Gotha, VEB
Hermann Haack Geographisch-
Kartographische Anstalt Gotha, 1988.

[1173]. Hagek, W. *Kronyka Czeska*.
Prague, 1541.

[1174]. Hans, Peter. *Der Dom zu Köln.
1248-1948*. Düsseldorf, Verlag L.
Schwann, 1948.

[1175]. Hansen, P. *Ecliptische Tafeln
für die Konjunktionen des Mondes
und der Sonne*. Leipzig, 1857.

[1176]. Hansen, P. *Theorie der
Sonnenfinsternisse und verwandten
Erscheinungen*. Leipzig, 1859.

[1177]. Harley, J. B., and David
Woodward. *The History of*

Cartography. Volume 1.

Cartography in Prehistoric, Ancient and Medieval Europe and the Mediterranean. Chicago & London, The University of Chicago Press, 1987.

[1178]. Harvey, Arden. *Who Owns Our Past? National Geographic*, Volume 175, No.3 (March 1989): 376-393.

[1179]. Hauvette, A. *Herodote historien des guerres midiques.* Paris, 1894.

[1180]. Haveta, E. *La modernité des prophètes.* Paris, 1891.

[1181]. Hazirlayan, H. H. Aliy Yalcin (Hz. Yusa Camii Imam-Hatibi). *Hazreti Yusa (Aleyhisselam).*

Istanbul. Brochure written by the prior of the temple at the grave of St. Iusha at the outskirts of Istanbul.

[1182]. Hearnshaw, J .B., and D. Khan. *An Analysis of the Magnitude Data in Ptolemy's Almagest*. Southern Stars. Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society of New Zealand (Wellington), Volume 36, Nos. 5-6 (December 1955): 169-177.

[1183]. Heath, T. L. *Aristarchus of Samos, the Ancient Copernicus; a History of Greek Astronomy to Aristarchus, together with Aristarchus' Treatise on the Sizes and Distances of the Sun and Moon*. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1913.

[1184]. Heine-Geldern, R., and G.Ekholm. *Significant parallels in the symbolic arts of Southern Asia and Middle America*. In: *Selected Papers of the 29th International Congress of Americanists*, Volume 1. Chicago, 1951. 306.

[1185]. Heinsohn, Gunnar. *Assyrerkönige gleich Perserherrscher! (Die Assyrienfunde bestätigen das Achämenidenreich)*. Gräfelfing, Mantis Verlag, 1996.

[1186]. Heinsohn, Gunnar, and Heribert Illig. *Wann lebten die Pharaonen? (Archäologische and technologische Grundlagen für eine Neuschreibung der Geschichte Ägyptens and der*

übrigen Welt.) Gräfelting, Mantis Verlag, 1997.

[1187]. Heintze, C. *Objects rituels, croyances et dieux de la Chine antique et de l'Amérique.* Antwerpen, 1936.

[1188]. Heis. *Die Finsternisse während des pelop. Krieges.* Progr. d. Fried. Wilh. Gimm. Köln, 1834.

[1189]. Herbert, Ewe. *Abbild oder Phantasie? Schiffe auf historischen Karten.* Rostock, VEB Hinstorff Verlag, 1978.

[1190]. [Herodotus]. *The History of Herodotus.* London, 1858.

[1191]. Hignett, C. *Xerxes Invasion of*

Greece. Oxford, 1963.

- [1192]. Hincks, E. *The Egyptian Dynasties of Manetho*. The Journal of Sacred Literature. London, 1864.
- [1193]. Hipparchus. *Hipparchi in Arati et Eudoxi Phenomena Commentarium*. Ed. and German trans. C. Manitius. Leipzig, 1894.
- [1194]. *Historiae bysantinae scriptores post Theophanem. Patrologiae cursus completus. Series graeca posterior*. T.CIX. J.-P. Migne, 1863.
- [1195]. Hochart. *De l'authenticité des Annales et des Histoires de Tacite*. Paris, 1890.
- [1196]. Hodge, K.C., and G.W.A.

Newton. *Radiocarbon Dating. Manchester Museum Mummy Project. Multidisciplinary Research on Ancient Egyptian Mummified Remains*. Edited by A. Rosalie David. Published by Manchester Museum. Manchester, England, 1979. 137-147.

[1197]. Hofflit, D. *The Bright Star Catalogue*. New Haven Connecticut, USA, Yale Univ. Obs., 1982.

[1198]. Hoffman. *Sämtliche bei griechischen und lateinschen Schriftstellern des Altertums erwähnte Sonnen- und Mondfinsternisse*. Trieste, 1885.

[1199]. Horster, M. *Brunelleschi und*

Alberti in ihrer Stellung zur römischen Antike. Florence, 1973.

[1200]. Horus. *The Enigma Surrounding the Sphinx.* An Egyptian Magazine, April/June 1999.

[1201]. Hoster, Joseph. *Der Dom zu Köln.* Köln, Greven Verlag, 1965.

[1202]. Huddleston, L.E. *Origin of the American Indian. European Concepts, 1492-1729.* Austin, 1967.

[1203]. Hütt, Wolfgang. *Altdorfer. Maler und Werk.* Eine Kunstheftreihe aus dem VEB Verlag der Kunst. Dresden, 1976.

[1204]. Hugot, Leo. *Aachen Cathedral.* Aachen, Germany, Einhard Verlag,

1988.

- [1205]. Ideler, L. *Handbuch der mathematischen und technischen Chronologie*. Band 1-2. Berlin, 1825-1826.
- [1206]. Ilhan Aksit. *The Topkapi Palace*. Istanbul, Aksit Kultur Turism Sanat Ajans Ltd., 1995.
- [1207]. Ilhan Aksit. *The Museum of Chora. Mosaics and Frescoes*. Istanbul, Aksit Kultur Turism Sanat Ajans Ltd., 1995.
- [1208]. Illig, Heribert. *Hat Karl der Große je gelebt? (Bauten, Funde und Schriften im Widerstreit)*. Gräfelfing, Mantis Verlag, 1996.

[1208:1]. *Irish Dictionary*. Collins
Gem. English-Irish. Irish-English.
Seamus Mac Mathuna and O Corrain
(University of Ulster). Harper
Collins, 1999.

[1209]. Isidori Junioris. *Hispalensis
episcopi: De responsione mundi*.
1472. (The Pulkovo Observatory
Library.)

[1210]. Islam. *Kunst und Architektur*.
Herausgegeben von Markus Hattstein
und Peter Delis. Köln, Könemann,
2000.

[1211]. *Istanbul and the Marmara
Region. A Tale of two Continents*.
Turkey, The Ministry of Tourism,
Istanbul, 1994.

- [1212]. Janin, R. *Constantinople Byzantine*. Paris, 1950.
- [1213]. Jirku, A. (Jurku, A.) *Ausgrabungen in Palästina-Syrien*. Halle, 1956.
- [1214]. Johnson, Edwin. *The Rise of English Culture*. Williams and Norgate. London-New York, Putnam, 1904.
- [1215]. Johnson, Edwin. *The Rise of Christendom*. London, Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner, & Co. Ltd., 1890.
- [1215:1]. Johnson, Paul. *The civilization of Ancient Egypt*. London, Seven Dials, Cassel & Co., 2000.

[1216]. Joubert, Pierre. *L'Heraldique. Les guides pratiques*. Editions Ouest-France, 1984.

[1217]. Keegan, John. *A History of Warfare*. New York, Vintage Books, 1994.

[1218]. *Katalog dawnych map Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej w kolekcji Emeryka Hutten Czapskiego i w innych zbiorach*. Wrocław, Warszawa, Kraków, Gdańsk: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich, Wyd. Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Instytut Geografii i Przestrzennego Zagospodarowania. Ossolineum. N.1. Mapy XV-XVI wieku. 1978.

[1219]. Keller, W. *Und die Bibel hat*

doch Recht. Düsseldorf, 1958.

[1220]. Kenyon, K. M. *Digging in Jericho.* London, 1957.

[1221]. *Kings & Queens of England. A set of picture cards.* Great Britain, Fax Pax Ltd., 1988.

[1222]. Kinoshita, H. *Formulas for Precession.* Smithsonian Inst. Astrophys. Observatory. Cambridge, Massachussets, 1975.

[1223]. Sale, Kirkpatrick. *The Conquest of Paradise. Christopher Columbus and the Columbian Legacy.* New York, Penguin Books, 1990.

[1224]. Knobel, E.B. *British School of Archaeology in Egypt and Egyptian*

Research Account. London, 1908.

[1225]. Knobel, E.B. *The Chronology of Star Catalogues.* Memoirs of the Royal Astronomical Society. No.43 (1877): 1-74.

[1226]. Kobold, H. *Finsternisse. Handwörterbuch der Astronomie.* Herausg. von W. Valentiner. Bd. I. Breslau, 1897.

[1227]. Koeva, Margarita. *Rila Monastery.* Sofia, Borina, 1995.

[1228]. *Köln in historischen Stadtplänen. Die Entwicklung der Stadt seit dem XVI Jahrhundert.* Berlin, Argon, 1995.

[1229]. *Kostbarkeiten der Buchkunst.*

*Illuminationen klassischer Werke
von Archimedes bis Vergil.*

Herausgegeben von Giovanni
Morello. Stuttgart-Zürich, Belser
Verlag, 1997.

- [1230]. Krishnaiah, P. and B. Miao.
*Review about Estimation of Change-
Points.* In: *Handbook of Statistics*,
Volume 7. 1988. 375-402.
- [1231]. *Krönungen, Könige in Aachen.
Geschichte und Mythos.* Vom 12.
Juni bis 3. Oktober 2000 in Rathaus,
Domschatzkammer und Dom, Aachen.
(Annette Fusenig M. A. und Barbara
Jacobs M. A.). From 12th of June to
3rd October 2000 in Town Hall,
Cathedral Treasury and Cathedral,

Aachen. Kurzfürer zur Ausstellung.
Guide to the exhibition. Printed in
Germany by Verein Aachener
Krönungsgeschichte e. V.

[1232]. Mittelstädt, Kuno. *Albrecht
Dürer*. Henschelverlag Kunst und
Gesellschaft. Arkady, Warszawa-
Berlin, 1977.

[1232:1]. *Kunst des Mittelalters in
Armenien*. Burchard Brentjes, Stepan
Mnazakanjan, Nina Stepanjan.
(Kultur. Architektur. Plastik.
Wandmalerei. Buchmalerei.
Angewandte Kunst). Union Verlag,
Berlin, 1981

[1233]. Lafuente, Jesús Precedo.
Visitor's Guide. The Cathedral of

Santiago de Compostela. Spain:
Aldeasa, División Palacios y
Museos, Estudios Gra'ficos
Europeos, 1998.

[1234]. Kurth, Willi. *The Complete Woodcuts of Albrecht Dürer*. With an introduction by Campbell Dodgson, M.A., C.B.E. New York, Dover Publications, Inc., 1963.

[1235]. Lajta, Edit. *Malarstwo Francuskie od Gotyku do Renesansu*. Wydawnictwa Artystyczne i Filmowe-Warszawa. Drukowano na Wegrezech, 1979. Drukarnia Kossuth, Budapeszt. Wspolne wydanie wydawnictw Corvina, Budapest i WAiF,

Warszawa.

[1236]. *L'art de vérifier les dates faites historiques*. Ed. par des Bénédictines. 1 ed., Paris, 1750; 2 ed., Paris, 1770; 3 ed., Paris, 1783, 1784, 1787.

[1237]. Laclotte, Michel (Director, Musée du Louvre). *Treasures of the Louvre*. New York, London-Paris, Abbeville, 1993.

[1238]. Langeteau, C. *Tables pour le calcul des syzygies ecliptiques, Connaissanse des Temps pour 1846*. Paris, 1843, 1850.

[1239]. Layamon. *Brut, or the Chronicle of Britain*. Ed. F. Madden.

Volume II. London, 1847. 525-526,
vv. 22589-22602.

[1240]. Stegena, Lajos, ed. *Lazarus Secretarius. The First Hungarian Mapmaker and His Work*. Budapest, Akademiai Kiado, 1982.

[1240:1]. Lecoq-Ramond, Sylvie, and Béguerie Pantxika. *Le Musée d'Unterlinden de Colmar*. Musées et Monuments de France. Paris, Schongauer & Albin Michel, 1991.

[1241]. Leland, C. *Fusang or discovery of America by Chinese Buddhist priests in the 5th century*. London, 1875.

[1242]. Dal Maso, Leonardo B.. *Rome*

of the Caesars. Firenze, Bonechi
Editioni Il Turismo, 1974, 1992.

[1243]. *Le Saint voyage de Jérusalem
de seigneur d'Anglure*. Paris, F.
Bonnardot and A. Longnon, 1878.

[1244]. *Le Wallraf-Richartz Museum
de Cologne*. Munich, Scala, C. H.
Becksche Verlagbuchhandlung (Oscar
Beck), 1992.

[1245]. Lehmann, P. *Tafeln zur
Berechnung der Mondphasen und
Sonnen- und Mondfinsternisse*.
Berlin, 1882.

[1245:1]. *Les Grandes Civilisations
Disparues*. Sélection du Reader's
Digest. Paris-Bruxelles-Montréal-

Zurich, 1980.

[1246]. *Les Manuscripts de la Mer Morte. Aux origines du christianisme*. Les Dossiers d'Archéologie, No. 189 (Janv. 1994).

[1247]. de Austria, Leupoldus. *Compilatio de Astrorum Scientia*, cuts. 1489. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1248]. Lhotsky, A. *Auf Satze und Vortrage*. Halle, 1970-1972.

[1249]. Lichtheim, Miriam. *Ancient Egyptian Literature*. Volumes 1-3. USA, University of California Press, 1975.

[1250]. Libby, W.F. *Radiocarbon*

dating. 2nd edition. Chicago, Univ. of Chicago Press, 1955.

[1251]. Lilly, W. *An Introduction to Astrology*. London, G. Bell, 1939.

[1252]. Linde, A. v. d. *Gutenberg. Geschichte und Erdichtung*. Stuttgart, 1878.

[1253]. Linde, A. v. d. *Geschichte der Buchdruckerkunst*. Berlin, 1886.

[1254]. Lokotsch, K. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der europäischen Wörter*. Heidelberg, 1927.

[1255]. Longhi, Roberto. *Caravaggio. Die Italienische Malerei*. Dresden: Editori Riuniti Rom, VEB Verlag der Kunst, 1968

[1256]. Lubienietski, S. *Theatrum Cometicum, etc.* Amstelodami, 1666-1668. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1257]. Lubienietski, S. *Historia universalis omnium Cometarum.* Lugduni Batavorum, 1681. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1258]. *Lucas Cranach d. Ä.*
Herausgegeben von Heinz Lüdecke.
Welt der Kunst. Henschelvarlag
Kunst und Gesellschaft. Berlin, 1972.

[1259]. Magi, Giovanna, and Giuliano Valdes. *All of Turkey.* Firenze, Casa Editrice Bonechi, 1990.

[1260]. Manuel, Chrisoloras. *Manuels*

Chrisolorae Vita et scripta.

Patrologiae cursus completus. Series
graeca posterior. T. CLVI. J.-P.

Migne, 1866.

[1261]. Manuel II Palaeologus.

Laudatio funebris fratris sui

Theodori Palaeologi Despotae.

Patrologiae cursus completus. Series
graeca posterior. T. CLVI. J.-P.

Migne, 1866.

[1261:1]. *Maps of the Ancient World.*

2002 Calendar. From The Huntington
Library. Avalanche Publishing, Inc.,
2001.

[1262]. *Mapy severni a jizni hvezdne
oblohy.* Praha, Kartografie Praha,
1971.

[1263]. Marco Polo. *Le Livre des Merveilles*. La Renaissance du Livre. Collection Références. Extrait du Livre des Merveilles du Monde (Ms. fr. 2810) de la Bibliothèque nationale de France. 1999 Ulteya srl, Milan. 1996 Faksimile Verlag Luzern pour les textes et les images. 1999 La Renaissance du Livre, Tournai pour l'édition française. Belgique.

[1264]. Marco Polo. *The Travels of Marco Polo*. The Complete Yule-Cordier Edition. With a Total of 198 Illustrations and 32 Maps and Site Plans. Three Volumes Bound as Two. Volumes 1,2. Including the unabridged third edition (1903) of

Henry Yule's annotated translation, as revised by Henry Cordier; together with Cordier's later volume of notes and addenda (1920). New York, Dover Publications, Inc., 1993.

[1265]. Maria Da Villa Urbani. *Basilica of San Marco*. Milan, Editions KINA, 1993.

[1266]. Martin Behaim's 1492 *Erdapfel*. A paper version of our earliest surviving terrestrial Globe. First made in Nuremberg in 1492. Follow Marco Polo and the quest for spice on this unique medieval relic. Greaves & Thomas, London, England. Registered design & Patents Pending. Artwork & Globe Gores,

1997. (A selection of facsimile globes from the Greaves & Thomas collection. Spanning cartographic history from 1492 to the present day.)

[1267]. Maso Finiguerra. *A Florentine Picture-Chronicle*. Reproduced from the originals in the British Museum by the Imperial Press, Berlin. A critical and descriptive text by Sidney Colvin, M. A. Keeper of the prints and drawings of the British Museum. New York, Benjamin Blom, 1970.

[1268]. [Paris, Matthew] *The Illustrated Chronicles of Matthew Paris*. Cambridge, Corpus Christi College, 1993.

- [1268:1]. McKenzie, John L., S.¶J.
Dictionary of the Bible. G. Chapman,
London, 1985 (1965 by Macmillan
Publishing).
- [1269]. Meier, H. *Deutsche
Sprachstatistik*. Hildesheim, 1964.
- [1270]. de la Garza, Mercedes. *The
Mayas. 3000 years of civilization*.
Mexico, Monclem Ediciones;
Florence, Casa Editrice Bonechi,
1994.
- [1271]. *Germany*. Michelin et Cie,
1996.
- [1272]. *Paris*. Michelin et Cie, 1996.
- [1273]. Michell, J. A. *Little History of
Astro-Archaeology: Stages in the*

Transformation of a Heresy. London, 1977.

[1273:0]. Michov, H. *Weitere Beiträge zur älteren Kartographie Russlands*. Mit 1 Textabbildung und 5 Karten. Sonderabzug aus den Mitteilungen der Geographischen Gesellschaft in Hamburg, Band XXII. Hamburg: L.Friederichsen & Co. Inhaber: Dr. L. Friederichsen, 1907.

[1273:1]. Migne, J.-P. *Patrologiae Cursus Completus etc.* Paris: Petit-Montrouge, 1800-1875.

[1274]. Miller, W. *The Latins in the Levant. A History of Frankish Greece in 1204-1566*. London, 1908.

- [1275]. Mommsen, T. *Die Römische Chronologie bis auf Caesar*. Berlin, 1859, 2 Aufl.
- [1276]. Montucla, J. E. *Histoire des Mathématiques*. T.IV. Paris, 1802.
- [1277]. Montucla, J. E. *Histoire des Mathématiques*. 4 vols. Paris. 1799-1802.
- [1278]. *Musée Royal de Naples: Peintures, bronzes et statues érotiques du cabinet secret, avec les explications de M. C. F. (César Famin)*. Paris, 1857.
- [1279]. *Museum. Gutenberg Museum Mainz*. Braunschweig, Georg Westermann Verlag, 1980. (3 Auflage)

1994.)

- [1280]. Myres, J. *Herodotus. Father of History*. Oxford, 1953.
- [1281]. Ahmed Kardy. *Finding a Pharaoh's Funeral Bark*. *National Geographic*, Vol. 173, No. 4 (April 1988): 513-546.
- [1282]. Peter Miller. *Riddle of the Pyramid Boats*. *National Geographic*, Vol. 173, No. 4 (April 1988): 534-546.
- [1282:1]. Rick Gore. *The Eternal Etruscans*. *National Geographic*, Volume 173, No. 6 (June 1988): 696-743.
- [1283]. *National Geographic*, Volume

176, No. 4 (October 1989).

- [1284]. Nelli René. *Écritures cathares*. Complete Cathar writings translated into French. Planete, 1968.
- [1285]. Neugebauer, O. *Astronomische Chronologie*. Berlin and Leipzig, 1929.
- [1286]. Neugebauer, O. *Spezieller Kanon der Sonnenfinsternisse*. Ergänzungsheft, Astron. Nachr. 8, 4. Kiel, Verlag der Astronomischen Nachrichten, 1931.
- [1287]. Neugebauer, O. *A History of Ancient Mathematical Astronomy*. 3 Vols. New York-Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[1288]. Neugebauer, O. *The Exact Sciences in Antiquity*. 2nd edition. Providence, Rhode Island, Brown University Press, 1957.

[1289]. Neugebauer, Otto and Richard A. Parker. *Egyptian Astronomical Texts*. 3 vols. Providence and London: Lund Humphries for Brown University Press, 1960-1969.

[1290]. Neugebauer, O., and H. B. Van Hoesen. *Greek Horoscopes*. Philadelphia, The American Philosophical Society, 1959.

[1290:1]. Neugebauer, O., and R. A. Parker. *Egyptian Astronomical Texts*. Vols. 1-3. London, Brown University Press, 1964.

[1291]. Neugebauer, O., R. A. Parker, and D. Pingree. *The Zodiac Ceilings of Petosiris and Petubastis. Denkmäler der Oase Dachla. Aus dem Nachlass von Ahmed Fakhry.* Bearbeitet von J. Osing, M. Moursi, Do. Arnold, O. Neugebauer, R. A. Parker, D. Pingree und M. A. Nur-el-Din. Archäologische Veröffentlichungen 28 Deutsches Archäologisches Institut. Abteilung Kairo. Mainz am Rhein, Verlag Philipp von Zabern, 1982.

[1292]. Neugebauer, P. V. *Tafeln zur astronomischen Chronologie.* 3 Volumes. Leipzig, 1912.

[1293]. Neugebauer, P. V. *Abgekürzte*

Tafeln der Sonne und großen Planeten. Berlin, 1904.

[1294]. Newcomb, S. *On the recurrence of solar eclipses with tables of eclipses.* Astronomical Papers (Washington). Vol. 1, No. 1 (1882).

[1295]. Newcomb, S. *Tables of the Motion of the Earth on its Axis and around the Sun.* Astronomical Paper. V.VI, Pt.1. 1898.

[1296]. Newmann, Dianne. *The Pergamon Altar.* Staatliche Museen zu Berlin, Preussischer Kulturbesitz, 1993.

[1297]. Newton, Isaac. *Abregé de la*

chronologie de I. Newton fait par lui-même, et traduit sur le manuscrit Angloise [par Nicolas Freret]. Paris: Gavelier, 1725.

[1298]. Newton, Isaac. *The Chronology of Ancient Kingdoms Amended. To which is Prefix'd, A Short Chronicle from the First Memory of Things in Europe, to the Conquest of Persia by Alexander the Great.* London: J. Tonson, 1728. Re-edited in 1988 by Histories and Mysteries of Man Ltd.

[1299]. Newton, Isaac. *La Chronologie des Ancien Royalmes Corrigée,* Martin u.a. Translation F. Granet. Paris, 1728.

[1300]. Newton, Isaac. *Kurzer Auszug*

*aus der weltberühmten Isaac
Newtons Chronologie derer alten
Königreiche: worinnen 4 Haupt-
Periodi veste gestellt u. aus d.
Antiquität eruiert werden...; wobei
zugl. gezeiget wird, wie d. dunckle
Histoire d. alten verfallenen
Königreiche... in e. richtige
chronolog. Ordnung zu bringen sei...*
Aus d. Engl. Von Philipp Georg
Hübner. Meiningen, 1741.

[1301]. Newton, Isaac. *Abrégé de la
chronologie des ancien royaumes.*
Trad. Deel Anglois de Mr. [Andrew]
Reid. Geneve, 1743.

[1302]. Newton, Isaac. *Kurzer Auszug
aus der I. Newtons Chronologie.* Von

Pf. Georg Hübner, Hilburgshausen u.
a. 1745.

[1303]. Newton, R. R. “Astronomical evidence concerning non-gravitational forces in the Earth-Moon system.” *Astrophysics and Space Science*, Volume 16 (1972): 179-200.

[1304]. Newton, R. “Two Uses of Ancient Astronomy.” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, Series A., 276 (2 May 1974): 99-115. DOI: 10.1098/rsta.1974.0012.

[1305]. Newton, Robert R. *The Origins of Ptolemy's Astronomical Tables*. The Johns Hopkins University

Applied Physics Laboratory. The Center for Archaeoastronomy, University of Maryland. USA, 1985.

[1306]. Newton, R. R. *Ancient Astronomical Observations and the Accelerations of the Earth and Moon*. Baltimore and London, John Hopkins University Press, 1970.

[1306:1]. Newton, R. R. *The Moon's Acceleration and Its Physical Origin*. Baltimore, John Hopkins University Press, 1979.

[1307]. Newton, Robert R. *On the fractions of degrees in an ancient star catalogue*. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, Volume XX (1979): 383-394.

[1308]. Newton, Robert R. *The origins of Ptolemy's planetary parameters*. The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Lab. The Center for Archaeoastronomy. 1982. 86-90.

[1309]. *Nicolai Copernici Thorunensis de Revolutionibus Orbium Coelestium Libri VI*. Ex. auctoris autographio recudi curavit Societas Copernicana Thorunensis. Berolini, 1873.

[1310]. Nikulin, N. *Lucas Cranach. Masters of World Painting*. Leningrad, Aurora Art, 1976.

[1311]. Nilsson, M. P. *Primitive Time-Reckoning. A Study in the Origins and the First Development of the Art*

of Counting Time among the Primitive and Early Culture Peoples. Lund, Gleerup, 1920.

[1312]. Noth, M. *Die Welt des Alten Testaments.* Berlin, 1957.

[1313]. Oertel, F. *Herodots ägyptischen Logos und die Glaubwürdigkeit Herodots.* Berlin, 1970.

[1314]. Olston, A. B. *The Story of Time.* Chicago, Jarvis Universal Clock Co., 1915.

[1315]. Oppolzer, Th. *Kanon der Sonnen- und Mondfinsternisse.* Wien: K. K. Hof- und Staatsdruckerei, 1887.

[1316]. Oppolzer, Th. *Tafeln zur*

Berechnung der Mondfinsternisse.

Wien, 1883.

[1317]. Oppolzer, Th. *Syzygientafeln für den Mond.* Leipzig, Astronomische Gesellschaft, 1881.

[1318]. Orbini, Mauro. *Origine de gli Slavi & progresso dell'Imperio loro.* Pesaro, 1606.

[1319]. Orontij, Finai Delphinatus. *Canonum Astronomicum.* 1553. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1320]. Orontii, Finaei Delphinatis. *Fine Oronce, etc.* 1551. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1321]. Orr, M. A. *Dante and the Early Astronomers.* London, Gall and

Inglis, 1913.

[1321:1]. Otero, Gloria. *El Arte Romanico en España. Romanesque Art in Spain*. Subdireccion General de Promocion Exterior del Turismo. Turespaña, Spain, 1995.

[1322]. Otero, José Carro. *Santiago de Compostela*. Second edition. Leon, Spain, Editorial Everest S.A., 1999.

[1323]. Ostrowski, W. *The ancient names and early cartography of Byelorussia*. London, 1971.

[1324]. Owen, G. F. *Archaeology and the Bible*. NY, 1961.

[1325]. Page, E. S. *Continuous inspection schemes*. *Biometrika*,

Volume 41, No.1 (1954): 100-115.

[1326]. Page, E. S. *A test for a change in a parameter occurring at an unknown point. Biometrika*, Vol. 42, No.4 (1955): 523-527.

[1327]. Paladilhe, Dominique. *Simon de Monfort et le Drame Cathare*. France: Librairie Académique Perrin, 1997.

[1328]. Pannekoek, A. *A History of Astronomy*. New York, 1961.

[1329]. *Paris. Tourist Guide*. Paris: Guide Michelin, 1992.

[1330]. Parker, Richard A. *Ancient Egyptian Astronomy*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of

London, Ser. A, 276 (1974): 51-65.

[1331]. Pastoureau, Michel. *Traité d'Héraldique*. Bibliothèque de la Sauvegarde de l'Art Français. 3e éd. Paris, Grands manuels Picard, 1997.

[1332]. Venetus, Paulus. *Philisiphiae naturalis compendium clarissimi philosophi Pauli Veneti: una libro de compositione mundi, etc.* Paris, J. Lambert (s. d.), n.d.

[1333]. Pearce, A. *The science of the stars*. London, Glen & Co., 1898.

[1334]. Pearce, A. *The text-book of Astrology*. London, Glen & Co., 1911.

[1335]. Pedersen, O. *A survey of the*

Almagest. Odence, 1974.

[1335:1]. Pelloutier, S. *Histoire des Celtes*. Paris: Quillan, 1771.

[1336]. Perrier, Jacques. *Notre-Dame de Paris*. Association Maurice de Sully, Paris, 1996.

[1337]. Petavius, D. *De doctrina temporum*. Vol. 1. Paris, 1627.
(Petau, D. *Opus de doctrina temporum, etc.* Volume 1. Antwerpiae, M. DCCV.)

[1338]. Petavius, D. *Petavii Avrelianensis e Societate Iesu, Rationarium Temporum in Partes Dvas, Libros tredecim distributum*. Editio Ultima. Parisiis, Apud

Sebastianum Cramoisy, Regis, &
Reginae Architypographum:
Gabrielem Cramoisy. M.DC.LII. Cvm
Pivilegio Regis.

[1339]. Peters, C. H. F., and E. B.
Knobel *Ptolemy's Catalogue of
Stars. A Revision of the Almagest.*
Publ. No. 86. Washington, The
Carnegie Inst. of Washington, 1915.

[1340]. Petrarca, Francesco.
Familiarum rerum libri. Editione
critica per cura di Vittorio Rossi.
Firenze, 1968.

[1340:1]. Petrie, Flinders W. M. *Athribi*
Mem. of British School of
Archaeology in Egypt. Volume 14.
1902.

[1340:2]. Petrie, Flinders. *Wisdom of the Egyptians*. London, British School of Archaeology in Egypt and Bernard Quaritch Ltd., 1940.

[1341]. Pfeil, Ulrich. *Trier. A tour of the most famous sights*. Kunstverlag Weick. Passau, 1996.

[1342]. Philip, A. *The Calendar: Its History, Structure and Improvement*. Cambridge University Press, 1921.

[1343]. *Philipp Apian und die Kartographie der Renaissance*. Bayerische Staatsbibliothek. Anton H. München, Konrad Verlag, 1989.

[1344]. [Phrantzae, Georgius] *De Vita et Acriptus Georgii Phrantzae*.

Patrologiae cursus completus. Series
graeca posterior. T. CLVI. J.-P.
Migne, 1866.

[1345]. Pingre, A. *Chronologie des
eclipses qui ont été visibles depuis
le pole boréal jusque vers
l'équateur pendant les dix siècles
qui ont précédé l'ère Chrétienne.*
Paris, 1787.

[1346]. Pogo, A. *Additions and
corrections to Oppolzer's Kanon der
Mondfinsternisse. Astron. Journal,*
V. 43 (1937): 45-48.

[1347]. Pokorny, J. *Indogermanisches
etymologisches Wörterbuch.* In 2 Bd.
Tübingen. Basel: Francke Verlag,
1994 (3. Aufl.).

- [1348]. Goetz, Delia and Sylvanus G. Morley. *Popol Vuh. The Sacred Book of the Ancient Quiché Maya*. From the translation of Adrian Recinos. Volume 29 in the “Civilization of the American Indian” series. Norman and London, Univ. of Oklahoma Press, 1950. (13th edition in 1991).
- [1349]. Portal, Charles. *Histoire de la ville de Cordes (Tarn), 1222-1799*. Toulouse, 1902.
- [1350]. Priese, Karl-Heinz. *The Gold of Meroe*. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York. Mainz, Verlag Philipp von Zabern, 1993.
- [1351]. Prowe, L. *Nicolaus Copernicus*.

3 Bde. Berlin, 1883-1884.

[1352]. [Ptolemaeus, Claudius].

*Phelusiensis Alexandrini philosophi
et mathematici excellentissimi
Phaenomena stellarum 1022 fixarum
ad hanc aetatem reducta, atque
seorsum in studiosorum gratiam.
Nunc primum edita, Interprete
Georgio Trapezuntio. Excessum
Coloniae Agrippinae. Anno 1537,
octavo Calendas 5 Septembers.*

[1353]. [Ptolemaeus, Claudius].

Geographia. Ed. Sebastian Münster.
Basel, 1540. Reprint: Series of
Atlases in Facsimile. Amsterdam:
Theatrum Orbis Terrarum Ltd., 1966.

[1354]. [Ptolemaeus, Claudius]. *Clavdii*

Ptolemaei Pelusiensis Alexandrini omnia quae extant opera, praeter Geographiam, etc. Baseliae, 1551.

[1355]. Ptolemy. *The Almagest*. (Great Books of Western World, V. 16). Encyclopaedia Britannica, 1952.

[1356]. Ptolemy, C. *Claudii Ptolemaei opera quae exstant omnia*. Ed. J. L. Heiberg et al. 3 volumes. Leipzig, 1898-1903,.

[1357]. Ptolemy. *Tetrabiblos*. Ed. and trans. F. E. Robbins. Harvard, 1940.

[1358]. *Ptolemy's Almagest*. Transl. and annot. by G. J. Toomer. London, 1984.

[1359]. Putnam, James. *Mummy*.

London, New York, Eyewitness Books. 1993.

[1360]. Putnam, James. *Pyramid*. London, New York, Eyewitness Books. 1994.

[1361]. Radini (Radinus), Tedeschi. *Sideralis abyssus*. Luteciae, Impressum opa T. Kees. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library). 1514 (1511 ?).

[1362]. Ramet, Henri. *Histoire de Toulouse*. Toulouse, Le Pérégrinateur Editeur, Queray, 1994.

[1363]. Ranson, C. L. *A Late Egyptian Sarcophagus*. Bulletin of the Metropolitan Museum of Art. 9

(1914): 112-120.

[1364]. Raska. *Chronologie der Bibel*. Berlin, 1878.

[1365]. Rawlins, Dennis. *An investigation of the ancient star catalog*. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific. Volume XCIV. 1982. 359-373.

[1365:1]. Reade, Julian. *Assyrian Sculpture*. British Museum. British Museum Press, London, 1983, 1988.

[1366]. Reeves, Nicholas. *The Complete Tutankhamun. The King. The Tomb. The Royal Treasure*. New York, Thames and Hudson, 1990, 1995.

[1367]. Reeves, Nicholas, and Nan Froman. *Into the Mummy's Tomb. The Real-Life Discovery of Tutankhamun's Treasures*. Toronto: A Scholastic/Madison Press Book, 1993, 1994. 1st published in the United States by Scholastic, 1992.

[1368]. *Rembrandt Harmensz van Rijn. Tableaux dans les musées de l'Union Soviétique*. Leningrad, Aurora, 1981, 1987.

[1369]. Robert, C. *Archäologische Hermeneutik*. Berlin, 1919.

[1370]. Roberts, J. M. *The Pelican History of the World*. England, Penguin Books, 1984.

- [1371]. Robertson, J. M. *Pagan christs; studies in comparative hierology*. London, Watts & Co, 1911.
- [1372]. Roche, Déodar. *Le Catharisme*. 2 Volumes. Narbonne, Cahiers d'Études Cathares, 1973 and 1976.
- [1373]. Rogov, Alexander. *Alexandrov. (Alexandrovskaya Sloboda, or, literally, "The Freeman's Village of Alexander")*. *Museum Cities*. Leningrad, Avrora, 1979.
- [1374]. Grafton, Anthony, ed. *Rome Reborn. The Vatican Library and Renaissance Culture*. Washington: Library of Congress; New Haven, London: Yale University Press; Vatican City: Biblioteca Apostolica

Vaticana, 1993.

[1375]. Romero, Anne-Marie. *Saint-Denis. La montée des pouvoirs*. Caisse Nationale des Monuments Historiques et des Sites. Paris, CNRS, 1992, 1993.

[1376]. Roquebert, Michel. *Cathar Religion*. Toulouse, Editions Loubatières, 1994.

[1377]. Roquebert, Michel. *L'épopée Cathare, 1209-1229. (On the Crusade against the Albigeois)*. 3 volumes. Toulouse: Private, 1970, 1977 and 1986.

[1378]. Rosalba, Manzo. *New Castle Museum. Naples City Hall. Joint to*

the major for culture. D. E. C.
Artistical and Museums Patrimony
Service. Naples, n.d.

[1378:1]. Rose-Marie, Rainer Hagen.
Egypt. People, Gods, Pharaohs.
Köln: Benedikt Taschen Verlag
GmbH, 1999.

[1379]. Ross. *Tacitus and Bracciolini.*
The Annals forged in the XVth
century. London, 1878.

[1380]. Rostovzeff, M. *Social and*
Economic History of the Roman
Empire. Paris, 1957.

[1381]. Rowley, H. H. *The Old*
Testament and Modern Study.
Oxford, 1961.

[1382]. *Rundsicht der Stadt Wien zur Zeit der Türkenbelagerung, 1529, Niklas Meldemann, Nürnberg 1530.* HM Inv. Nr. 48068. Faksimile 1994, Museen der Stadt Wien Druckerei Gert Herzig, Wien. (Mediaeval plan of Vienna of the XVI c. depicting the siege of Vienna by the Turks in 1529.)

[1383]. Sacro, Bosco J. de. *Opusculum Johannis de Sacro busto spericum, cu figuris optimus ei novis textu in se, sive ambiguitate declarantibus.* Leipzig, 1494. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1384]. Sacro, Bosco J. de. *Sphera materialis.* (The Pulkovo

Observatory Library). Nürnberg,
Gedruckt durch J. Getknecht, 1516.

[1385]. Sacro, Bosco J. de. *Opusculu de Sphaera . . . clarissimi philosophi Ioannis de Sacro busto*. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library). Viennae Pannoniae, 1518.

[1386]. Sayce. *Herodotus I-III. The ancient empire of the East*. London, 1883.

[1387]. Scaliger, I. *Opus novum de emendatione temporum*. Lutetiac. Paris, 1583. (Thesaurum temporum, 1606).

[1388]. Schaarschmidt, K. *Die Sammlung der Platos Schriften zur*

*Schreidung der echten von den
unechten untersucht.* Bonn, 1866.

[1389]. Schäfer, Heinrich. *Ägyptische
und heutige Kunst und Weltgebäude
der alten Ägypter. Zwei Aufsätze.*
Berlin, Walter de Greyter, 1928.

[1390]. Schlafke, Jakob. *La Cattedrale
di Colonia.* Editione Italiana.
Bonechi Verlag Styria, Casa Editrice
Bonechi, Graz, Lahn Verlag,
Limburg/Lahn, 1990.

[1391]. Schliemann, Heinrich. *Ilios.
Stadt und Land der Trojaner.
Forschungen und Entdeckungen in
der Trojas und besonders auf der
Baustelle von Troja.* Leipzig, 1881.

[1392]. Schliemann, Heinrich. *Troja. Ergebnisse meiner neuesten Ausgrabungen auf der Baustelle von Troja, in der Heldengräbern Bunarbaschi and an anderen Orten in der Trojas im Jahre 1882.* Leipzig, 1884.

[1393]. Schilgen, Jost, and Martina Wengierek. *So schön ist Trier.* Grasberg, Sachbuchverlag Karin Mader, 1994.

[1394]. Schjellerup, H. C. F. G. *Description des étoiles fixes composée au milieu du Xe siècle de notre ère par l'astronome persan abd-Al-Rahman Al-Sufi.* St. Petersburg, 1874.

- [1395]. Schram, R. *Tafeln zur Berechnung der naheren Umstände der Sonnenfinsternisse*. Wien, 1886.
- [1396]. Schram, R. *Reductionstafeln für den Oppolzerischen Finsternis Kanon zum Übergang auf die Ginzelschen Correctionen*. Wien, 1889.
- [1396:1]. Schedel, Hartmann. *La chronique universelle de Nuremberg*. L'édition de Nuremberg, colorée et commentée. (L'édition 1493, colorée et commentée). Introduction et Appendice par Stephan Füssel. Taschen GmbH. (Köln). Köln, London, Madrid, New York, Paris, Tokyo, 2001.

[1397]. Schram, R.

*Kalendariographische und
chronologische Tafeln.* Leipzig,
1908.

1398 Schroter, J. *Spezieller Kanon der
zentralen Sonnen- und
Mondfinsternisse.* Kristiania, 1923.

[1399]. Schulten, Walter. *Der Schrein
der Heiligen drei Könige im Kölner
Dom.* Luth-Druck Köln, 1995.

[1400]. Schwahn, P. *Mathematische
Theorie der astronomischen
Finsternisse.* Leipzig, 1910.

[1401]. Schwegler, T. *Die Biblische
Urgeschichte.* München, 1960.

[1402]. Serrus, Georges. *Montségur.*

Toulouse, Editions Loubatières,
1994.

[1403]. Serrus, Georges, and Michel Roquebert. *Cathare Castles*.
Toulouse, Editions Loubatières,
1993.

[1404]. Severy, Merle. *The world of Suleyman the Magnificent*. *National Geographic*, Volume 172, No.5 (1987): 552-601.

[1405]. Siebeck, H. *Zur Chronologie der platonischen Dialoge*. Halle, 1873.

[1405:1]. Simon, J. L., P. Bretagnon, J. Chapront, M., Chapront-Touze, G. Francou, and J. Laskar. Software for

the calculation of heliocentric coordinates, radial vectors and immediate speeds for the 8 main planets of the Solar System (the PLANETAP program, Fortran 77) *Astron. Astrophys.*, 282, 663 (1994).

1405:2 Sivaramamurti, Calambur. *The Art of India*. India Book House, Bombay, 1977. Published by Harry N. Abrams, Inc., New York.

[1406]. Shaban, S. *Change-point problem and two-phase regression: annotated bibliography*. *International Statistical Review*, Volume 48 (1980): 83-86.

[1407]. *Speyer. Die Kaiserstadt am Rhein*. KINA Italia Mailand,

Kaiserdom-Pavillon Renate Hahn am
Domplatz, ATD Mailand, 1994.

[1408]. *Speyer Cathedral*. Regensburg,
Verlag Schnell & Steiner GmbH
Regensburg, 1997.

[1409]. Spielberg, W. *Die
Glaubwürdigkeit von Herodots
Bericht über Ägypten*. Berlin, 1926.

[1410]. Staccioli, Romolo A. *Storia e
civiltà degli Etruschi. Origine
apogeo decadenza di un grande
popolo dell'Italia antica*. Rome,
Newton Compton editori, 1981.

[1411]. Stancheva, Magdalena. *Veliki
Preslav*. Sofia, Zlatostrouy, 1993.

[1412]. Steeb, J. *Coelum saphiroticum*

Hebraeorum, etc. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library). Mainz, 1679.

[1413]. Stephan, Beissel S. J. *Kunstschätze des Aachener Kaiserdomes. Werke der Goldschmiedekunst, Elfenbeinschnitzerei und Textilkunst.* M. Gladbach. Druck und Verlag von B. Kühlen. Anstalt für Christliche Kunst. 1904.

[1414]. Stevens, Henry N. *Ptolemy's Geography. A brief account of all printed editions down to 1730.* Amsterdam, Theatrum Orbis Terrarum Ltd. Meridian Publishing Company, 1972.

[1415]. Stierlin, Henri. *The Pharaohs*

Master-Builders. Paris, Finest
S.A./Éditions Pierre Terrail, 1992.

[1416]. *St. Lorenz. Sagen +
Geschichten*. 73. Verein zur
Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in
Nürnberg (E.V.). Herausgegeben von
Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz.
Nürnberg. Nr. 15/3, unveränderte
Auflage, 1998.

[1417]. *St.Lorenz. Türme + Glocken*.
81. Verein zur Erhaltung der St.
Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.).
Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus
und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. Nr.25/2,
verbessterte Auflage, 1998.

[1418]. *St. Lorenz. Wappen in Fülle*.
Wappenkunde. Wappenkunst und

Wappenrecht. 86. Verein zur
Erhaltung der St. Lorenz-kirche in
Nürnberg (E.V.). Herausgegeben von
Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz.
Nürnberg. NF.Nr.31, 1986.

[1419]. *St. Lorenz. Ich bin das Licht
der Welt. Grosse und kleine Lichter.*
90. Verein zur Erhaltung der St.
Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E.V.).
Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus
und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg.
NF.Nr.35, 1990.

[1420]. *St. Lorenz. Sand-Sandstein.
Steinsand-Sand.* 91. Verein zur
Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in
Nürnberg (E. V.). Herausgegeben von
Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz.

Nürnberg. NF. Nr. 36, 1991.

[1421]. *St. Lorenz. Behelmt, behütet und bedacht.* 92. Verein zur Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.). Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr. 37, 1992.

[1422]. *St. Lorenz. Mein Auge schauet was Gott gebauet.* 93. Was Verein zur Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.). Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr. 38, 1993.

[1423]. *St. Lorenz. Ecce Panis Angelorum. Das Sakramentshaus des Adam Kraft.* Verein zur Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.).

Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus
und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr.
39, 1994.

[1424]. *St. Lorenz. 500 Jahre
Sakramentshaus: Erklärung –
Verklärung, Deutung – Umdeutung.*
96. Verein zur Erhaltung der St.
Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.).
Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus
und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr.
41, 1996.

[1425]. *St. Lorenz. Türen. Tore.
Portale.* 97. Verein zur Erhaltung der
St. Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E.V.).
Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus
und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr.
41, 1997.

- [1426]. *St. Lorenz. Wandfresken. Bestand. Restaurierung. Erhaltung.* 98. Verein zur Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.). Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr. 43, 1998.
- [1427]. *St. Lorenz. Im Blickpunkt das Kreuz. Kruzifix-Darstellungen.* 99. Verein zur Erhaltung der St. Lorenzkirche in Nürnberg (E. V.). Herausgegeben von Gerhard Althaus und Georg Stolz. Nürnberg. NF. Nr. 44, 1999.
- [1428]. Struve, O. *Librorum in bibliotheca Speculae Pulcovensis catalogus systematicus.* Petropoli,

1860.

- [1429]. Strykowski, Maciej. *O Początkach, wywodach... Of the Beginnings, Sources, the Deeds of the Knights and the Home Affairs of the Glorious Peoples of Lithuania, Zhmuda, and Russia, an Original Tale Inspired by the Lord and the Author's Own Experience.* Warszawa, 1978.
- [1430]. Suckow, Hähel. *Stadtführer Halle. Sehenswertes in Halle.* Halle, Druckhaus Schütze, 1998.
- [1431]. Suess, H. *Secular variations. Journal of Geophysical Research,* Volume 70, No. 23 (1965).
- [1432]. Suess, H. *Bristlecone Pine.*

Radioactive Dating and Methods.
Vienna, 1968.

[1433]. Suess, H. *Bristlecone Pine Calibration of the Radiocarbon.* XII Nobel Symposium on Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology. Uppsala, 1969.

[1434]. Sueton. *Die zwölf Caesaren, nach der Übersetzung v. A. Stahr neu hrsg.* München, Leipzig, 1912.

[1435]. Suhle, A. *Mittelalterliche Brakteaten.* Leipzig, 1965.

[1436]. Swerdlow, N. M., and O. Neugebauer. *Mathematical Astronomy in Copernicus' De Revolutionibus.* 2 vols. Berlin, 1984.

[1437]. *Sztuka Egipska. Piramidy i mastaby*. Mala Encyklopedia Sztuki. 23. Warszawa, Arkady, 1976.

[1438]. *Sztuka Egipska. Luksor*. Opracowal Kazimierz Michalowski. Mala Encyklopedia Sztuki. 25. Warszawa, Arkady, 1976.

[1438:1]. Tabov, Jordan. *Chronological Distribution of Information in Historical Texts*. Computers and the Humanities, 2003, Volume 37, pages 235-240.

[1439]. Targuebayre, Claire. *Cordes en Albigeois*. Toulouse, Editions Privat, 1988.

[1440]. Tesnierio, Ioanne. *Opus*

Matematicum octolibrum. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)
Coloniae Agrippinae, apud J. Birckmannum & W. Richwinum, 1562.

[1441]. Teutsch Astronomei.
Astronomia. Woodcuts, 1545. (The Pulkovo Observatory Library.)

[1442]. *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle.*
London: Everyman's library, J. M. Dent. Sons Ltd., 1990.

[1443]. Wright, G. E., ed. *The Bible and the Ancient Near East. Essays in Honour of W.F.Albright.* NY, 1961.

[1444]. *The Cambridge medieval history. IV. The Byzantine Empire.*

Cambridge Univ. Press, 1966-1967.

[1445]. *The Cathedral of St. Stephen in Vienna*. Graz, Verlag Styria, Casa Editrice Bonechi, 1992.

[1446]. Gransden, A., ed. *The Chronicle of Bury St. Edmunds, 1212-1301*. London-Edinburgh, 1964.

[1447]. *The Concise Columbia Encyclopedia*. USA, Columbia University Press, 1983.

[1448]. *The Egyptian Book of the Dead. The Book of Going Forth by Day*. The first authentic presentation of the complete papyrus of Ani. Featuring full color images. Transl. by Dr. R. Faulkner. San Francisco, Chronicle

Books, 1994.

[1449]. *The English version of the polyglot Bible with a copies and original selection of references to parallel and illustrative passages.* London, S. Bagster and Sons.

[1450]. *The Holy Bible, containing Old and New Testaments: Translated out of the original tongues; and with the former translations diligently compared and revised, by His Majesty's special command. Appointed to be read in Churches.* London, British and Foreign Bible Society, Instituted in London in the Year 1804.

[1451]. *The Holy Bible, containing Old*

and New Testaments: Translated out of the original tongues; and with the former translations diligently compared and revised, by His Majesty's special command.

Authorized King James version. Salt Lake City, Utah, Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, 1992.

[1452]. *The New Encyclopaedia Britannica*. Volume 16. 1987.

[1453]. *The place of astronomy in the ancient world*. A discussion organized jointly for the Royal Society and the British Academy. *Philos. Trans. of the Royal. Soc. of London, Ser. A., Volume 276 (1974): 1-276.*

[1454]. Farid, Shafik, ed. *The Pyramids of Giza*. Book 1. Simpkins Splendor of Egypt. Salt Lake City, Utah, Simpkins Souvenirs, 1982.

[1455]. *The R. C. Church of St. Karl. Vienna*. Salzburg, Christiche Kunststätten Österreichs, Nr.20 E. Verlag St. Peter, 1994.

[1456]. Werber, Eugen. *The Sarajevo Haggadah*. Svjetlost, Sarajevo. Printed by Mladinska Knjiga, Ljubljiana, 1999.

[1457]. *The Shrine of Torreciudad. Guide*. Oficina de Información, 22391 Torreciudad (Huesca), España.

[1458]. Farid, Shafik, ed. *The Temple of Luxor*. Book 3. Simpkins Splendor of Egypt. Salt Lake City, Utah, Simpkins Souvenirs, 1982.

[1458:1]. *The Treasures of the Valley of the Kings. Tombs and Temples of the Theban West Bank in Luxor*. Edited by Kent R. Weeks. The American University in Cairo Press. Cairo, Egypt, 2001. White Star, S. r. l. Vercelli, Italy.

[1459]. *The World Encompassed*. An exhibition of the history of maps held at the Baltimore Museum of Art October 1 to November 23, 1952. Baltimore, Maryland, The Trustees of the Walters Art Gallery, 1952.

[1460]. Thierry, Amedee. *St. Jean Chrysostome et l'impératrice Eudoxie*. Paris, 1872.

[1460:1]. Thoren, Victor E. *The Lord of Uraniborg. A Biography of Tycho Brahe*. With contributions by John R. Christianson. Cambridge, New York, Port Chester, Melbourne, Sydney, Cambridge University Press (1994 ?).

[1461]. Thorndike, L. H. D. *A History of Magic and Experimental Science. (During the first thirteen centuries of our era)*. Volumes 1,2. NY, 1923., New York, Columbia University Press, 1943, 1947, 1958.

[1462]. Topper, Uwe. *Die Große*

*Aktion. Europas Erfundene
Geschichte. Die planmäßige
Fälschung unserer Vergangenheit
von der Antike bis zur Aufklärung.
Tübingen, Grabert-Verlag, n.d.*

[1463]. Topper, Uwe. *Erfundene
Geschichte. Unsere Zeitrechnung ist
falsch. Leben wir im Jahr 1702?*
München, F. A. Herbig
Verlagsbuchhandlung GmbH, 1999.

[1464]. Turhan, Can. *Istanbul, Gate to
the Orient.* Istanbul, Orient, 1995.

[1465]. Turhan, Can. *Topkapi Palace.*
Istanbul, Orient, 1995.

[1466]. Eco, Umberto. *Serendipities.
Language and Lunacy.* Weidenfeld

& Nicolson (UK). NY,
Orion/Columbia Univ. Press. 1999.

[1467]. *Venice*. Venezia, Storti Edizioni,
1993.

[1468]. Vesconte, Pietro. *Seekarten*. Mit
einem Geleitwort von Otto Mazal.
Einführung von Lelio Pagani. Edition
Georg Popp Würzburg. 1978. Grafica
Gutenberg, Bergamo, 1977.

[1469]. Vidal-Quadras, José A.
Torreciudad. Imprenta Moises
Barbastro, Spain, 1987.

[1470]. Vidal-Quadras, José A.
Torreciudad. A shrine to Our Lady.
Office of Information Torreciudad,
Spain, n.d.

- [1471]. Villehardouin, Geoffroy de. *La conquête de Constantinople*.
Historiens et chroniqueurs du Moyen
Âge. Ed. A. Pauphilet. Paris, 1963.
- [1472]. Virgil, Mocanu. *Tintoretto*.
Clasicii Picturii Universale.
Bucuresti, Editura Meridiane, 1977.
- [1473]. Vries, Hesselde. *Variation in
concentration of radiocarbon with
time and location on Earth*. Koninkl.
Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. Proc.
1958, ser. B. 61, pages 1-9.
- [1474]. *Wallraf-Rischartz-Museum der
Stadt Köln. Vollständiges
Verzeichnis der Gemäldesammlung*.
Köln/Mailand, 1986.

- [1475]. Waterfield, R. L. *A Hundred Years of Astronomy*. NY, Macmillan, 1938.
- [1476]. Wehli, Tünde. *A Középkori Spanyolország Festészete*. Budapest, Corvina Kiadó, 1980.
- [1477]. Wenzler, Claude. *L'Héraldique*. Rennes, Editions Ouest-France, 1997.
- [1478]. Werner, H., and F. Schmeidler. *Synopsis der Nomenklatur der Fixsterne*. Wissensch. Stuttgart, Verlags-Gesellschaft 1986.
- [1478:1]. Wigal, Donald. *Anciennes Cartes Marines. A la Découverte des Nouveaux Mondes. 1290-1699*. New York, Parkstone Press, 2000.

[1479]. Williams, John. *Observations of Comets from B.C.611 A.D. to 1640, extracted from the Chinese Annals.* 1871.

[1480]. Willis, E. H., H. Tauber, and K. O. Münnich. *Variations in the atmospheric radiocarbon concentration over the past 1300 years. Radiocarbon, Volume 2 (1960): 1.*

[1481]. Wissowa, Pauly. *Real-Encyclopädie der Klassischen Altertumwissenschaft in alphabetischer Ordnung.* Hrsg. von Kroll. Stuttgart, 1839-1852.

[1482]. Wittkower, R. *Architectural Principles in the Age of Humanism.*

Paris, 1960.

- [1483]. Wolf, R. *Handbuch der Astronomie, ihrer Geschichte und Literatur*. Bd. II. Zürich, 1892.
- [1484]. Wooley, L. *Excavation at Ur*. NY, 1955.
- [1485]. Woronowa, Tamara, and Andrej Sterligov. *Westeuropäische Buchmalerei des 8. bis 16. Jahrhunderts in der Russischen Nationalbibliothek, Sankt Petersburg. (Frankreich. Spanien. England. Deutschland. Italien. Niederlande)*. Augsburg: Bechtermünz. Genehmigte Lizenzausgabe für Weltbild Verlag, 2000. England, Parkstone/Aurora,

1996.

- [1486]. Wright, G. E. *Biblical Archaeology*. Philadelphia, London, 1957.
- [1487]. Altet, Xavier Barral. *Compostelle de Grand Chemin*. Découvertes Gallimard Religions. Gallimard, 1993.
- [1488]. Zadkiel. *The Grammar of Astrology*. London, J. Cornish, 1849.
- [1489]. Zarnecki, George, Florence Deucher, and Irmgard Hutter. *Neue Belser Stilgeschichte. Band IV. Romantik, Gotik, Byzanz*. Stuttgart, Zürich, Belser Verlag, 1986.
- [1490]. Zech, J. *Astronomische*

Untersuchungen über die wichtigeren Finsternisse, welche von den Schriftstellern des klassischen Altertums erwähnt werden. Leipzig, 1853.

[1491]. *Zeitensprünge.*

Interdisziplinäres Bulletin.

Sonderdruck. September 1996.

Thema Absolutdatierung. Mantis Verlag, Germany.

[1492]. Zevi, B., E. Battisti, E. Garin, and L. Malle. *Alberti. Enciclopedia universale dell'arte.* Vol. I. Venezia, Roma, 1958.